IN LIEU OF
DIRECTORS’ ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING
Monday, May 24, 2021

I. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
1. Baird seeks to create more division – Robert Borer
   Response received by Leslie Sims and Tom Nebelsick
2. Mask Mandate – Brian Petermann
3. Resolution 21R-140 – League of Women Voters of Lincoln-Lancaster County
4. Spectrum sales people – Pat Miller
5. Climate – Jim & Deanna McClintick
Re: baird seeks to create more division, and more economic damage, by pitting vaccinated against unvaccinated

I simply will not comply!!

Sent from my iPhone

On May 17, 2021, at 3:05 PM, Robert Borer <crjb007@gmail.com> wrote:

Friends-

Baird refuses to allow the vaccinated to shop without masks, blaming her decision on the comfortably UNvaccinated, many of whom already shop without masks, because they/we know the pandemic is a crock and she's nothing but a con artist pretending to have authority she doesn't have.

Baird's stated vaccination goal, before allowing the vaccinated to shop maskless, is 75%, which, of course, is completely arbitrary and baseless, like everything else she does.

It's just the same 'ol BS we're used to seeing from her.

Everyone who firmly wanted a vaccine has gotten one, and believes they are protected, which is good. The placebo effect can kick in, as much as that is possible.

The rest of us refuse to be her lab rats and get an experimental, FDA-unapproved, emergency-use-only-authorized and consent-required covid jab.

All baird likes to do is promote fear and division, and cause economic devastation. That's all she's good for. She needs to be run out of town on a rail.

We the People will make our own healthcare decisions. She can pound sand. She has nothing to offer us.

Here's a tweet of a very short video wherein Senator Burr asked Fauci, Peter Marks from the FDA, and Rochelle Walensky, Director of the CDC, what percentage of the employees in their respective agencies were vaccinated. They couldn't say for sure. The best Fauci and Marks could say was a little over 50%. Walensky dodged the question. She says she's not documenting who gets vaccinated and who doesn't.

https://twitter.com/kerpen/status/1393022731373973504
https://twitter.com/AlexBerenson/status/1393238696128831488
NIH Director Francis Collins said he wasn't requiring his employees to get vaccinated:

https://twitter.com/BobSchaller/status/1393336270970044417

Here's the Texas Senate holding hearings on the covid vaccine. More damage and deaths are occurring with this "vaccine" than with any other. Listen to the first 10 minutes, if possible.

https://tlcsenate.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view_id=49&clip_id=15926

Meanwhile, baird pushes experimental vaccines while refusing to show a valid covid vaccination card.

Vive la résistance!

Robert J. Borer
Bravo. This woman aggravates the 💩 out of me!

Tom Nebelsick

On May 17, 2021, at 3:05 PM, Robert Borer <crjb007@gmail.com> wrote:

Friends-

Baird refuses to allow the vaccinated to shop without masks, blaming her decision on the comfortably UNvaccinated, many of whom already shop without masks, because they/we know the pandemic is a crock and she's nothing but a con artist pretending to have authority she doesn't have.

Baird's stated vaccination goal, before allowing the vaccinated to shop maskless, is 75%, which, of course, is completely arbitrary and baseless, like everything else she does.

It's just the same 'ol BS we're used to seeing from her.

Everyone who firmly wanted a vaccine has gotten one, and believes they are protected, which is good. The placebo effect can kick in, as much as that is possible.

The rest of us refuse to be her lab rats and get an experimental, FDA-unapproved, emergency-use-only-authorized and consent-required covid jab.

All baird likes to do is promote fear and division, and cause economic devastation. That's all she's good for. She needs to be run out of town on a rail.

We the People will make our own healthcare decisions. She can pound sand. She has nothing to offer us.

Here's a tweet of a very short video wherein Senator Burr asked Fauci, Peter Marks from the FDA, and Rochelle Walensky, Director of the CDC, what percentage of the employees in their respective agencies were vaccinated. They couldn't say for sure. The best Fauci and Marks could say was a little over 50%. Walensky dodged the question. She says she's not documenting who gets vaccinated and who doesn't.
NIH Director Francis Collins said he wasn't requiring his employees to get vaccinated:

https://twitter.com/BobSchaller/status/1393336270970044417

Here's the Texas Senate holding hearings on the covid vaccine. More damage and deaths are occurring with this "vaccine" than with any other. Listen to the first 10 minutes, if possible.

https://tlcsenate.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view_id=49&clip_id=15926

Meanwhile, baird pushes experimental vaccines while refusing to show a valid covid vaccination card.

Vive la résistance!

Robert J. Borer
Hello Lincoln City Council Members,

I am writing this email to encourage you to allow the Lincoln mask mandate to expire on Friday, May 21st.

1. The arbitrary standard set by Health Director Pat Lopez to achieve 75% vaccination rate to lift the mandate is not grounded in any science. Where is the scientific study that states that our community is more at risk for COVID-19 when only 50% of our Lincoln population is vaccinated compared to 75%? To hold Lincoln Citizens hostage by a sizable minority who refuse to get the vaccine is childish and un-American. How many more months or years will it take to reach a 75% vaccination rate?

2. In the Lincoln Journal Star Article, "CDC relaxes mask guidance. What will Lincoln do?" Dr. Bob Rauner said that, "I agree that there is no need to require a mask if people are vaccinated, but how do we know who in a room is vaccinated and who is not?" He raises a good question, however I don't think this is a problem.
   - Individuals who are already vaccinated do not need to worry about unvaccinated people since they are already protected.
   - Unvaccinated people don't worry about the COVID risks anyway because they chose to NOT get vaccinated. So the mask mandate isn't for them.
   - Children are not vaccinated, so quite possibly the mask mandate could be for them. However, children's chances for being hospitalized and/or dying from COVID-19 are significantly lower than adults.

3. If you decide to continue the Mask Mandate, it means that the City of Lincoln knows more than the Centers for Disease Control. This makes for a confusing situation when citizens hear one set of rules of behavior from one government entity but then a different set from another. Many national chains are already lifting the mask mandate for vaccinated people. Ex: Trader Joes, Wal-Mart
   Soon people who are vaccinated will begin to not wear the mask in public because the national media/chains are telling them that they don't have too.

I had to search for a recent Lincoln Journal Star article to even find out if our mask mandate was still in effect last Saturday when I went to the store. This will continue to be very unclear for residents in the future.

Please do the right thing and drop the mask mandate.

Thank you,

Brian Petermann
NE Lincoln Resident
To Council Chair Bowers and members of the Lincoln City Council:

Attached is our letter expressing our support and appreciation for the passage of the resolution on Inclusion 21R-140 on April 26, 2021. Thank you for making a public statement on this issue.

Mary Boschult, President
League of Women Voters of Lincoln -Lancaster County
May 18, 2021

To: Chairman James Michael Bowers and Members of the Lincoln City Council -
   Richard Meginnis    Saundra Washington    Bennie Shobe
   Tom Beckius         Jane Raybould         Tammy Ward

We are writing to acknowledge and thank you for passing 21R-140, the inclusion resolution, on Monday, April 26, 2021.

We appreciate your commitment to enhance the city's efforts and opportunities to engage to combat racism, intolerance and xenophobia.

We also appreciate your statement "that Lincoln strongly stands against hate, bias or violence based on race, nationality, gender, disability or religion."

The League of Women Voters has worked for equal rights and social reforms from its inception. In the early years, the League was one of the first organizations to address such issues as child welfare, maternal and child health programs, child labor protection and laws that discriminated against women.

The League of Women Voters of the United States believes that all levels of government share the responsibility to provide equality of opportunity for education, employment and housing for all persons in the United States regardless of their race, color, gender, religion, national origin, age, sexual orientation or disability. Excluding people from full participation in society undermines the strength and vitality of our families, our communities and our economy.

The League of Women Voters is a nonpartisan political organization that encourages informed and active participation in government. We do not support or oppose candidates or political parties. We work to increase understanding of major policy issues and to influence public policy through education and advocacy.

Sincerely,

Mary Boschult
Mary Boschult, President
League of Women Voters of Lincoln/Lancaster County
What can be done to stop spectrum sales people coming to my door? They have been told they are not welcome and to not come to my door but they continue to come to the door. Can the city do anything about it?

Sent from my iPhone
Hello,

Please read this recently released book by Steven Noonin, a top science advisor to President Obama.

**Unsettled: What Climate Science Tells Us, What It Doesn't, and Why It Matters**

When it comes to climate change, the media, politicians, and other prominent voices have declared that “the science is settled.” In reality, the long game of telephone from research to reports to the popular media is corrupted by misunderstanding and misinformation. Core questions—about the way the climate is responding to our influence, and what the impacts will be—remain largely unanswered. The climate is changing, but the why and how aren’t as clear as you’ve probably been led to believe.

Now, one of America’s most distinguished scientists is clearing away the fog to explain what science really says (and doesn’t say) about our changing climate. In *Unsettled: What Climate Science Tells Us, What It Doesn't, and Why It Matters*, Steven Koonin draws upon his decades of experience—including as a top science advisor to the Obama administration—to provide up-to-date insights and expert perspective free from political agendas.

Fascinating, clear-headed, and full of surprises, this book gives readers the tools to both understand the climate issue and be savvier consumers of science media in general. Koonin takes readers behind the headlines to the more nuanced science itself, showing us where it comes from and guiding us through the implications of the evidence. He dispels popular myths and unveils little-known truths: despite a dramatic rise in greenhouse gas emissions, global temperatures actually decreased from 1940 to 1970. What’s more, the models we use to predict the future aren’t able to accurately describe the climate of the past, suggesting they are deeply flawed.

Koonin also tackles society’s response to a changing climate, using data-driven analysis to explain why many proposed “solutions” would be ineffective, and discussing how alternatives like adaptation and, if necessary, geoengineering will ensure humanity continues to prosper. *Unsettled* is a reality check buoyed by hope, offering the truth about climate science that you aren’t getting elsewhere—what we know, what we don’t, and what it all means for our future.

Thank you for your interest in climate education.

Best regards,

Deanna McClintick