

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE**

- Time Sensitive – Robert Borer
- Malone Hawley Neighborhood project development – Carol
- PBA – Mark Bell
- (No subject) – Thomas Christie
- Mask Mandate extension - Bryce Gillett
- An Open Letter...Senator Aguilar's Constituents Deserve Better
- Concern about proposed development in Malone Hawley neighborhood – Sallie Dietrich
- Zoning change in Malone/Hawley neighborhood – Cathy Patterson
- Proposed Antelope Creek Park/Malone Hawley Apartments - Carra McClelland
- Zoning Change – Thia Hartley
- Zoning Change of Hawley- Malone – Sarah Mason
- Antelope Project - S Amanda Gershon
- Housing project – G Steven Ferris
- Proposed zoning change in Malone neighborhood – Christina Branter
- Reject Zoning Change in Malone-Hawley Neighborhood – Thomas Beckmann
- Zoning Development – Abbi Swatsworth
- Claim against city re sidewalk – Alice Miller
- Further Progress – George Wolf
- Dear council, - Mary Pipher

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Robert Borer <robertjborer@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, November 1, 2021 3:56 PM

**To:** WethePeopleDoNotConsent@declaration.org <WethePeopleDoNotConsent@declaration.org>

**Subject:** Time Sensitive

(unwanted mail? please reply with "take me off," unless you're a public official)

Friends-

This is a quick letter, due to the time sensitive nature of the following interview with Catherine Fitts. It's availability expires in 14 hours. For those who miss that deadline, I've attached the transcript, but the video is better.

<https://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2021/10/31/catherine-austin-fitts-slavery-or-freedom.aspx>

I strongly encourage listening before reading on. The rest of this letter will wait.

Okay, here's the latest.

1) There's more truth in the following statement than in ten thousand doctors' offices and ten thousand "public health departments" combined. I extracted it from a viral meme.

**"In the US, we have a candy holiday, followed by a pie holiday, next, a cookie and candy holiday before a boozier holiday, and we call it "flu season" rather than sugar poisoning season."**

I could expand on that statement all day long. Beware and be wise about what you put in your body. What you eat is the primary determinant of your health. Big pharma sells drugs, not natural health plans.

2) Our two primary local communists in Lincoln, Lopez and Baird, are losing their war on Lancaster County Citizens, big time.

Joe Rogan packed out Pinnacle Arena on Friday. Practically no communist masks. Memorial Stadium was packed on Saturday. Practically no communist masks. Local businesses were jam packed on Saturday. Practically no communist masks. Most local businesses are no longer going along with communist mask nonsense.

Lopez doesn't know the first thing about health, and Baird doesn't know the first thing about how to win friends and influence people...so she buys them.

Watch her shifty eyes in the first four minutes of this video as she tells an "unbelievable"

# DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM

Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021

555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street

COUNCIL CHAMBERS

story. Just four minutes, IF you can stomach it that long:

<https://youtu.be/g35WbbwE8fw?t=3>

Scott Voorhees was all over her.

We need a variation of "Let's Go Brandon" for Baird.

3) If you're opting for weekly testing over the poison j@b, you might want to think again. See this article. It says enough that we need to be asking questions.

<https://cyprus-mail.com/2021/10/26/union-calls-for-suspension-of-rapid-testing-after-toxic-substance-found/>

a) You might want to demand a written statement from your employer explaining the actual science behind why you, a healthy person, should be "tested" (using an invasive, unvalidated and experimental test) for a disease you obviously don't have.

b) You might want to demand a written statement from your employer explaining where they think their legal authority to mandate an invasive and experimental test comes from.

c) You might want to demand a written statement from your employer that proves the invasive and experimental test has been validated to accurately identify a novel contagion.

d) You might want to demand to see the package the invasive and experimental test came in, so you can see where the contents were manufactured, AND more importantly, what the ingredients are... are there toxic ingredients in the swab?

e) You might want to demand a signed statement from your employer stating they accept full responsibility and liability for any and all damage, healthwise and financially, you experience from submitting to an invasive and experimental test.

f) Sacrificing truth for expediency is not a good idea. It will haunt you in the end. Don't bow to tyranny and/or lies. God is bigger and always provides a way, when you trust him. I've been there. The road may not be easy, but the peace of mind and walk with God are worth it.

Have a Great Day in Defiance!

Bob

P.S. Still waiting for my first snuffle from atheist Baird's deep state, communist boogeyman. I don't see any bodies falling over in the street from a novel contagion. All I hear about is vulnerable people (mostly old) dying in hospitals from novel medical protocols.

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Carol <cmitch0220@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 1, 2021 1:01 PM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** Malone Hawley Neighborhood project development

I oppose the development of a proposed 156-unit development with only 15 affordable housing units. Reject this zoning change until the developer commits to 156 affordable housing units which is desperately needed in Lincoln. There is currently NOT ENOUGH AFFORDABLE housing units in Lincoln, NE. Enough is enough of allowing developers to continue building units for the purpose of lining their pockets without any regard for the impacts to the neighborhood.

Carol Mitchell  
1711 Dixie Trail  
Lincoln, NE

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Mark Bell <mcbweld@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 31, 2021 7:44 PM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** PBA

Why would the city no longer accept cash as payment for food and beverages at PBA?

1. It can't be COVID because I had them my card, they hand it back, I use the same pen as the person in front of me.
2. It can't be speed because it takes longer.
3. It can't be cheaper because of the bank fees (unless they are just increasing prices to cover the fees adding an additional TAX in attendees)
4. Is it because the US Treasury billing are not worth and money?
5. Is it because of theft? (Hire better people and pay them well so they don't need to steal)
6. Is this just a way for banks to make more money?
7. Is it away to capture personal information on attendees so the information can be sold later? Is the city keeping my information safe?

I will not be purchasing and food, beverage or concert ticket if cash is not accepted especially with all the TV coverage. Your business model will not work but what do you care you'll just make the tax payers cover your mistakes.

PS: I was at the NU vs Colorado BBall game and 80% of the attendees DIDN'T wear masks that our mayor seems to think are so important.

Mark C. Bell  
402-499-5978

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Thomas Christie <christt.booker@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Sunday, October 31, 2021 6:12 PM

**To:** Tom J. Beckius <TBeckius@lincoln.ne.gov>; Bennie R. Shobe <BShobe@lincoln.ne.gov>; Sandra J. Washington <SWashington@lincoln.ne.gov>; Tammy J. Ward <TJWard@lincoln.ne.gov>; Jane Raybould <JRaybould@lincoln.ne.gov>; Richard W. Meginnis <RMeginnis@lincoln.ne.gov>; James M. Bowers <JBowers@lincoln.ne.gov>; Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>

**Subject:**

Council members, as a long-time Lincoln resident, civil rights activists, and educator, I strongly encourage you not to rezone the Malone Hawley Neighborhood for an apartment complex that will have limited low-income apartments. This project doesn't meet the needs; based on the city's and other creditable assessments.

I encourage you to oppose this project unless they are willing to meet the low-income needs.

Respectfully,  
Thomas Christie

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Gillett, Bryce <bryce.gillett@trimarkusa.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 11:05 PM  
**To:** Mayor <mayor@lincoln.ne.gov>; Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>; Pat D. Lopez <PatDLopez@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Mask Mandate extension

Resending with the corrected email address for Health Director Lopez.



**Bryce Gillett, Outside Sales**  
**TriMark Hockenbergs** | **Lincoln** NE & surrounding area  
**P** 402-339-8900 | **C** 402-310-9340 | **E** [bryce.gillett@trimarkusa.com](mailto:bryce.gillett@trimarkusa.com) | [hockenbergs.com](http://hockenbergs.com)

**\*\*\*\*Please note- my email address has changed\*\*\*\***

**From:** Gillett, Bryce  
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 6:03 PM  
**To:** mayor@lincoln.ne.gov; councilpacket@lincoln.ne.gov; patlopez@lincoln.ne.gov  
**Subject:** Mask Mandate extension

Hello all- I am a very frustrated long time resident of Lincoln. 26 years with one business, dealing with local restaurants and bars, schools and churches, etc. I'm a father of 2 HS aged boys, one who also has a job in this community. As people that pay for your salaries, I would ask you to reconsider the mask extension. I have asked you all questions regarding these mandates previously but never gotten anything that actually cites real information that would support wearing a mask. Nothing related to science, nothing related to proof, nothing related to actual effectiveness. Just statements that cite other materials that cite other materials that say the CDC says so. Even one reply that included a YouTube link to something one of the UNMC Dr.'s put out, that in that video literally said in some situations you should wear a mask because it makes others feel more comfortable.

If the Lancaster only mandate was factually and scientifically based and actually working, we would have zero cases, zero hospitalizations, and zero deaths (or at least a very minimal correct?). While every other county and city in NE would have outrageous numbers of cases, hospitalizations, and deaths because they do not have mandates. But that is not true. So why are we repeating something that didn't work before, currently still not working, and hoping that it makes some difference? "Insanity is doing the same thing over and over and expecting different results." (from a quote in the Scientific American- referenced back to Einstein)

Two questions- what is the reasoning behind the decision? Looking for real info. Data, proof, facts- not because it's the least we can do for each other. And 2<sup>nd</sup>, how is it that Lancaster County is the only county and city in NE that can skirt the Governor's decision not to allow such mandates? I've spoken

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

with friends of mine that work for the Governor and I've never quite understood how the dynamic works- something about the DHHS Director from what I recall. I know they are frustrated as am I. Please explain.

Last year you ruined bars and restaurants opportunity to do business by adding another curfew the day or days before Thanksgiving. This year, please give us all a break, and an early Christmas gift, and reverse the mandate.

Thanks!



**Bryce Gillett, *Outside Sales***

**TriMark Hockenbergs** | *Lincoln* NE & surrounding area

**P** 402-339-8900 | **C** 402-310-9340 | **E** [bryce.gillett@trimarkusa.com](mailto:bryce.gillett@trimarkusa.com) | [hockenbergs.com](http://hockenbergs.com)

**\*\*\*\*Please note- my email address has changed\*\*\*\***

This transmission is CONFIDENTIAL and the information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received the transmission in error, please immediately notify us by e-mail and/or telephone, and delete the transmission and any attachments from your mailbox. Thank you.

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

---

**From:** Robert Borer <robertjborer@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 6:54 PM

**To:** newsdesk@theindependent.com <newsdesk@theindependent.com>; Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>; Mayor <mayor@lincoln.ne.gov>; kathy.danek@lps.org <kathy.danek@lps.org>; connie.duncan@lps.org <connie.duncan@lps.org>; annie.mumgaard@lps.org <annie.mumgaard@lps.org>; lanny.boswell@lps.org <lanny.boswell@lps.org>; bob.rauner@lps.org <bob.rauner@lps.org>; Don Mayhew <don.mayhew@lps.org>; sjoel@lps.org <sjoel@lps.org>; Russ Uhing <duhing@lps.org>; Commish <Commish@lancaster.ne.gov>; Health <health@lincoln.ne.gov>; Scott E. Holmes <sholmes@lincoln.ne.gov>; Pat D. Lopez <PatDLopez@lincoln.ne.gov>; Pat D. Lopez <PatDLopez@lincoln.ne.gov>; jamesmichaelbowers@gmail.com <jamesmichaelbowers@gmail.com>; kgarcia@lpden.com <kgarcia@lpden.com>; Sean H. Flowerday <SFlowerday@lancaster.ne.gov>; Stephanie Jarrett <stephanie@bulugroup.com>; Jasmine.tollette@gmail.com <Jasmine.tollette@gmail.com>; jdloy@unl.edu <jdloy@unl.edu>; applejax1955@yahoo.com <applejax1955@yahoo.com>; Jackie.miller@nebraska.gov <Jackie.miller@nebraska.gov>; tom@gncclincoln.org <tom@gncclincoln.org>; Jenifer T. Holloway <JHolloway@lancaster.ne.gov>; Adelle L. Burk <ABurk@lincoln.ne.gov>; raguilar@leg.ne.gov <raguilar@leg.ne.gov>; Carol Blood <cblood@leg.ne.gov>; ebostar@leg.ne.gov <ebostar@leg.ne.gov>; tbrandt@leg.ne.gov <tbrandt@leg.ne.gov>; John Cavanaugh <jcavanaugh@leg.ne.gov>; mcavanaugh@leg.ne.gov <mcavanaugh@leg.ne.gov>; jday@leg.ne.gov <jday@leg.ne.gov>; wdeboer@leg.ne.gov <wdeboer@leg.ne.gov>; mdorn@leg.ne.gov <mdorn@leg.ne.gov>; mflood@leg.ne.gov <mflood@leg.ne.gov>; cfriesen@leg.ne.gov <cfriesen@leg.ne.gov>; tgragert@leg.ne.gov <tgragert@leg.ne.gov>; mhansen@leg.ne.gov <mhansen@leg.ne.gov>; rhilkemann@leg.ne.gov <rhilkemann@leg.ne.gov>; Dan Hughes <dhughes@leg.ne.gov>; Megan Hunt <mhunt@leg.ne.gov>; mkolterman@leg.ne.gov <mkolterman@leg.ne.gov>; slathrop@leg.ne.gov <slathrop@leg.ne.gov>; jmccollister@leg.ne.gov <jmccollister@leg.ne.gov>; mmcdonnell@leg.ne.gov <mmcdonnell@leg.ne.gov>; tmckinney@leg.ne.gov <tmckinney@leg.ne.gov>; Adam Morfeld <amorfeld@leg.ne.gov>; rpahls@leg.ne.gov <rpahls@leg.ne.gov>; ppansingbrooks@leg.ne.gov <ppansingbrooks@leg.ne.gov>; jstinner@leg.ne.gov <jstinner@leg.ne.gov>; tvargas@leg.ne.gov <tvargas@leg.ne.gov>; lwalz@leg.ne.gov <lwalz@leg.ne.gov>; jwayne@leg.ne.gov <jwayne@leg.ne.gov>; mwilliams@leg.ne.gov <mwilliams@leg.ne.gov>; awishart@leg.ne.gov <awishart@leg.ne.gov>; Joni Albrecht <jalbrecht@leg.ne.gov>; jarch@leg.ne.gov <jarch@leg.ne.gov>; bboestelman@leg.ne.gov <bboestelman@leg.ne.gov>; Tom Brewer <tbrewer@leg.ne.gov>; tbriese@leg.ne.gov <tbriese@leg.ne.gov>; Robert Clements <rclements@leg.ne.gov>; Steve Erdman <serdman@leg.ne.gov>; sgeist@leg.ne.gov <sgeist@leg.ne.gov>; Mike Groene <mgroene@leg.ne.gov>; shalloran@leg.ne.gov <shalloran@leg.ne.gov>; bhansen@leg.ne.gov <bhansen@leg.ne.gov>; Mike Hilgers <mhilgers@leg.ne.gov>; blindstrom@leg.ne.gov <blindstrom@leg.ne.gov>; llinehan@leg.ne.gov <llinehan@leg.ne.gov>; jlowe@leg.ne.gov <jlowe@leg.ne.gov>; mmoser@leg.ne.gov <mmoser@leg.ne.gov>; dmurman@leg.ne.gov <dmurman@leg.ne.gov>; jslama@leg.ne.gov <jslama@leg.ne.gov>; djp1977@nebraska.gov <djp1977@nebraska.gov>; robert.evnen@nebraska.gov <robert.evnen@nebraska.gov>; pete.ricketts@nebraska.gov <pete.ricketts@nebraska.gov>; sos.elect@nebraska.gov <sos.elect@nebraska.gov>

**Subject:** An Open Letter...Senator Aguilar's Constituents Deserve Better

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**Intended Recipients:**

The GI Independent: [newsdesk@theindependent.com](mailto:newsdesk@theindependent.com)  
State and Local elected and appointed officials  
Productive Citizens across the State of Nebraska

**Friends-**

Below are excerpts from a recent letter put out by Senator Aguilar in response to a call by We the People for a special session to stop employers and the government from mandating that We the People become guinea pigs for Big Pharma's unnecessary, experimental, liability-free "covid" "vaccines":

**Aguilar:** "Lately, my office has received a lot of calls and emails relating to the possibility of a federal vaccine mandate. ..."

"We should be doing all we can to protect ourselves, our families, and those around us. We also need constructive conversation with medical professionals, not threats from politicians."

**We the People:** Big Pharma and medical professionals aren't the be-all and end-all of prevention and cure. Not even close. There are much better, and natural, ways to get and STAY healthy. Corrupt big pharma doesn't deserve the monopoly on "healthcare" they've been given by politicians they've bought off. Medical incompetence is the third leading cause of death. See the attachment below and here: [https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/news/media/releases/study\\_suggests\\_medical\\_errors\\_now\\_third\\_leading\\_cause\\_of\\_death\\_in\\_the\\_us](https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/news/media/releases/study_suggests_medical_errors_now_third_leading_cause_of_death_in_the_us)

**Aguilar:** "I do not feel like calling a special session would be the correct strategy."

**We the People:** We the People, your employers, "feel" otherwise.

Where do you want displaced workers to hang out come next session? Your office?

Moreover, how would you like to be represented, were you in the shoes of those vulnerable to these "vaccine" mandates (whether from an employer, or some political subdivision)??

I would strongly encourage you to think about representing others the way you would want to be represented, if you care about your soul.

If you think a special session is expensive, wait until you have thousands of able-bodied unemployed workers on your hands.

**Aguilar:** "I feel in this case that less government intervention is the prudent path forward."

# DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM

Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021

555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street

COUNCIL CHAMBERS

**We the People:** We're past that. Too much "government" is already the problem. Overreaching government is already trampling the God-given, inherent and inalienable rights of We the People...rights to life, liberty, happiness (the pursuit thereof), private property, assembly, due process, equal protection and security in our persons. "[S]uch rights shall not be denied or infringed by the state or any subdivision thereof."

Excess government is an enemy of the Constitution (US and State). As such, excess government is an enemy of We the People. That excess government must be nullified. But excess government isn't the only thing that should be nullified. Private sector actors who sell their souls and act unlawfully should also be nullified. Employees have rights. Experimental, EUA products cannot be forced. Healthy people who aren't bothering anyone shouldn't be forced to take unnatural and adverse-effect-ridden pharmaceuticals. (I think there's something in the Nuremberg Code about that.) The prudent path forward is to nullify ALL of this tyrannical overreach. You took an oath, to support our US and State Constitutions, against all enemies, foreign and domestic. That's what your bully pulpit is for. Please use it.

In conclusion, please grow some cojones, Senator. Please put your vacation on hold (or whatever it is that is holding you back), take a public stand and use your bully pulpit to serve the needs of We the People. We the People are not guinea pigs. Nullify all so-called laws that are opposed to our U.S. and State Constitutions, starting with "vaccine" mandates, like your oath requires you to do.

Chief Justice John Marshall, of the legendary Marbury vs Madison case of 1803, stated, in regard to the Supreme Law of the Land, that "the particular phraseology of the constitution of the United States confirms and strengthens the principle, supposed to be essential to all written constitutions, that a law repugnant to the constitution is void; and that courts, as well as other departments, are bound by that instrument."

Thomas Edison said, "The strength of the Constitution lies in the will of the people to defend it".

If you won't defend it...and won't defend us, we will take up the cause ourselves. And anyone who is found to be an enemy of the Constitution, and of our God-given rights, will be found to be an enemy of We the People, as well.

Ours is a "government of the people, by the people, for the people," not of the tyrants, by the tyrants and for the tyrants, as several tyrants who live in Lancaster County see it.

A wise man once said something to the effect that, "If you are not with [us], you are against [us]."

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

Cordially, and on behalf of We the People,

Peace and Goodwill,

Robert J. Borer  
District 25

P.S. Haven't worn a mask yet. Face-to-face conversations with literally thousands, across many states. Not a sniffle. Where's the pandemic? All I see is medical malpractice and government overreach. I raised six bright, strong, healthy kids w/o doctors, pharmaceuticals and vaccines. Big pharma is presently conspiring, like they've never conspired before.

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Sallie Dietrich <s.richdiet@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 4:51 PM

**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>

**Subject:** Concern about proposed development in Malone Hawley neighborhood

Hello -

First of all, thank you for all you do. I appreciate the hard work each of you puts in for our city, which makes Lincoln a great place to live. I'm writing you in my capacity as a private citizen, and not on behalf of any organization or my employer.

I'm writing because I have concerns about a proposed zoning change that will be up for discussion at the 11/1 council meeting. As I understand it, the zoning change will support a 156-unit housing development in the Malone Hawley neighborhood. I am seeing information about the project that concerns me for two major reasons:

1) The housing project will only include 15 affordable units. This is less than 10% of the units in the development. I am concerned that this isn't a realistic goal for a development in central Lincoln. People of all backgrounds should be able to live in this neighborhood, and I would be sad to see long-term residents pushed out by wealthier newcomers.

2) The number of parking spaces allotted to the project does not appear to be sufficient. I understand the thought is that many residents would be using buses or biking. However, I need to emphasize that **our current public transit infrastructure is extremely lacking**. We cannot expect people to move to a building with the expectation that they will use a bus system that, at the present moment, is entirely inadequate. Taking a bus in Lincoln is a monumental undertaking, and hasn't been widely adopted because it is so inconvenient. Similarly, our bike lanes (and bike parking!) are minimal and often poorly designed, putting bikers in dangerous situations.

I say this as someone who lived in cities with good public transit, and who used public transit and a bike exclusively throughout college and law school: **Lincoln needs to invest in public transit**. Until we do this, and public transit is much easier to use and widely accepted, we can expect that anyone who can afford it will be using a car, and will need at least one parking space per driver. As such, the proposed development in the Malone Hawley neighborhood appears deeply problematic to me.

Thanks for your time, and for all you do. Please feel free to reach out if you'd like to talk further.

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

Thanks,  
Sallie Dietrich  
607-220-6390

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Cathy Patterson <cathwpatte@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 4:35 PM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** Zoning change in Malone/Hawley neighborhood

To Lincoln City Councilors,

I am writing because I oppose the zoning change in the Malone Hawley Neighborhood to allow a market rate apartment building with far too few affordable units, as well as a level of density that is not suitable for the neighborhood.

I urge the City Council to reject this zoning change or to delay approval in order to mitigate the drastic effects this project would have on the neighborhood.

This area already has two one way streets (P&Q) with vehicles traveling at unsafe excessive speeds, and two bus lines on 23rd St. The amount of additional traffic is concerning for numerous reasons, not least that these are the streets where children play and as they walk or bike to Eliot School.

Proposed 1:1 parking requirements are aspirational and defy common sense. Two cars per unit are more likely in this project, with additional parking for guests. This could add more than 200 cars to street parking. The streets are full on game days, the rest of the time, UNL students clog them when they park and ride the bus to avoid parking fees at UNL. Many units in Antelope Creek Village have one car garages, and their cars and visitors already park on the streets. Street parking is full. The Planning Department says people will use public transit in arguing for 1:1 parking requirements. How will they shop? Grocery stores are miles from this food desert. The plans predicts that people will bike to work. In Lincoln, people who bike to work also have cars- this isn't NYC or San Francisco with a lot of transit choices. The Bicycle Master Plan's goals of having bike lanes on P and Q will require a 'lane diet' and the neighborhood will most likely lose street parking on those streets. That not been taken into consideration. Be realistic about the parking needs.

The residents directly surrounding this proposed project want 50/50 affordable housing developments because they care about their community. They live in a neighborhood with 25 years of neighborhood building and civic engagement sponsored by the city and NeighborWorks Lincoln. They live in a diverse neighborhood and want affordable housing for many more than 15 units. Residents know their neighbors by name and have worked together to make a difference in the community. The residents had the expectation that this project would be a offer 50/50 affordable and market rate housing. 15 units of affordable housing is not enough. Few current residents in the Malone/Hawley neighborhood will be able to afford these apartments. A market rate, oversized, over-dense project is not compatible with the neighborhood and community that NeighborWorks and the city have spent millions to create and stabilize, and this project wil undermine the good that has been done here. This project is an affront to our community.

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**

**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**

**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**

**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

In addition to the detrimental effect on the neighborhood, the city of Lincoln has built numerous dense, market rate apartments in the downtown area, and does not need substantially more of those. What the city does need are many more affordable housing units. Let's slow this project down so that the overall density is reduced, and the number of housing units is greatly increased.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,  
Sincerely, Catherine W. Patterson

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Carra McClelland <helpdeskchick@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 4:22 PM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** Proposed Antelope Creek Park/Malone Hawley Apartments

I would like to add my voice to those opposing this development. We have seen a number of these buildings be built in Lincoln in the past few years, and this neighborhood is not the place for another one, especially with only 15 affordable housing units in the complex. I have to wonder if you know what neighborhood you are proposing building this in?

That area is an older, historic, working class neighborhood. The last thing they need is an apartment building that only 15 of them can afford to live in. If anything, they need an apartment building that is, at minimum 50% affordable housing, if not more.

Do not roll over for these developers. The people in this neighborhood need the land and the help more than a multi-million dollar corporation that will usher in changes that will prove many people out of their homes.

Thank you,

Carra McClelland

Sent from my iPhone

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Thia Hartley <thiahartley@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 3:43 PM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** Zoning change

There is a mostly market-rate apartment building planned to be built in the Malone Hawley Neighborhood adjacent to Antelope Creek Park. There is a zoning change before the city that changes the density from 90 to 156 per 1000 ft and allows one parking space per unit parking. This will allow the developer to build a 156-unit development with only 15 affordable housing units. This will be built directly adjacent to two 50/50 affordable housing projects built by NeighborWorks. No one in the neighborhood will be able to afford to live in these apartments.

1. We are urging the City Council to reject this zoning change or to delay approval in order to mitigate the drastic effects this project would have on the neighborhood.

2. This project will add traffic to an area that already has two one way streets (P&Q) with vehicles traveling at unsafe excessive speeds, and two bus lines on 23rd St. We are already concerned about the speeds on the streets where children play and as they walk or bike to Elliot School.

3. 1:1 parking requirements are clearly aspirational. The Planning Department would love for that to be realistic, but it defies common sense. At minimum, there will be two cars per unit in this project. Plus their guests' cars. This could add more than 200 cars to street parking. There are already full streets on game days and from UNL students parking and riding the bus to avoid parking fees at UNL. In addition, many of the units in Antelope Creek Village have only one car garages, cars and visitors must park on the streets. The street parking is already full. The planning Department says people will use public transit in arguing for 1:1 parking requirements. How will they shop? Grocery stores are miles away from our food desert. They say people will bike to work. Great, but the people we know who bike to work also have cars, this isn't NYC or San Francisco with a lot of transit choices. Let's be realistic about the parking needs.

4. The Bicycle Master Plan's goals of having bike lanes on P and Q will require a 'lane diet' and we will most likely lose street parking on those streets. Why has that not been taken into consideration?

5. Many of us had the expectation that this project would be a similar 50/50 affordable housing project. We find 15 units of affordable housing is not enough. There are very few people in the Malone/Hawley neighborhood that will be able to afford these apartments. A market rate, oversized, over-dense project is not compatible with the neighborhood and community that NeighborWorks and the city have spent millions to create and stabilize our neighborhood, and this project will definitely negatively impact all the good that has been done here. This project is an affront to our community.

Thank you.

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

--

Thia Hartley

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Sarah Mason <slmason@ole.augie.edu>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 3:32 PM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** Zoning change of Hawley-Malone

I am in opposition to the proposed change in zoning to allow redevelopment in the Malone neighborhood that makes such a drastic change in the market rate to affordable housing ratio.

These special core neighborhoods must prioritize affordable housing or we will price out the people who are essential to making our community who it is.

Sarah Mason  
Lincoln Resident

--

Sarah Mason  
[slmason@ole.augie.edu](mailto:slmason@ole.augie.edu)  
<http://www.linkedin.com/in/sarahlynnmason>

"I am a prisoner of hope." ~Desmond Tutu

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** S Amanda Gershon <S.Gershon@my.southeast.edu>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 1:35 PM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** Antelope Project

Good morning,

Please reconsider the Antelope project. There is a desperate need for affordable housing in Lincoln. Fifteen is not nearly enough. As a homeless disabled person I waited 2 years on the list to be offered a voucher that is nearly impossible to use. I couldn't use it. I pay my own rent out of my SSDI check. Its 75% of my income. As a 39 year old I can't afford to live on my own. I have to have a roommate to survive. I don't expect much on disability but I would like to be independent. This project needs more affordable options.

Also, as a resident of the 48th and Holdrege area, I must remind you that we were told the apartment project would include a Bodega for the neighborhood. We still have empty shops.

Amanda Gershon

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone  
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

---

Disclaimer: This e-mail and any attachments contain material that is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this e-mail. If you are not the intended recipient(s), you must not use, retain or disclose any information contained in this e-mail. Any views or opinions expressed in the message are solely those of the sender and do not necessarily represent those of Southeast Community College.

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** G Steven Ferris <badger.ferris@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 1:12 PM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** Housing project

To members of Lincoln City Council:

I strongly oppose the proposed zoning change that would allow a large apartment complex to be built in the Malone neighborhood. This project is poorly planned and ill-suited for its location. I ask that you deny the zoning change.

George S Ferris

311 South 28th Street

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Christina Brantner <cbrantner1@unl.edu>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 10:55 AM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** Proposed zoning change in Malone neighborhood

Dear City Council:

Stop letting developers run our city planning!

When the plan is 156 units above market and only 15 affordable, that's a travesty! And a slap in the face of Malone neighbors. Reject that turbo capitalist plan and be real!

I did not elect you, Bennie, Sandra, and Tom to turn your noses up to your constituents needs. Do better!

Trusting you will is  
Christina Brantner

Sent from my iPhone

"Every day one should at least hear one little song, read one good poem, see one fine painting and -- if at all possible -- speak a few sensible words." Goethe.

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Thomas Beckmann <thomasbeckmann@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 3:04 AM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** Reject Zoning Change in Malone-Hawley Neighborhood

Good evening,

Please reject the Zoning Change that would allow the development of an apartment complex with no low-income housing available. This apartment complex would not bring affordable housing to those living in the neighborhood, and instead lead to gentrification, threatening the affordability of those who currently live there.

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Abbi Swatsworth <abbiswatsworth@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2021 1:03 AM

**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>

**Subject:** Zoning Development

I urge you to vote no on the proposed zoning change for the development planned at 22nd and Q adjacent to the park.

This Malone/Hawley neighborhood needs affordable housing, 15 units are Not enough. Further the planned apartments will disrupt the neighborhood and community building that has been nurtured over decades through Neighborworks and the city.

Thanks for your service,

Abbi Swatsworth

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Alice Miller <ammiller5@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2021 7:53 PM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** Claim against city re sidewalk

You will find here a copy of a letter from the city attorney's office regarding a fall on a city sidewalk which resulted in a broken arm and weeks of physical therapy. There are discrepancies here, not the whole story/scenario , or this signer's attitude and how she carried out this claim! I will appear at the mentioned city council meeting to explain and clarify unless you want to meet with me prior. I have emailed my representative McGinnis twice with no response:(  
Alice Miller

Sent from my iPhone

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**



**CITY ATTORNEY**  
555 South 10th Street, Suite 300 | Lincoln, NE 68508  
402-441-7281 | F: 402-441-8812

October 8, 2021

Alice Miller  
4922 South 78<sup>th</sup> Street  
Lincoln, NE 68516-6726

RE: Claim Against the City of Lincoln

Dear Ms. Miller:

This is in response to your claim received in this office on June 18, 2021, wherein you presented a claim to the City of Lincoln for injury incurred as the result of your trip and fall incident on sidewalk at or near 7922 Glynooks Drive on June 13, 2021. Because you did not provide the total amount you are requesting that the City pay or any documentation to support that you incurred any damages, I requested that you provide medical bills and medical records in support of your claim. This additional documentation was not received until on or about September 13, 2021. It is this office's responsibility to investigate the merits of claims that are filed against the City of Lincoln and make a recommendation to Lincoln City Council.

The City of Lincoln understands the inconvenience and financial impact you have incurred as the result of this unfortunate incident, however, because taxpayer funds are used to pay claims of this type, this office can only recommend to Lincoln City Council payment of claims in which there exists a legal basis to establish liability. A review of the City of Lincoln's sidewalk records indicates that the defect referenced in your claim was previously reported, however due to limited resources, the City has not had a reasonable time to repair the sidewalk. In addition, the mere occurrence of an incident on public or private property resulting in injury or damages, in and of itself, is not sufficient to support a claim against the public or private property owner. It is not unusual for a sidewalk, public or private, to have uneven surfaces. Unless such condition is a hidden hazard, it must be shown that a claimed defect which may have contributed to a fall is of such a nature that a person using the sidewalk would not anticipate the condition of the sidewalk and take actions to prevent injury. Accordingly, I am referring your claim to Lincoln City Council with a recommendation that the claim be denied.

Lincoln City Council will consider your claim at its regularly scheduled meeting on November 1, 2021, at 3:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, 555 South 10th Street, Suite 112. You may appear in person at that date and time to discuss your claim and/or you may contact the City Council in writing prior to that date by email at [council@lincoln.ne.gov](mailto:council@lincoln.ne.gov). If you do intend to appear at the City Council meeting in person, please advise this office prior to November 1, 2021, by phone at 402-441-7281.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Danielle Rowley".

Danielle Rowley  
Assistant City Attorney

DR/eh

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** George Wolf <gwolf1226@hotmail.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, October 7, 2021 2:47 PM

**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>; Mayor <mayor@lincoln.ne.gov>

**Subject:** Further Progress

Here is more information about the progress of nondiscrimination:

<https://pulse.ncpolicywatch.org/2021/10/06/this-is-about-dignity-mecklenburg-county-advances-non-discrimination-ordinances-protecting-lgbtq-people/>

George Wolf, for Fairness Lincoln

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

**DIRECTORS' ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA ADDENDUM**  
**Monday, November 1<sup>st</sup> 2021**  
**555 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

**From:** Mary Pipher <mary.pipher@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 23, 2021 2:23 AM  
**To:** Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>  
**Subject:** Dear council,

Please vote on Monday to make environmental issues a high priority in the future planning for the city. Business people, homeowners, and developers can speak from themselves, but we need you to speak for the parks, prairies, trees, animals and water.

If I can be of any help to you with this agenda, please let me know. Thanks, Mary Pipher