DIRECTORS’ ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING  
Monday, February 14th, 2022  
555 S 10th Street  
Council Chambers

I. MINUTES

II. ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

III. CITY CLERK

IV. MAYOR’S OFFICE

V. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

1. PLANNING DEPARTMENT:
   a) PBC220203-3 PC Action, Shelli Reid 2.3
   b) AN21011/CZ21055 Hope Community Church Letter of Support, Shelli Reid 2.8
   c) BP220208 – 1 AA Weekly Approval, Shelli Reid 2.8

VI. BOARDS/COMMITTEE/COMMISSION REPORTS

VII. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE

1. Juneteen Day a city holiday, name withheld
2. Request to talk with city council members, Mitsi Money-Beecher
3. Mask Mandates Causing Over 350% Surge In Childhood Speech Delays., Taylor Wyatt
4. DHM, Jon Kari McGrew
5. No to any Comprehensive Sex Education Standards in our schools!, Connie Wostrel
6. Distributing masks and test kits..., Maribeth Milner
7. Invitation to bible study, Herb Welter
8. Junk Cars, Debra Roberts
9. OPPOSITION TITLE 11 Kill THIS bill, Janine Franzen
10. Title 11 Changes, Elina Newman
11. Suggested Changes to Charter Revision Ordinance, Jim Frohman
12. Ordinance, Jim & Deanna McClintick
13. Title 11, Darlene Howsden
14. Voting privilege, Ron German
15. Kill Title 11, R Thackery
16. LET THE PEOPLE VOTE, Sharon Sevenker
17. Title 11, Bonnie Hoegemeyer
18. Put the Fairness bill to vote, Jane Corkill
19. Title 11, Paul Liess
20. Tittle 11, Devin Smith
21. Sexual Orientation Proposal..., John Sullivan
22. Title 11 vote to kill, Bruce Whitten
23. Fairness ordinance, Lynn Stangl
24. Revision of Title 11 of Lincoln’s Municipal Code, Betty Levitov
25. Testimony for hearing to be held 2-7-22, Amy Miller
26. Fairness Ordinance, Janet Greser
27. Testimony in support of nondiscrimination policy changes, Mel Severin
28. Fairness ordinance, Darcia Cole
29. Testimony in support of nondiscrimination, Emily Kazyak
30. Revise Title 11 of Lincoln Municipal Code, Maureen Honey
31. Testimony in support of nondiscrimination, Julia McQuillan
32. Testimony in support of nondiscrimination, Emma Grinde
33. Testimony in Support of Nondiscrimination, Staci Sinclair
34. PFLAG Lincoln Supports Revising the City Charter, Pat Tetreault
35. PFLAG Lincoln Supports Revising the City Charter, Pat Tetreault
36. Title 11 Municipal Code, Emily Levine
37. I endorse proposed Fairness revisions to Title 11, Helen Moore
38. Support for Title 11 revisions, Charles Francis
39. Support for Fairness Ordinance, Danielle Conrad
40. Revisions to Title 11—vote yes!, Jane Levine
41. Title 11 Revision Support, Carolyn Nolte
42. Fairness Ordinance: YES, Jacob D. Lozier
43. Fairness Ordinance, Kelsy Burke
44. Fairness ordinance, Darci Ross
45. Please support LGBTQI Lincolnites, Adam Levine (Non Resident of Lincoln)
46. Council Packet, Alena Bruzas
47. For 2/7/22 Meeting Packet, regarding Title 11 Municipal Code revisions, Maureen Ose
48. Testimony in favor of Revisions to Title 11, Barbara DiBernard
49. Support for Lincoln’s Fairness Ordinance, Paula Bohaty
50. Approve Gender Identity Ordinance, Lucas Best
51. Testimony in Support of Nondiscrimination Updates, Cortney Leikam
52. Testimony in support of nondiscrimination, Mary Barton
53. Support for Ordinance 22-31 (Title 11), Eric Reiter
54. Revisions of the Municipal Code, George Wolf
55. Municipal code revision, Laurel Van Ham
56. Testimony in support of nondiscrimination, Jess Parker
57. Written Testimony in Support of updating Title XI of LMC, Sara Rips
58. Support Equity Resolution, Sheri StClair
59. Non-discrimination Policy, Kathie Uhrmacher
60. Nondiscriminatory Policies Update, Amy Sparks
61. Fairness ordinance, Kitty Lozier
62. I support fairness policy, John Lozier
63. Support for Fairness Ordinance, Peter Barber
64. Pass title 11, Sharla Reynolds
65. Please Support Title 11 Revisions to the Code, Susan Soriente
66. Fairness Ordinance, Fernando Quinones Stowell-Flores
67. Fairness Ordinance, Dave & Elsa Larson
68. Approve fairness ordinance, Ashlie Thompson
69. Support for Revision of Title 11, Deborah Levitov
70. Revision of Title 11 – Support, Cheri Howard
71. Lincoln’s Proposed Fairness Ordinance, Maureen & James Harvey
72. Fairness Doctrine, Herb Welter
73. Woe to those who call evil good and good evil, Herb Welter
74. Proposed changes..., Mark Wilson
75. Title 11, Jolene Sell
76. Title 11..., Samantha Sandberg
77. Title 11, Wanda O'Toole
78. Redefining Sex in Title 11, Jim & Deanna McClintick
79. Lincoln Municipal Code..., Gretchen Wiese
80. Redefining sex in Title 11, Peggy Werblow Reier
81. As a registered voter, Michelle Greenwood
82. Ordinance redefining sex, Mindy Bednar
83. Refining Sex in City..., Mark Gill
84. Proposed Change to TITLE 11, Connie Nissen
85. Lincoln Municipal Code Title 11, Brian Foreman
86. NO!!!..., Margaret Foster
87. “fairness” ordinance, Michael Prang
88. Redefining sexual identity, Karen Eberspacher
89. Proposed ordinance redefining sex..., Jane O'Connell
90. PLEASE do no redefine sex..., Jessica Suing
91. Title 11..., Jill Ballard
92. Vote NO on redefining sex..., Sharon Sevenker
93. Do not to redefine sex..., Corey Voichoskie
94. Proposed Ordinance..., Margaret Wall
95. Title 11 amendment, Cody Davis
96. Definition of sex, Lynette Waltemath
97. Title 11, Chris Ackerman
98. Hell Vomits out Filth, Elevate Church
99. Redefining Sex..., James Childers
100. Title 11..., Paul Harrell
101. Title 11..., Jan Wadell
102. Redefining “sex”, Mark Ruhl
103. Title 11 Gender Code, Gerald Splear
104. Comment, Lincoln Municipal Code, Marsha Hancock
105. Proposal, Jackie Zimmerman
106. Sexual identity and gender..., M Ralson
107. Sexuality, Bryan J. Van Deun
108. Ordinance feedback, Kristi Kreuscher
109. DO NOT REDEFINE SEX, Casey Voichoskie
110. Don’t Destroy our Culture, Stacey Olson
111. Meeting tonight, Rhonda Sohler
112. Ordinance to redefine Sex, Casey Moeller
113. Public Meeting Feb 7, 2022, William A Aultz (Non Resident of Lincoln)
114. DO NOT REDEFINE SEX..., Corey Voichoskie
115. Oppose the proposed..., Gene Schultz (Non Resident of Lincoln)
116. OPPOSE ORDINANCE..., Stephanie McKee
117. Vote against the proposed..., Paul Ehernberger (Non Resident of Lincoln)
118. Title 11, Dean Cook
119. Redefining sex, Mary Ryan
120. Hearing on redefining ‘sex’, Brenda Halbmaier (Non Resident of Lincoln)
121. Sex/Sexual Identity, Jonathon Goodro
122. Public Hearing for 2/7/2022, Barbara Ramsey
123. Proposed changes to Title 11, Daniel Frost
124. (picture message)
125. Regarding public hearing, Marty Kapler
126. Redefining of Sex, Nick Pischel
127. Title 11, Glenda Boesiger
128. Sex and sexual identity, Jill Hallgren Havlat
129. Please OPPOSE redefining, Mary Odgaard
130. Ordinance definition change, Don Kucera
131. Municipal code changes, Rhonda Bohaty
132. Today’s vote on equity, Jennifer Butcher
133. Oppose Title 11, Emily Stockton
134. Opposition to redefining sexual orientation, Stephanie Werner
135. Oppose change to “fairness doctrine”, Joe and Rhonda Luetkenhaus
136. Redefining sex, Jodi Fischer
137. Regarding redefining sexual orientation, Stephanie Remus
138. (no subject), Robert Putt
139. “Fairness Ordinance”, Deb Hraban
140. Ordinance consideration, Scott & Gretchen Monroe
141. Title 11, Ryan Yang
142. Ordinance redefining sex, Marcia Murray
143. Title 11, Doug Harshman
144. Opposition to ordinance, Rod Johnson
145. Title 11 Opposition, Jennifer Reeder
146. I am against Title 11, Kevin Oxner
147. Vote No on this dangerous…., Michele Ott
148. Things to consider, Zach Kassebaum
149. Title 11 changes, Jon Kari McGrew
150. Oppose the Proposed Ordinance, Laura Ottley
151. I stand in opposition, Nicole Lyon
152. Title 11- Fairness Ordinance, Steven Nichols
153. Title 11, Joy Podiska
154. Title 11, Anne Loeffler (Omaha Resident)
155. Title 11, Edward McKee
156. Against title 11, Sonya Howsden
157. Do not redefine, Pat Wells
158. Title 11 Fairness ordinance, David Crouse
159. Proposed ordinance, Karen Carlson
160. Title 11, Michelle Hay
161. Sex Identy, Mary Jane Ashman
162. TITLE 11, Carol Kearns
163. No multi-gender access to restrooms, Gretchen Garrison (Milford)
164. Fairness Ordinance, Lynnette Hendrickson
165. (no subject), Glenda Davis
166. Lincoln Municipal Code, James Childers
167. I am urging you to vote no, Carolyn Mize
168. Opposition, Brandi Wolfe
169. TITLE 11, a Concerned Citizen
170. Vote against special classes for certain people, Connie Wastrel
171. Title 11, Cori Bierbaum
172. Fairness Ordinance 22-31 Title 11 Round 2 and the Law, Jack Riggins
173. KLIN 1400am 99.3FM Drive Time Lincoln Host questions and request, Jack Riggins
174. Proposed Changes to Title 11, Marilyn Keelan
175. Title 11, Dan Reinig
176. I OPPOSE the Gender Identity Ordinance, Heather Hall (Roca)
177. Title 11, Stephanie Watts
178. Oppose Gender Identity Ordinance, Lindsay Mundil
179. Title 11, Elizabeth Carlson
180. Universal Restrooms, name withheld
181. Fairness ordinance, Emily Geroge
182. Chapter 11 changes, Taylor Wyatt
183. Proposed ordinance redefining sex...Jane O’Connell
184. Fairness ordinance, Constance Takhar
185. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Marlyce Benjamin
186. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, John Ringsmuth
187. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Mindy Bednar (Roca)
188. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Eileen Douglass
189. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Dustin Sutter
190. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Stacey Wheeler
191. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Pat Richenbach
192. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Faith Rochelle Wolfe
193. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Barndi Wolfe
194. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Diane Kavanaugh (Non Resident)
195. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jan Meyers
196. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Patty Eaton
197. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Laurie M. Lewis
198. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Cori Bierbaum
199. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Teresa Fielder
200. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Amanda Ripley
201. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Susan Sneddeker
202. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Krystine Kercher
203. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sheri Baumgartner
204. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, John & Brenda Roby
205. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jolene Grable
206. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Fran Potter
207. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Wade & Kristin Fornander
208. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Molly Kenow
209. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Teresa Predmore
210. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Stephen Sanderson
211. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Anne Hubbell
212. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Caitlin J
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Nate Clark
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Katie Danpaul
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jean Miranda
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ron Lawson
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jacob Bauer
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Lynita Hood
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Anthony & Jaimie Montag (Seward)
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Alycia Dunn
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Renee Hein
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Nicholas Lemme
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, John Boever
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, James Tibbels
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sherri Suelter
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kevin Tibbels
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Nancy Mikesell
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Andy Arbie
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Christa Mittan
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Greg Hanel
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Hannah Schinkel
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Dana Bird
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Aimee Nebel
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Mary Raun
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Peter Kwasniewski
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Shelly Wright
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Craig Pytleski
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Nate Bird
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Mary Kay Gaura
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kate Walker
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Alison Morrow
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Lydia Eskildsen
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Rita Widhelm
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Mary Sand
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Christy Widhelm
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Lori Weskamp
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Michaela Judd
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Alvin Sand
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sarah Peed
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Elly Fugleberg
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Rochelle Essay
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sarah Moje
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Dustin Ledgerwood
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ryan & Katie Fischer
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sara Gubbels
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kelly Neal
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Bill & Cynthia Hanus
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Bridget Diederich
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Joseph & Chelsea Meduna
260. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jennifer Tarzian
261. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Drew Hines
262. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jakob Andreasen
263. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jacquelin Doering
264. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Carrie Stratman
265. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Josh Andreasen
266. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Janel Andreasen
267. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Laura Reese
268. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Deb Olson
269. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Michelle Oelke
270. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Rob Bryant
271. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Paula Kucera
272. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kelby Meyers
273. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ashley Ronnebaum (Non Resident)
274. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Dave Hubertus
275. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Chelsea Schauer
276. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Mary Pat Waite
277. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kelly Callan
278. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Gerald Doty
279. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Monica Henkenius
280. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Shane Kennett
281. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Amanda Weeder (Non Resident)
282. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Carolyn Dalton
283. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Matt Weeder
284. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kelly Halverson
285. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jeremiah Zimmerman
286. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sara Siedel
287. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Catherine Rolfson
288. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kevin Bousquet
289. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Hannah Bauer
290. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Theodore Kinkaid
291. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Maximilian Doty
292. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kari Bazan
293. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Julia Cuellar
294. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Joshua Burks
295. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Amy Johnson
296. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Bill & Cynthia Hanus
297. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Katherine Johnstone
298. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Julie Kirk
299. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ian Johnstone
300. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ken Pinkerton
301. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kacy Steiner
302. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kimberly Robinson
303. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jonathan Andreasen
304. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ruthie Maguire
305. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sandy Payne
306. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Randall Zimmerman
307. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ellie Beiermann
308. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Laura Frayser
309. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Matt & Jennifer Davis
310. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kathleen Hubertus
311. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Amy Harshman
312. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Anne Larsen
313. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Stephanie Hohlen
314. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Amber Heeren
315. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Melissa Hovendick
316. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Janell Martin
317. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Emma St. Hilarie
318. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Zach McDermott
319. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ron Kuehn
320. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Emily McDermott
321. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jordan Zoucha
322. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Thomas Martin
323. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Rodrick Bruce
324. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Shane Slightom
325. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Denise Klein
326. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jean Brown
327. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Lindy Hiatt
328. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jay Perkins
329. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Trey Pittenger
330. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Shari Pittenger
331. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jenny Anderson
332. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Renee Hueser
333. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kendra Bee
334. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sarah Simpson
335. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sarah Simpson
336. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Angelina Krotz
337. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Amy Lyon
338. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jon Feauto
339. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Carrie Kortum
340. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kyle Wilkinson
341. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Michelle Sander
342. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ann Andrews
343. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Gretchen Wiese
344. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Connie Wostrel
345. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jeremiah Zimmerman
346. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Rajeanah Harris
347. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Nick Brandt
348. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Mike Davis
349. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Del Whitman
350. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Susan Fettig
351. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kristin Nelson
352. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Anna Cosby
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jon Walz
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Gary Fuller
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Lana Rosburg
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Julie Whitman
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Gayla Bahm
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Bethany Stamps
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ann Naderhoff
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Scott Fisher
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jeshan Kanaganayagan
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Gary & Carol Dunker
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kyle Schmit
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Judith Lewis
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Chuck Myers
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jace Bonsall
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Teresa Roeloffs
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kevin & Chananne Slepicka
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Brittany Sanchez
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Vincent Grove
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Annette Wemhoff
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kimberly Dolberg (Scottsbluff)
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Soloman Mwania
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Terri Pomajzl (Firth)
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jeanie Madsen
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Linda Korte
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jane Droud
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jerrad Elkins
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Gregory Firth
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Donna Mulder
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Deb Miller
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Cristi Bossemeyer
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Allen Korte
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Robyn Hays (Elkhorn)
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Chris Oerman
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Carolyn Johnson-Erb
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Nancy Wanamaker (Omaha)
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Keith Torgersen
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Steve Jensen
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jeanne Johnson
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Joan Texel
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Tyler Shada (Orleans)
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Karen Carlsen
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ronica Stromberg
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Katie Bugbee
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sandi Thurmond
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Dawn Trail
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kay Moore
“Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Charlene Luethje
401. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Maranda Hoefs
402. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Bethany Korsmo
403. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Gregory Brown (Kearney)
404. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kelsi Oldenkamp
405. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Frances Cupples (Fullerton)
406. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kali Hollstien
407. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Debra Kalama
408. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Adrienne Egan
409. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Tony & Pat Indebitzen
410. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Carol Butte
411. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jessica Young
412. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Amber Detweiler
413. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Eddie Alderman
414. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Lisa McInerney
415. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kay Moore
416. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Gary Schultz (Lexington)
417. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Elizabeth Christenson
418. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Laurie McKenzie
419. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Terry Zoucha
420. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Alan- Delores Farlin (Minden)
421. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Aletha Sands
422. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Lori Renaud
423. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Dede Gould (Omaha)
424. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Carol Watson (Non Resident)
425. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Julia Kercher
426. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Randy Jergens
427. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Shirley Schuman (Non Resident)
428. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Joann Tumbleson
429. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Cheryl Friberg
430. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Julie Ralston
431. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Donald Glover
432. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Donald Glover
433. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Blanca Ramirez-Salazar
434. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Deanna Troxel
435. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Karen St Pierre
436. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Dale Sutter
437. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jim Fosnaugh
438. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Rachel Akridge
439. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Diane Terpsma
440. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Susan Jagoda
441. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Paul Liess
442. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jill Smith
443. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Martha Meyers (Bennet)
444. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Katrina Lange
445. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Richard O’Conness
446. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sara Kurtenbach
447. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kim Ochsner
448. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ashleigh Huffman
449. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Joyne Howsden
450. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Emilie Schardt
451. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Meghan Scdoris
452. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, D McIntosh
453. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sudie Bock
454. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, D McIntosh
455. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sudie Bock
456. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Bev Westerberg
457. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Joe Buda
458. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Mike Kenney
459. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Maxine Halsey
460. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Nancy Pekny
461. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Mary Gabel
462. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Brian Pfeiffer
463. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Lynette Sorrentino
464. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Lynn Brennan
465. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Emily Pfeiffer
466. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Liz Davids
467. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Leslie Sims
468. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Cindy Schlegelmilch
469. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Linoma Wingate
470. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Greg Hood
471. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Stephanie Johnson
472. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Joyce Porter
473. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Don Olson
474. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Aaron Beauclair
475. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Doyle Hulme
476. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Becky Mooss
477. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Pamela Wrightsman
478. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Gregg Aksamit
479. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Natalie Hubak
480. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kayli Starr (Raymond)
481. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sandra Hilsabeck
482. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Julie Bottger
483. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kevin Hessheimer
484. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Mark Canfield
485. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Stanley Heider
486. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Karen Walter
487. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Mary Miller
488. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Karen Lynn Knaup
489. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sandy Anderson
490. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Shane Lowrey
491. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jessi Yoder
492. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Tom Nebelsick
493. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sandy Riggs
494. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Glenda Cunning
495. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Robbie Klever
496. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Dacia Gillett
497. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Doug Harral
498. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Doug Harral
499. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Nadine Nebelsick
500. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Cindy West
501. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Twila Gillett
502. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Jaime Schmidt
503. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Sara Whisenhunt
504. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Michael & Lesley Hoover
505. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Shelli Cook
506. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Emily Villa
507. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Chris Williams
508. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Samantha Barnhouse
509. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Lisa Clark
510. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Brent Nelson
511. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Daniel Schiermann
512. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Karen Kropp (Non Resident)
513. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Angela Reiling
514. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Jeanie Madsen
515. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Kathy Deaver
516. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Lynn Brechbill
517. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Janice Stidd
518. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Cindy Skiles
519. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Mary Palmer
520. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Mary Palmer
521. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Barb Calcura
522. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Mae Pohlmann
523. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Gary Dorn
524. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Gary Roberts
525. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Sam Mwania
526. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, James Goni
527. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Tony & Pat Indebitzen
528. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Danielle Klafter
529. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Duane Helmink
530. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Laura Rauscher
531. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Cathy Mann
532. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Twila Gillett
533. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Doyle Peterson
534. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Cortney Criswell
535. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Lisa Pitts
536. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Chris Conkel
537. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Nancy Loeffel
538. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Dwane Rauscher
539. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Cynthia Hartman
540. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Gary Knaub
541. "Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity" mass form email, Greta Bloyd
542. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Lynette Koehne
543. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Joelle Knott (Minden)
544. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jimmy Kilzer
545. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Cynthia Muilenburg (Aurora)
546. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Janie Drahota (Madison)
547. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ann Borchers
548. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Terry Nelson
549. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Gina Kilzer
550. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Lori Lubbe
551. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Victoria Riss
552. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Julene Schoen
553. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Katherine Anetsberger
554. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Dan & Nancy Gibson
555. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Cyrilla Murdock
556. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Tessa Harris
557. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Abraham Klafter
558. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Sandy Riggs
559. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Amber Watson
560. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Amber Danielle
561. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jason Bingham
563. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kristen Welch
564. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Rich Justus
565. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jami Heiss
566. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Bradley Reinke
567. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, John Bailey
568. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Dorothy Liess (Kearney)
569. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Terri Petersen
570. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Don Woodburn
571. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kenneth Petersen
572. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ed Nix
573. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, James Loeffel
574. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Marcilee Hergenrader
575. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Brittany Perrine
576. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Ron Merrill
577. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Esther Oborny
578. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Crystal Miller
579. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Jan Amm
580. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Carol Hansen
581. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Vicki Keck
582. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Vicki Keck
583. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Paul Welch
584. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Peggy Anderson (Omaha)
585. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Kay Meiler
586. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Christina Sarber-Shoff
587. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Judy Runn
588. “Title 11/Oppose Gender Identity” mass form email, Carol Robison
VII. ADJOURNMENT
Memorandum

Date: February 8, 2022
To: City Clerk
From: Alexis Longstreet, Planning Dept.
Re: Administrative Approvals
cc: Geri Rorabaugh, Planning Dept.

This is a list of City administrative approvals by the Planning Director from February 1, 2022, through February 7, 2022:

Administrative Amendment 21074 to Change of Zone #16038 Terrace Gardens Planned Unit Development to revise the northern residential area along NW 35th Court to change the detached single family lots to attached single family lots generally located at N. 35th Street and Superior Street approved by the Planning Director on February 1, 2022.
See attached.

Thank you,

Shelli Reid
Administrative Aide
Lincoln-Lancaster County Planning Dept.
Ph: 402-441-6363
sreid@lincoln.ne.gov
Dear Lincoln City Council and Lincoln City Planning Staff,

On behalf of Hope Community Church, a church located within the proposed limits of the annexation and planned unit development proposed by Hoppe Development, please accept this letter in strong support of the proposed residential community known as Foxtail Meadows (Annexation #21011, Change of Zone #21055).

When our congregation purchased our site several years ago, it was our hope that we would eventually be part of a community and be integrated into a neighborhood. Our initial purchase included over 20 acres of land, of which our facilities occupied approximately 6 acres, and the balance was deemed excess land that we expected would one day be developed. We were looking for a development collaborator who could commit to maintaining a compatible use of the excess land with our campus and mission (to bring people into a closer relationship with Jesus).

Hoppe Development offered an opportunity for Hope Community Church to develop this asset into a residential community, where our current campus could become the center of a broader neighborhood. They enabled us to participate in the design and layout of the excess land, such that we had a voice in ensuring compatibility with our current and future uses. And finally, their effort to integrate affordable housing into the project is compatible with our mission. As a congregation, we appreciate the collaborative process and final designs that have been created, and we look forward to watching the neighborhood emerge over time.

Thank you for the opportunity to voice our support for this project.

Matt Osmond
Chair, Hope Church Deacon Board
Attached for your information.

Thank you,

Shelli Reid
Administrative Aide
Lincoln-Lancaster County Planning Dept.
Ph: 402-441-6363
sreid@lincoln.ne.gov
Memorandum

Date: February 8, 2022
To: City Clerk
From: Alexis Longstreet, Planning Dept.
Re: Administrative Approvals
cc: Geri Rorabaugh, Planning Dept.

This is a list of City administrative approvals by the Planning Director from February 1, 2022, through February 7, 2022:

Administrative Amendment 21074 to Change of Zone #16038 Terrace Gardens Planned Unit Development to revise the northern residential area along NW 35th Court to change the detached single family lots to attached single family lots generally located at N. 35th Street and Superior Street approved by the Planning Director on February 1, 2022.
See attached "2ND revised" action agenda. There was a change to the action on SAV21009.

Thank you,

Shelli Reid
Administrative Aide
Lincoln-Lancaster County Planning Dept.
Ph: 402-441-6363
sreid@lincoln.ne.gov
REvised
**Action by Planning Commission**

Notice: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, January 19, 2022, at 1:00 p.m. in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

Masks are strongly encouraged for our public meetings in this building.

**Please Note:** The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of *Final Action*. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

The Planning Commission will be allowing testimony on agenda items by videoconferencing. For those who wish to testify by video, you must register with the Planning Department Office to participate by calling 402-441-7491 or emailing Plan@lincoln.ne.gov by 10:00 a.m. the day of the meeting. You will be asked to provide your name, address, phone number and the agenda item(s) you wish to speak on, and your position on this item. On the day of the hearing, you will receive a link via email, which will be needed to join the hearing to provide your testimony.

AGENDA

Wednesday, February 2, 2022

[Commissioner Joy absent]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held January 19, 2022. **APPROVED: 8-0: (Joy absent)**

1. Consent Agenda
   (Public Hearing and Administrative Action)

   Comprehensive Plan:

   1.1 Comprehensive Plan Conformance 22001, to review as to conformance with the 2050 Comprehensive Plan, a request to declare the property commonly known as Fire Station #12 as surplus, generally located at 2201 S. 84th Street.
   Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
   Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov
   Planning Commission recommendation: Finding of Conformance: 8-0 (Joy absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, February 28, 2022, 5:30 p.m.
MISCELLANEOUS:

1.2 STREET & ALLEY VACATION 21008, to vacate approximately 559 feet of Tara Hill Lane west of S. 59th Street Circle in the South 59th Street Coalition PUD (Planned Unit Development), adjacent to property generally located at S. 59th Street Circle and Pine Lake Road.

   Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
   Staff Planner: Brian Will, 402-441-6362, bwill@lincoln.ne.gov
   This item was removed from the Consent Agenda for a separate public hearing.
   Planning Commission recommendation: FINDING OF CONFORMANCE: 8-0 (Joy absent), Public hearing before the City Council will be scheduled when the provisions 14.20 of the Lincoln Municipal Code have been satisfied.

1.3 STREET & ALLEY VACATION 21009, to vacate a portion of N. 33rd Street at Schworer Drive, on property generally located at N. 33rd Street and Schworer Drive.

   Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
   Staff Planner: Rachel Christopher, 402-441-7603, rchristopher@lincoln.ne.gov
   Planning Commission recommendation: FINDING OF CONFORMANCE: 8-0 (Joy absent), Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, February 28, 2022, 5:30 p.m. when the provisions 14.20 of the Lincoln Municipal Code have been satisfied.

2. REQUESTS FOR DEFERRAL: None

3. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA: See item 1.2

4. PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:

   ANNEXATION AND RELATED ITEMS:

4.1a ANNEXATION 21011, to annex approximately 61.28 acres, more or less, on property generally located at the northeast corner and southeast corner of W. Pioneers Boulevard and S. Folsom Street.

   Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
   Staff Planner: Benjamin Callahan, 402-441-6360, bcallahan@lincoln.ne.gov
   Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL: 8-0 (Joy absent), as set forth in the conditions of the staff report dated January 20, 2022, Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, February 28, 2022, 5:30 p.m.

4.1b CHANGE OF ZONE 21055, from AG (Agricultural District) to R-4 (Residential District) PUD (Planned Unit Development) for the Foxtail Meadows PUD for up to 15,000 square feet of commercial floor area and up to 650 residential units with adjustments to the Zoning and Subdivision Ordinances, on property generally located at the southeast corner of W. Pioneers Boulevard and S. Folsom Street.

   Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
   Staff Planner: Benjamin Callahan, 402-441-6360, bcallahan@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL: 8-0 (Joy absent), as set forth in the conditions of the staff report dated January 20, 2022. Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, February 28, 2022, 5:30 p.m.

**********

AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO.

**********

Adjournment: 3:29 p.m.
Dear Mayor,

I am forwarding this to you because I have wrote the City Council twice now and they are not responding to my letter. I don't understand it is a law about having junk cars parked on a residential street and on the property but yet Kendal Salvage can do it and the other auto repair can. Also, using the city streets to store your trailers for months and not being moved. The Police department won't do anything either. Do they have a pull with you all that they can make the neighborhood look like one BIG JUNK YARD? It is wrong and something needs to be done about it. Also, the car lot on West "O" Street between Wicked Smoke and Dollar General. That is not a car lot. It is a junk yard storing cars, boats, semi trailers that are junk. It is an eye sore on West "O" Street. I have made several complaints to the building and safety and they do nothing about it. Why doesn't Lincoln work on West "O" Street and make it grow like North and South. West "O" needs more than gas stations and car lots. Putting a Caseys on West O was a terrible mistake. It put one gas station out of business. They run out the mom and pop businesses. I was told money got them on West O. Let's start working together and make Lincoln a better place all around not just certain areas of Lincoln. Why not a pharmacy, a Target. More eating places. Thanks for taking the time to read my letter. I hope I receive a reply back from you about this. Especially, about the junk cars on 1500 hundred block of South 2nd Street, Garfield and 1st street where Kendall has alot of junk cars right out front, outside the fence.

Thanks,

Deb Roberts

---

City Council,

I am resending this to you because you are responding to me. What is the deal that you will not respond or do anything to these people. The junk cars are still sitting on the streets and using the city streets for storage. Please respond to my letter if not, I will contact the Mayor or the Governor

Thank you,

Debra Roberts
Hello City Council,

I am writing to you all because we have an issue with some property owners with Junk cars and cars parked on the street for months damaged and using 3 trailers as storage on city property. We have called the Police but they will not do nothing to them. I know it is a City Ordinance that vehicles have to be licenced, insured and running and moved on residential property. I will list the addresses and what they are doing.

1. Kendle’s Auto Salvage 1645 South 1st Street - Junk cars outside the fence. I was told they are to be parked behind the fence area. 1510 South 2nd Street. He has lots of vehicles parked on the property and not fenced in. He owns the house at 141 Garfield. He has rented the house for years and these vehicles have been parked in the grass for many years. Not registered, they don’t run and are not licensed. They are junk vehicles.
2. L & L 1530 South 2nd Street - This is a business that fixes vehicles. He has had a vehicle sitting on South 2nd Street across from his business that has been missing the front in for months and the windshield is all busted. Other Junk cars sitting on the street there. Also, on Garfield Street on the other side of his business he stores 3 trailers there on City property. And behind his business he has not mowed the Alley for quite some time now. He has junk piled in the Alley.

I want to bring it to your attention because LPD will not do anything about it. I didn’t know we could use City Property to store our equipment. This is very upsetting that just because it is in a neighborhood that is mostly businesses that the people that live in the area has to deal with this. An owner said she had a car her property and it has set for about 1 month because her grandson put it there because he couldn’t drive the car in the winter months. It sits to low. It was licensed, insured and ran. The Police told her that she had 3 days to get off the property. It couldn’t just sit there.

I would like to know why some people can do whatever they want and other people can’t. I would like it if you would look into this. That area looks like ONE BIG JUNK YARD!! It really looks bad. I appreciate that you have taken the time to read my letter. I look forward to hearing from you concerning the matter.

Thank you again,
Deb Roberts

Sent from Mail for Windows
Herb Welter
8750 Garland St.
Lincoln NE 68505

This is an old postcard.

To be in Bible Studies for anyone
Lincoln Council who would be interested.
No, 555 S, 10th St.
Simply open
Lincoln Hotel
Get my phone number.

No pressure.
To my knowledge the federal mask giveaway program doesn’t provide high quality children's masks. Are we making them available?

If not... could we use ARPA funds to do so and coordinate our activities with the federal program? Or... can we push the feds to do so?

Children's mask info from last August...


[https://twitter.com/DrEricDing/status/1426239279789920262](https://twitter.com/DrEricDing/status/1426239279789920262)

And info for a possible PSA...

See text graphic...

If you have an #N95 mask — which you definitely should or at least a KN95 or KF94 or FFP2—be sure you do NOT wash, heat, or use alcohol wipes on it. If you do reuse beyond a week, you need to find UV disinfection. The sun could work if strong & long enough - but not always.

[https://twitter.com/DrEricDing/status/1490943553752956930](https://twitter.com/DrEricDing/status/1490943553752956930)

University of Michigan study shows mask mandates at schools show lower COVID transmission rates


"Virus spread was 62% higher in school districts without mask rules."

[https://twitter.com/DrEricDing/status/1485684173096603655](https://twitter.com/DrEricDing/status/1485684173096603655)

See chart...

A new @CDCMMWR study shows that people who reported always wearing masks or respirators in indoor public settings in California were less likely to test positive for #COVID19 compared with those who reported not wearing a face covering. Learn more. [http://bit.ly/MMWR7106](http://bit.ly/MMWR7106)

[https://twitter.com/CDCgov/status/1489675180242870278](https://twitter.com/CDCgov/status/1489675180242870278)

One-Way Masking Works

If you’re vaccinated, boosted, and wearing an N95, you’re protected - no matter what others are doing.

[https://t.co/q11yk6WYd5](https://t.co/q11yk6WYd5)

First in a thread...

I compiled a list - as exhaustive as possible - of all peer-reviewed & published research articles that evaluate the effectiveness of nonpharmaceutical interventions, specifically lockdowns on COVID-19. Papers finding NPIs effective outnumber, by 8 to 1, those finding the opposite

[https://twitter.com/zorinaq/status/1490818743588384768](https://twitter.com/zorinaq/status/1490818743588384768)
Maribeth

Virus-free. www.avast.com
Our children are the future of our great city! We need them to be taught only strong accurate education in Math, Science, English, History, Civics etc. We do not want them to be subjected to CRT, Comprehensive Sex Education, Demon Worship etc. Sex Education must be taught by parents, guardians and the children's doctors only. We must keep our children's minds appropriately educated in the fundamental subjects so that they can become upright citizens of Lincoln, Nebraska, and the USA! Our tax dollars must always be spent with the positive growth of our precious children.

A Very Concerned Citizen
Good morning,

We were so disappointed to hear the Health Director given the authority to again extend the mask mandate.

With much of the country ending restrictions and some never even imposing masks on children, it’s becoming increasingly alarming that our children continue to be required to be masked up in school. This is not a short term situation they’ve been placed into. The teachers and students have been wearing them for days, months, and now years. Have you heard how this is causing problems?

Please consider the health of our children and the damaging effects the continual required masking is causing to their social, mental, physical and academic wellbeing. Please consider our teachers who are beyond exasperated and worn out from these additional requirements that we firmly believe would be lifted by Lincoln Public Schools if given the opportunity to do so. Is this what you hear from them at the joint meetings? This alone should end the required masking as they know the needs of the staff and students and parents better than any government official.

We have good teachers who are leaving or retiring at the end of the year, students who have left to pursue private schooling options where masks are not required despite the DHM. Along with the uncertainty of a new superintendent, you need to give the parents reason to leave their kids at Lincoln Public schools despite the fact it would break our hearts to leave teachers and administrators that we love. They know our students the best and yet, their hands are tied to remove masks for those who need to go without.

It broke my heart this morning to pull up to our middle school and see a severely handicapped child with many disabilities being placed into her wheelchair, with so many physical limitations, a protective helmet on and struggling with a mask on her face as well. Administrator was kindly, patiently helping the parent get the child into the building. I thought how disheartening it was that this child had to wear a facial barrier between her and those who care for her every day when she already navigates many other obstacles that other students do not.

Please take back the authority, end the mask mandate.

The hospitals have proven they can navigate their capacity issues when they arise.

Everyone has had the chance to be vaccinated who wants to be. This is a personal responsibility issue and everyone is given the choice. **A mask is also a medical decision that should be an option for every parent, child, teacher, staff, employee within the LLCHD area.** It is not “just a mask”, it’s changed lives in detrimental ways for those who really need to be mask free for the sake of their own health.

What could we do instead? The LLCHD could be as proactive in promoting healthy living as you are in promoting other ways to prevent illness. Healthy living, healthy lifestyles, good vitamins and supplements that have been proven to reduce the severity of the illness. Why not encourage people to seek medical help or clinical evaluation right away early on in their Covid illness to get treatments that help keep them alive and out of the hospital. Not just a test, a doctor’s evaluation! Seeking a doctor’s help early on saved my dad’s life and kept him out of the hospital. There are great doctors in town who want to help.
When people get Covid it has become shameful thing because everyone was initially told if you get vaccinated you wouldn’t get sick or go to the hospital. Those who chose not to get vaccinated are fearful they may not receive the treatment they need because of a personal medical decision they made for their own good. Let people know that it’s ok to seek that medical attention for early intervention no matter the vaccination status.

Thank you for your time, we know it’s been a long 2 1/2 years for you guys as well. We love Lincoln. We’ve lived here our whole lives, our parents grew up here too.

Lead the city, let the emergency powers end.

Kari McGrew
Lincoln, NE
I’ll continue to beat this drum. This is unhealthy for our kids in so many ways. This is just another example.

I spoke to one council member who stated “the only ones with anxiety in schools about masks is the parents.” While praising California’s laws.

That’s an example of pulling the wool over your eyes.

This is Nebraska and we need to do better for our kids. Covid statistics are proven to be minimal in children and masking is proven to be ineffective. The damage being done is worse through masking efforts than without.

Lincoln Public Schools have not had to publish their progress reports for about two years now. Is there a question as to why? I think it continues to be obvious.

https://thenationalpulse.com/2022/01/20/mask-mandates-causing-speech-delays/

Taylor Wyatt
402-440-0224
SimpliCity-Realtor
“If life was perfect, it wouldn’t be” ~Yogi Berra

Sent from my iPhone

IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT WIRE FRAUD:
Never trust changes to wiring instructions sent via email. Never change wiring instructions based on an email. Cyber criminals are hacking email accounts and sending emails with fraudulent wiring instructions. These emails are convincing and sophisticated. Always independently confirm wiring instructions in person or via a telephone call to a trusted and verified phone number just before wiring the funds. Never wire money without double-checking that the wiring instructions are correct.
I am the president of Joining Forces Saving Lives and I would like to know how I go about setting up a time to speak with each city council member via phone or zoom.

Our non-profit organization was established in 2012. We work collaboratively with Capital Human Society (CHS) and The Cat House and all animal organizations in Lincoln and surrounding areas. In the past 4 years, we have trap neuter and returned (TNR) over 2,000 cats from Lincoln and surrounding areas. We have also found barn homes for over 300 working cats from CHS that were not adoptable and found homes for hundreds of kittens we found doing TNR.

Lincoln is an amazing community and we should be doing better at getting the population of outdoor cats tnr’ed and solve the outdoor cat population problem humanly through TNR and spay and neuter.

This needs to be a community wide initiative and we would like to discuss how we can help make this happen.

Please let me know how to go about beginning this discussion with the city council members.

Thank you,

Melissa (Mitsi) Money-Beecher
President, Joining Forces Saving Lives
4660 S 86th Ct. 68526
402 304 8155 (personal cell)
402 937 9959 (Joining Forces Saving Lives phone number)
Lincoln City Council.

I hear you want to make Juneteenth Day a city holiday.

Does that mean you give "another" paid holiday to workers?

There is no way you should give away my tax dollars - again!

The reason I am against "Another Holiday" is: You then need to give the following another Holiday for Everyone - then "No one" will be working.

Holidays - or PLEASE - "I want the day off for:

January - Tu Bishvat - Jewish Holiday
MLK - All Blacks
Civil Rights Day
Confederate's Day
Natl. Freedom Day
Lunar New Year
1st Day of Black History Month

Feb.
Rosa Parks Day
Ronald Reagan Day
Lincoln's Birthday - He freed the slaves
February
Valentine's Day - for Lovers
Susan B. Anthony Day
Maha Shivaratri Day - Hindu Holiday

March
Isra and Mi'raj - Muslim
Shrove Tuesday - Christian
St. David's Day
1st Irish American Heritage Day
Employee Appreciation Day
Purim - Jewish
Holi - Hindu
Nalt. Vietnam Veterans Day
Cesar Chavez Day

April
Ramadan Day - Muslim
Maundy Thursday - Christian
Good Friday
Easter
Arbor Day
Passover
Tax Day
Admin. Professionals' Day

May
Law Day
Loyalty Day
1st Day of Jewish American Heritage Month
Cinco de Mayo
Nalt. Nurses Day
Military Spouse Day
Mothers Day
May
Victory in Europe Day
Native American Day
Armed Forces Day
Harvey Milk Day
Ascension Day
Memorial Day
1st Day of Pride Month
Native Am. Day
Loving Day
Corpus Christi
Gemeteenth Day
Father's Day
Emancipation Day
Independence Day

June

July

Aug.
Barack Obama Day - "F"
Purple Heart Day
Victory Day
Assumption of Mary
Nalt. Sr. Citizens
Women's Equality Day

Sep
Labor Day
Native Am. Day
Patriot Day
Navitdia - Muslim

Oct
Child Health Day
Yom Kippur - Jewish
Robert E. Lee
Columbus Day
Indigenous Peoples Day
White Lane Safety Day
Halloween

Nov
All Saints Day
Nativ Am. Heritage Month
Election Day
Marine Corps Day

Dec
3 more Am. Indian Heritage Day
Pearl Harbor Day
Nalt. Guard Day
Christmas Eve & Christmas (OVER)
O.K. if you want to make June 19th an "Observance Day" fine.

Everything and everyone has a "special Day".

But- Please do not make another Day off; Soon-

No one will work as "everyone" will stomp - scream - march and yell "I want the day off"
 "I am special!" Waa - Waa Waa!!

Name withheld.
JaMel E. Ways

From: Lynn Stangl <l.13stangl@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2022 2:14 PM
To: Council Packet
Subject: Fairness ordinance

I just want to say I believe the ordinance should be put to the vote of the people.
From: Bruce Whitten <bwhitten49@live.com>  
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2022 8:28 AM  
To: Council Packet  
Subject: Title 11

Please vote to kill the Title 11 bill that comes up for a council vote this next Monday.
Sent from my iPhone
Dear Council... We would like to ask that this proposed law would be publicly debated at length, and then put before the voters to decide.

If it is justified we would support it... If it is good law and solid policy to redefine sex it will pass. But it deserves a vote of the people. Sincerely, John & Dianne Sullivan Lincoln NE.
Kill this bill there should not be any special treatment for these type of People God was clear when he made us male and female you all sick thinking you could sneak this thru shame on you all
Please either kill this piece of legislation or let it go to a vote of the people. This is reverse discrimination at the worst.

Paul Liess
Hello,

I the minutes for the past 5 months seem to be missing and I would appreciate the law makers following the law by letting us know what is being discussed at the council meetings.

Also PLEASE let us vote on the fairness bill as PER LAW it should be an item on the ballot.

Thank you for your service and I am hoping and praying that you in fact are serving ALL the citizens of Lincoln and not just a few select groups.

Jane Corkill
Good Afternoon Council Members,

Title 11 needs to be thrown out and quickly. Let the people of Lincoln vote on this atrocity.

I was born in Lincoln. I vote regularly. I care that our community is becoming lurid. I know people in the LBGQT community and have even done Bible studies with them. This Title 11 offends me greatly but even more so it offends our God.

Thank you for your time.

Bonnie Hoegemeyer
7655 O'Rourke Dr.
Lincoln NE 68516

bonniehoegemeyer@gmail.com
To: Lincoln City Council

Re: Redefining “sex” to include sexual orientation or sexual preference or sexual anything that isn’t “male” or “female

I am writing to oppose Title 11 and I find it appalling that you, who are elected officials, would take it upon yourselves to even consider passing this ordinance without allowing the voters in Lincoln to have a voice by means of voting. It is obvious to me that the majority of our citizens would be opposed to the ordinance, as they were in 2012, and yet, you want to sneak it into the city behind our backs? LET THE PEOPLE VOTE and you will find that the majority of us are NOT in favor of having men, who think they might be a woman, or are pedophile, or any other gender that is not female, walking into a women’s restroom—it’s not right, not natural, not the way it has been for generations. And speaking of right, to make it plural, “rights”—what about our rights of going into a restroom where only other women are present or for having our daughters or granddaughters, who, for all their lives, have known only men and women, boys and girls restrooms, and to enter a restroom followed by a man? It would be frightening and this stuff is not for Lincoln, NE. We are a conservative, family values city and state and we don’t want any political or social agenda pushed down our throats.

In our city, the “gender-confused” people are in the MINORITY and that’s where fairness comes in? What is “fair” for the majority? I’m sorry, but this is just blatantly wrong to proceed without major input from the people who live here. Oh, and then, to “mandate” churches in this ordinance, basically anywhere there are designated restrooms? That is taking this way too far.

In 2016, Target set a policy of allowing transgenders to use the “bathroom of their choice” in all their stores. A boycott ensued with 1.4 million signatures in favor of the boycott and Target back-pedaled, installing single-toilet bathrooms (lockable door).

We don’t have the option of boycotting Lincoln. I love this city (and have lived here longer than some of you have been alive) and I will not sit on my hands and do nothing while politicians take matters into their own hands.

In the name of decency and common sense, please do the right thing for Lincoln, Nebraska and let the people of this city vote on Title 11.

Thank you.

Sharon Sevenker
Lincoln, NE

Sent from my iPad
Kill Title 11 or let the people of the city of Lincoln decide in a vote
The city council has no right to vote in behalf of the city of Lincoln. Let the people of Lincoln and the surrounding areas vote on issues pertaining to sexual orientation.

Ron German
Kill title 11 or let the people vote. This is not for the city council to decide.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello,

I thought Todd Watson had some insightful comments as how many of us common people have really read this whole ordinance?

I had know idea it included regulations for comfort dogs or lack there of...

Also, we already have state and federal rulings on fair practices--and as someone pointed out, there seemed to be only 3 violations in one year.

You really need something of this magnitude to be brought to a vote by the people.

Best regards,
Deanna McClintick
What I am proposing below are updates to Municipal Code 4.04 Charter Revision Commission to make the Commission more representative of the citizens of Lincoln. To be more open and responsive to input from the citizens of Lincoln. And to become a slightly more formal and integrated part of city government. I am hoping that you will agree with these changes and bring them to a Council agenda for discussion and approval.

Adding "politically" to the following sentence will make the commission more representative of the citizens of Lincoln. To show the need for this I have a very recent example. One of the changes I proposed this summer to the charter revision commission was to have term limits for council members. This was dismissed with very little discussion and with dismissive and divisive language which denigrated all voters that support term limits. This was not a surprise considering the political makeup of the Commission, one republican, five non-partisan, and nine democrats. When you consider that the voters of Lincoln approved mayoral term limits by a solid margin and that polling shows strong general support for term limits the issue of term limits for council members deserved a more robust and fair discussion than it received. The only way this happens is to have a politically balanced commission. Politically charged issues have and will come before the commission. Any commission as out of balance as the current commission will be accused of partisanship by those who do not agree with the vote of the commission. The only way to reduce this is to have a politically balanced commission.

In making appointments to the commission, the Mayor shall attempt to achieve a balanced commission membership which shall be a reasonable representative cross-section of the residents of the city, both geographically, politically, and socio-economically.

Currently there is no formal appeal of commission decisions. This effectively gives an unelected body a veto over charter revisions. This needs to be addressed. I am proposing in the language below that the commission send a recommendation to the council for all revisions it reviews. Currently if the commission does not like a revision it dies in the commission. For example, have you received any information about the changes passed over by the commission this summer, mostly for what I consider questionable reasons? Don't wait up, you will not see anything. This change will put the rejected revisions in front of the council so that each council member can decide if they want a rejected change to be added to the council agenda for further discussion.

The Charter Revision Commission is charged with the duty and responsibility to make recommendations to the Mayor and City Council with regard to all revisions presented to it to revise the Home Rule Charter of the City of Lincoln which it shall deem advisable. Each recommendation sent to the Mayor and City Council shall include the details of the Commission recommendation and the vote of the Commission. The Commission may pass a recommendation to the Mayor and City Council that it has taken no position on a revision due to the strong political nature of the revision or for other reasons stated in the recommendation.

The next two changes that I recommend are first to increase the number of required meetings to one per quarter. A commission this important should meet more than once a year. Second to have the Commission review charter revisions proposed by city administration. With few exceptions all charter revisions should go through the same process. When the Council and Mayor find reason to speed the process it should be allowed but it should be the exception. Without this change the Commission is just a buffer between the Mayor and Council and the citizens of Lincoln.
The Commission shall conduct at least one public meeting each quarter annually for the purpose of receiving recommendations from the public and city administration regarding revisions to the Charter and to take action upon any matters before the commission relating to proposed Charter revisions. If no revisions to the charter have been presented to the city attorney acting as the commission's secretary a scheduled quarterly meeting maybe cancelled.

Finally, the last change I am suggesting is to make sure that the public is heard at the Charter Revision Commission meetings. At almost every meeting that I have attended I have had to ask to be heard on the issues I presented to the Commission. The public should be given time to provide input on all issues before the Commission, just as they are before the Council.

The Commission shall provide and publish information about how to submit suggestions to the Charter Revision Commission. The Commission shall publish along with its meeting agenda the proposed revisions to the charter it has received for review when the notice of a meeting is published. The Commission shall provide for public input on each revision it reviews along with an open comment period.

These changes will help to make the Commission more representative of the citizens of Lincoln. They will clarify the purpose of the Commission, to make recommendations to the Mayor and Council on all revisions to the Charter. And they will make the Commission more open and responsive to the citizens of Lincoln. Please consider adding these changes to the Council agenda and then approving them after an open and robust discussion.

Municipal Code with Suggested Changes

Chapter 4.04 CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION
Contents:
4.04.010 Charter Revision Commission Established; Membership.
4.04.020 Duties; Public Meetings.
4.04.030 Officers and Rules.

4.04.010 Charter Revision Commission Established; Membership.

There is hereby created and established a fifteen-member advisory commission to be known as the Lincoln Charter Revision Commission. The members of this commission shall be appointed by the Mayor, subject to approval by the City Council. The initial terms of members appointed to the commission shall be staggered so that three members are appointed for a one-year term, four members are appointed for a two-year term, four members are appointed for a three-year term, and four members are appointed for a four-year term. Thereafter, all members of the commission shall be appointed for a four-year term. In making appointments to the commission, the Mayor shall attempt to achieve a balanced commission membership which shall be a reasonable representative cross-section of the residents of the city, both geographically, politically, and socio-economically. The City Attorney shall be an ex officio member of the commission and shall serve as its legal advisor and secretary. (Ord. 15429 §1; February 12, 1990: P.C. §2.80.010: Ord. 11084 §1; May 6, 1974).

4.04.020 Duties; Public Meetings.

The Charter Revision Commission is charged with the duty and responsibility to make recommendations to the Mayor and City Council with regard to all revisions presented to it to revise the Home Rule Charter of the City of Lincoln—which it shall deem advisable. Each recommendation sent to the Mayor and City Council shall include the details of the
Commission recommendation and the vote of the Commission. The Commission may pass a recommendation to the Mayor and City Council that it has taken no position on a revision due to the strong political nature of the revision or for other reasons stated in the recommendation.

The Commission shall conduct at least one public meeting each quarter annually for the purpose of receiving recommendations from the public and city administration regarding revisions to the Charter and to take action upon any matters before the commission relating to proposed Charter revisions. If no revisions to the charter have been presented to the city attorney acting as the commission's secretary a scheduled quarterly meeting may be cancelled.

The Commission shall provide and publish information about how to submit suggestions to the Charter Revision Commission. The Commission shall publish along with its meeting agenda the proposed revisions to the charter it has received for review when the notice of a meeting is published. The Commission shall provide for public input on each revision it reviews along with an open comment period.

4.04.030 Officers and Rules.

The commission shall elect a chairperson, vice-chairperson, and such other officers as it shall deem necessary to serve for such terms as it shall prescribe. The commission shall also adopt formal written rules for the conduct of its business and proceedings. (Ord. 15429 §3; February 12, 1990: P.C. §2.80.030; Ord. 11084 §3; May 6, 1974).

Thank you for your time and service.

Jim Frohman
7335 Pioneers Blvd
Apt. 212
Lincoln, NE 68506
402.617.2484
jimfrohman@outlook.com
Hello members of the city council,

I really hope that I can be in front of you today to discuss this topic, but just in case I can’t be, I would like to offer some things for you to critically think about and analyze before you go through some of the changes that you are proposing.

1. Are you legally able to make those changes? I know something like this has failed before. Why is this bypassing the vote of the people? This, to me, does not seem very transparent. Furthermore, this discussion seems to be rather rushed isn’t it? Something like this will impact everyone, and yet, we have today to discuss it, and it will be voted on next week.

2. Are you realizing that some of these changes get rid of protection for biological females and children? Think about this ... would a biological female be able to defend herself against a biological male against assault? Would a child be able to defend him or herself? This is a safety issue. What are you going to do to ensure that what happened to a girl in VA does not happen here?

3. Have you addressed what liability you’re willing to take on if someone is hurt due to some of these changes?

I don’t think that all of the language is bad, but I think some of these revisions take it too far. Your job and responsibility is to protect all citizens of this city, not sacrifice the safety of one group for another. Everyone deserves to be treated with respect, without discrimination and harassment, but opening up protected spaces where people are most vulnerable is not the way.

I have learned from our pandemic that ordinances are rules are quick to be passed without ownership of the consequences, and when push comes to shove, I don’t think anyone will stand my a woman or a child. They will be told what I was told when I reported harassment, bullying, and picture taking of me in the community because I can’t wear a mask ... “Call the police if you feel you’re in danger.” Quite frankly, what I told the public office is that I’ll be dead by the time the officer shows up as LPD is short-staffed as it is.

Please put together a committee (I am volunteering to be on this committee as a member of minority, a woman, and a healthcare professional) to look at this ordinance from multiple perspectives, to give it the due process it deserves. This should not be a quick decision as you’ve not taken everyone’s thoughts into consideration. I am 99.9% sure that you have not addressed the immigrant/refugee population.

Thank you,

Elina Newman, PhD, CPhT
From: Janine <jajfranzen@neb.rr.com>  
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 9:46 PM  
To: Council Packet  
Subject: OPPOSITION TITLE 11 KILL THIS bill

OPPOSITION TITLE 11 or let the people vote!  
Kill this bill.  
A very concerned citizen of Lincoln!

Janine Franzen  
Sent from my iPhone
To Members of The Lincoln City Council:

We are writing your body to register our support for the proposed Fairness Ordinance. As we understand it, this Ordinance, if passed, would, among other actions, redefine “sex” to include sexual orientation and personal gender identification. In our opinion, this action is long overdue. We have been embarrassed that Omaha managed to accomplish passing a similar law ten years ago.

Morally, we believe passage should take place because it is never too late to do the right thing. Further, we believe this is an action to be enacted by your body and not, as some have suggested, by a vote of the people. Equal rights and protections under the law should never be decided by popular vote especially when so many are being influenced by so much disinformation and fear mongering.

And, there are also practical and financial reasons to do so. Failure by the Council to enact this ordinance will harm Lincoln’s reputation as a welcoming city and discourage desired groups of people to choose Lincoln as their home. This is especially important now as we see so many businesses needing additional employees to support the City’s growth and commerce.

In closing, we urge you to pass this ordinance and firmly state that discrimination isn’t allowed in our city.

Sincerely,
Maureen & James Harvey
3100 Puritan Avenue
Lincoln, NE 68502
Dear Council Members,

It was my privilege to work on a Fairness Ordinance committee in 2012 with Jeff Kirkpatrick, Carl Eskridge, Lin Quenzer and others. Having been involved in LGBTQ+ activism and advocacy for decades, we knew then what is still true today, that our city needs to create a safe environment for all its residents, and that is not always the case.

As the formal LGBTQ+ Liaison for the Lincoln Police Department for many years, while simultaneously being on the Board of Directors for PFLAG Lincoln, I heard too many heart wrenching stories, both personally and professionally, demonstrating clear cases of discrimination and acts of violence.

While opponents spend a great deal of time speculating about their potential vulnerability to what would be criminal events by heterosexuals, the LGBTQ+ community has to navigate real life safety issues daily. As we know and can demonstrate, hate crimes can and do occur, when individuals lash out at others solely and specifically because of their perceptions about being an LGBTQ+ person. Thus, it's only reasonable to understand that bias and prejudice towards this minority group does exist and drives behaviors.

Because my field of study is organizational psychology, I can point towards ongoing research that demonstrates how social values play an instrumental role in shaping the attitudes and behaviors of individuals and organizations, especially towards employment and business (ie housing) relationships. When the leaders of our community take a stand in their declaration that all individuals will be treated with integrity and respect, this informs and shapes our city's identity and culture. This is why the language in Title 11 needs to be changed so it will be equally representative of and applicable to all of our citizens.

On a personal note, my family has suffered a tragic loss directly connected to the mistreatment of LGBTQ+ persons, and that has been my motivation to connect with and support those who are creating needed change. Please accept my sincere gratitude for your efforts and know that as a decades long employee of the City of Lincoln, I am incredibly proud of and heartened by its current leadership.

Cheri Howard
5415 Sawgrass Drive
Lincoln, NE 68526
402-525-9725
Dear City Council Members,

I am writing today in support of the council’s revision of Title 11 because it will:

--add protections against discrimination in employment, housing, and public accommodation on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity.
--add protections against discrimination on the basis of marital status, military status, and veteran status; strengthen coverage for people with disabilities.
--update the definition of race to include hair texture and hairstyles.
--update the definition of national origin to include tribal affiliation
--make needed updates to language.
--bring Lincoln’s Municipal Code in line with the Supreme Court’s 2020 Bostock decision.

It is past time for these revisions to be put in place. This will only make life better for those in our community.

Thank You,
Deborah Levitov
3520 S 35th St
Lincoln, NE 68506
402-202-1710
dlevitov@gmail.com
JaMel E. Ways

From: Ashlie Thompson <hey_ashlie@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 9, 2022 6:37 PM
To: Council Packet
Subject: Approve fairness ordinance

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Hello Lincoln City Council

I live and work in Lincoln, NE. I would like to voice my support of the Fairness Ordinance. We should NOT be able to discriminate anyone based on anything. Sexual orientation and gender identity needs to be protected. It is already on a federal level. Let’s do this thing.

Ashlie Thompson
7830 Cherrywood Dr
Lincoln NE 68510

Sent from my iPhone
To all Lincoln City Council Members,

I want to thank each of you for bringing the Fairness Ordinance back to the table and to let you know that I am very much in favor of its passing. I agree with the frequent phrase of supporters that "this is the right time" to ensure protection of full rights for ALL citizens of Lincoln. I wish I could have testified at the public comment session on Monday. However, at the age of 72, I am still taking extra precautions as our latest COVID cases are just beginning to decline. Instead, I choose to voice my opinions in this email.

I am very thankful that this ordinance will address not only persons who identify as LGBTQ+, but also People of Color, Veterans, and people with disabilities. We are all part of the same family and deserve to live with the respect and freedom to live in a society that protects individuals and creates an environment that supports all to live to their full potential.

Since the inclusion of those who identify as LGBTQ+ seems to be the biggest stumbling block for many people, I will address my understanding from a Christian point of view. Every time I hear an opponent to the ordinance say "the Bible defines sex as male and female", I cringe. I am a life-long Christian in the Lutheran church - a daughter of a pastor and the wife of a pastor. I believe we are ALL created in God's image and that God loves diversity, not only in humans but all of creation. In both the Old and New Testaments, the Bible overflows with messages of love and care for others as well as inclusion and justice.

In honor of my gay brothers, my questioning granddaughter and my transgender great-nephew, I thank you for revisiting this issue and implore you to vote yes.

Thank you,
Elsa Larson
6039 Cullen Dr
Lincoln, NE 68506
To the council,

I have regrettably lived in Lincoln for last 7 years. During that time, I haven’t been able to hold my husband’s hand in public as a happy, healthy, gay male couple. I avoid downtown as we’ve been approached by complete strangers with hostility for just standing too close to each other and called faggots when crossing the street. ‘Nebraska Nice’ is a complete farce and I make sure to let everyone outside of Nebraska know. After 7 long years, I’ve had enough of not just the hostility I faced but also the complete lack of excitement here. I will not be returning much to the dismay of many of my clients.

Before I leave, I encourage you to fully embrace the fairness doctrine or you will continue to have talented, hard working people of all stripes leave in droves. It’s no secret that by not leaning into progressive policies Nebraska’s population has been negatively affected the amount of young people who stay here. I personally know of 4 others that will be leaving due to the lack of diversity and the cold wall the LGBT community faces. I don’t even allow family to visit.

Now I’ve made up my mind a long time ago but I know there are others who do not have that same privilege as I do to be able to leave. I’m thinking of them. Protect your LGBT citizens or risk more people leaving or not even having Nebraska on their radar to visit or live in.

Signed,

F.

—
Fernando Stowell-Flores
3227 Starr St
Lincoln, NE 68503
My name is Susan Soriente, I live at 1110 Rockhurst Drive in Lincoln. I have always been a supporter of fair treatment of all peoples and am very happy that this revision of Title 11 in the code has been proposed because it will expand protections for groups that often are sidelined or mistreated. Those who are now unfairly treated have no recourse but to leave Lincoln for better living conditions or live life intimidated and victimized. With the revision in place they will feel supported, acknowledged, and find a welcome here. Lincoln will increase its positivity rating and I believe that will draw more young workers to live here.

Two of the arguments against this revision I’ve heard are

1. “The City Council is creating new genders.”

My answer: This revision merely acknowledges the reality of the spectrum of human sexuality that has been known for centuries. Law abiding consenting adults should not be persecuted for their private lives.

2. “there will be women/children attacked in restrooms by trans.”

My answer: You must provide documented evidence of these attacks for this claim to be considered. Research shows this is not true. Follow the link below and go to page 10 where they conclude that restroom attacks are not increased due to fairness ordinances like this Title 11 revision.
https://escholarship.org/content/qt4rs4n6h0/qt4rs4n6h0.pdf?t=qecca2

A clear benefit to Lincoln’s citizens and visitors will come about due to this revision. The old tropes of fear and prejudice need to be put aside. Please vote in favor of the proposed Title 11 revision. Thank you, Susan Soriente
I support this step forward in equal rights. Sharla Gray Reynolds.
3201 Edward Ct. 68502.

Sent from my iPhone
Dear City Council members,

I’m writing to voice my enthusiastic support for the proposed fairness ordinance that Councilwoman Washington is putting forward! I just learned about it a few minutes ago, and it seems ridiculous to do anything but approve it.

I know the Family Faith and Whining Commission or whatever is throwing a fit about how this will cause the universe to implode, but I’d like to remind conservative Christians that passing ordinances like this will surely hasten the end of the world and Christ’s second coming, which they want, so doesn’t everyone win? Just saying.

In all seriousness, thank you for pushing this forward Councilwoman Washington. As another member of the the LGBTQ community, it makes me feel that much better about my choice to call Lincoln home.

Sincerely, Peter Barber
John Lozier <harpingforharmony@gmail.com>
Monday, February 7, 2022 4:03 PM
Council Packet
I support fairness policy

Follow up
Completed

John Lozier
843 S 31st
Lincoln 68510
Phone 305-276-5141

Sent from my iPhone
Please pass the Fairness ordinance as written. We are with you 100%!!

Sent from my iPhone
Hello,
I am writing IN SUPPORT of updating the city’s nondiscriminatory policies.
Thank you,
Amy Sparks
The Women's Foundation strongly supports the proposed changes to the non-discrimination policy and the clarification of the protected groups.

These changes are important now for Lincoln to be more inclusive and accepting of all groups. Clarification of definitions and the addition of military personnel and veterans are ways to move us forward in being a city that encourages all to move here, work here and remain here.

We appreciate all of your efforts in making these changes possible.

Sincerely,

Kathie
Dear City Council:

I really don't have strong feelings about the proposed equity ordinance. However, if this is something that helps identify Lincoln as a welcoming community, I urge the Council to adopt our city code should harmonize with state and federal laws.

Everyone deserves to be treated with respect and kindness.

Sincerely,

Sheri St Clair
7200 Blanchard Blvd 68516
Please find attached a letter from the ACLU of Nebraska in support of updating Title XI of the LMC.

Let me know if you have any issues opening said letter.

Thank you,

Sara E. Rips
Legal & Policy Counsel | ACLU of Nebraska
134 S. 13th Street, Ste. 1010
Lincoln, NE 68508
(402) 476-8091 ext. 113
srips@aclunebraska.org

ACLU
Nebraska
February 3, 2022

Lincoln City Council
555 S 10th Street, Rm 111
Lincoln, NE 68508
councilpacket@lincoln.ne.gov

Dear Councilors,

For over 50 years in Nebraska, the ACLU has worked in our courts, legislature, and communities to protect the constitutional and individual rights of all people. With a nationwide network of offices and millions of members and supporters, we take up the toughest civil liberties fights. Beyond one person, party, or side — we the people dare to create a more perfect union.

The ACLU of Nebraska supports efforts to ensure full equality and freedom for LGBTQ Nebraskans and applauds Councilor Washington for these suggested updates to Title XI of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

These updates send a clear message that Lincoln is a welcoming community. Strong, inclusive communities help serve two important purposes: 1) it helps to keep young, smart, and talented Nebraskans in state; and 2) it helps to draw in new businesses and talents.

In addition, the updates to Title XI ensure that the Lincoln Municipal Code aligns with state and federal law protections regarding natural hair, disabilities, military status, and LGBTQ rights. Last year, the Nebraska Legislature updated the statutes to include natural hair protections. And in 2020, the United States Supreme Court decided Bostock v. Clayton County and determined that employers cannot discriminate based on sexual orientation or gender identity. Modernizing our code to reflect updates to state and federal law is part of the work required of city councils across the state and nation to ensure compliance with the law.

From a policy perspective, the updates to Title XI clearly align and are grounded in the American values of fairness, equality, freedom, and ensuring that people who work hard and play by the rules are allowed to move forward in our society. This is a common-sense update and revision to our already well-established and strong civil rights laws.

Beyond economic, legal, and policy interests lies one of the most key Nebraska values — respect. Updating Title XI sends a message that the people of Lincoln respect and value each other.

Sincerely,

Sara Phillips
LGBTQIA+ Legal & Policy Counsel
Hi!
My name is Jess Parker, I live here in Lincoln, my address is on file. I wanted to email my support because I will not be able to make it to attend in person tonight. One of my children identifies as non-binary and is a member of the LGBTQ+ community. I would love to see more nondiscrimination policies put into place anywhere and everywhere. No one should be able to be treated differently, or turned away due to their sexual orientation. I think ahead to my child's future, and with them beginning to apply for jobs. Passing nondiscrimination policies would make me feel safer about my child wanting to work in the community. In addition, nondiscrimination policies will also ensure that our city's welcoming atmosphere and rhetoric are reflected in our city's policies. All means all.

Thank you for all that you do!

- Jess Parker
Dear Councilmembers,

Thank you for your diligence in keeping our city such a fine place to live and work. I am writing today in support of the announced revisions of Title 11 of the Municipal Code. It is certainly time, actually long overdue, to take this action and make the language of the code consistent with the law of the land and what Lincoln citizens know to be right and fair.

I am grateful to those who have worked out this revision and look forward having yet another reason to be proud of this community I call home.

Laurel Van Ham  
4150 South Street  
Lincoln, NE 68506  
402-483-1641
Dear Council Members,

I urge you to adopt Sändra Washington’s motion to revise Title 11 of Lincoln’s Municipal Code. Its inclusion of antidiscrimination protections for veterans and members of the military is long overdue, as is its added protections for people with disabilities.

The US Supreme Court’s 2020 Bostock decision determined that “sex” in the 1964 Civil Rights Act applies to sexual orientation and gender identity. The revisions of Title 11 of the Municipal Code bring Lincoln in line with that Supreme Court decision.

George E. Wolf

1226 South 25th Street

Lincoln, NE 68502
Members of the Lincoln City Council,

My name is Eric Reiter and my pronouns are they, them, and theirs. I’m a constituent of Legislative District 26, City Council District 1, and most importantly, the city of Lincoln. I’m reaching out to share my support for Ordinance 22-31, and ask that you support it as well.

As some of you may recall, I have many trepidations about this ordinance and the process with which it was conceived. There is so much nuance to this particular issue and, I’ll be honest, I have a lot of complicated feelings about it being moved forward. Having personally met nearly all of you and knowing your historical position on this issue, I am confident in the city council’s moral compass on LGBTQ+ civil rights. My primary concern is our ability to win this issue at the ballot. It’s no secret that the Nebraska Family Alliance and Nebraska Catholic Conference are licking their chops waiting to seize the opportunity to pour their resources into an opposition campaign to any number of social issues, including LGBTQ+ rights. I’ve seen the Warner chamber at the state capitol filled to the brim with anti-LGBTQ+ folks hungry to see their homophobia and transphobia block any kind of meaningful progress. That goes the same for the folks who have bombarded school board meetings across the state, and even effectively scared the State Board of Education into taking no action at all despite having a majority of the board supporting the comprehensive sex education standards. These folks are empowered and organized and waiting for an opportunity to claim victory over a marginalized group at the ballot box. I think if we are going to do this, we need a pragmatic and organized coalition to carry out a thoughtful and well-executed field strategy.

I am also disappointed in what I perceive to be a lack of inclusion of the broader transgender and non-binary communities in this conversation. I have not once heard of or been invited to any sort of conversation with city council seeking to hear the perspectives of the trans/nonbinary community. I’m certain that if there had been, there would be a much more concise understanding that trans and nonbinary people will become targets by the opposition and be most vulnerable to harm. After the successful Yes on 3 campaign for trans rights in Massachusetts, it was found that there were nearly 5 times as many calls into The Trevor Project (a nonprofit providing suicide prevention and other services to LGBTQ+ youth). This was in a considerably more progressive state and with plenty of resources to assure a victory. I expect that a plan will be set in place with resources devoted to protecting the safety and mental health of the trans and nonbinary community in Lincoln.

All of this being said, I understand that the train is leaving the station and I’m going to do whatever I can to get it passed. I hope you will too.

Best,
Eric Reiter

---

Mx. Eric J. Reiter | Pronouns: they/them/their
402-719-7490
reiter.eric.j@gmail.com

Please know that I honor your boundaries and well-being; should you receive an email from me during your personal time of caretaking or rest, please feel empowered to wait to respond until your next work time. Prioritize joy and well-being where you can, and know that I will do the same.
Members of the Lincoln City Council,

My name is Eric Reiter and my pronouns are they, them, and theirs. I’m a constituent of Legislative District 26, City Council District 1, and most importantly, the city of Lincoln. I’m reaching out to share my support for Ordinance 22-31, and ask that you support it as well.

As some of you may recall, I have many trepidations about this ordinance and the process with which it was conceived. There is so much nuance to this particular issue and, I'll be honest, I have a lot of complicated feelings about it being moved forward. Having personally met nearly all of you and knowing your historical position on this issue, I am confident in the city council's moral compass on LGBTQ+ civil rights. My primary concern is our ability to win this issue at the ballot. It’s no secret that the Nebraska Family Alliance and Nebraska Catholic Conference are licking their chops waiting to seize the opportunity to pour their resources into an opposition campaign to any number of social issues, including LGBTQ+ rights. I’ve seen the Warner chamber at the state capitol filled to the brim with anti-LGBTQ+ folks hungry to see their homophobia and transphobia block any kind of meaningful progress. That goes the same for the folks who have bombarded school board meetings across the state, and even effectively scared the State Board of Education into taking no action at all despite having a majority of the board supporting the comprehensive sex education standards. These folks are empowered and organized and waiting for an opportunity to claim victory over a marginalized group at the ballot box. I think if we are going to do this, we need a pragmatic and organized coalition to carry out a thoughtful and well-executed field strategy.

I am also disappointed in what I perceive to be a lack of inclusion of the broader transgender and non-binary communities in this conversation. I have not once heard of or been invited to any sort of conversation with city council seeking to hear the perspectives of the trans/nonbinary community. I’m certain that if there had been, there would be a much more concise understanding that trans and nonbinary people will become targets by the opposition and be most vulnerable to harm. After the successful Yes on 3 campaign for trans rights in Massachusetts, it was found that there were nearly 5 times as many calls into The Trevor Project (a nonprofit providing suicide prevention and other services to LGBTQ+ youth). This was in a considerably more progressive state and with plenty of resources to assure a victory. I expect that a plan will be set in place with resources devoted to protecting the safety and mental health of the trans and nonbinary community in Lincoln.

All of this being said, I understand that the train is leaving the station and I'm going to do whatever I can to get it passed. I hope you will too.

Best,

Eric Reiter
Dear Lincoln City Council members:

I hope that the proposed changes to the City Charter regarding the nondiscrimination policy will be implemented as soon as possible. It will protect my niece, her wife and their family which is very important to me. Discriminate against people based on their sexual preference or gender identity is against federal law. We need to be sure there are repercussions for such acts in the city, and hopefully, the state will pass similar laws.

This is very important for keeping young people in the state and attracting more of them. Most young people strongly support this. They will move to where they/and their friends are made welcome rather than being made to feel like lesser citizens.

Mary Barton, 3334 Jamestown Lane, Lincoln, NE 68516
Dear City Council Members,

I am writing to you in support of the proposed changes to Title 11 regarding Equal Opportunity.

I believe that it is accurate and justifiable to expand the policy to protect often-marginalized populations (such as gender diverse people or those living with disabilities). Such amendments to our city's nondiscrimination policy are thorough and I support them fully.

Sincerely,
Courtney Leikam

Courtney Leikam, MA
Office Coordinator, Gender Programs Director
kindredpsych.com
Secure Client Portal
Pay My Bill

p: she/her/hers
t: 402.483.7900
f: 402.483.7971
a: 8001 S 15th St, Ste C
e: courtney@kindredpsych.com

An inclusive and affirming Counseling Psychology practice.

Privacy and Confidentiality Warning:
If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately. This message contains confidential and federally protected information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited by federal privacy laws. Read more
Hello, my name is Lucas Best and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you approve the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect.

The Nebraska Family Alliance is a bunch of bigots and do not represent our city/state.

Sincerely,

Lucas Best
Council Members,

Thank you for revisiting the Fairness Act for Lincoln, it's long overdue.

I support equality and rights for all people. I send my support and strength against those who wish to keep citizens oppressed and treat others as less than in the name of Christian hypocrisy.

Sincerely,
Paula Moon Bohaty

Sent from my iPhone
To the City Council members:

First, thank you for working so hard on behalf of all the people of Lincoln.

I am writing to ask you to vote FOR the proposed revisions to Title 11 of the Municipal Code. It’s important to me that the revisions broaden the groups of people who will receive protections against discrimination. It’s also important that the revisions bring Lincoln’s non-discrimination policies in line with federal policies regarding sex, sexual orientation, and gender identity, as ruled by the Supreme Court in the Bostock decision.

The overriding reason to support the revisions to Title 11 is that it’s the right thing to do. Everyone deserves equal protection under the law.

A number of organizations, including the Lincoln Chamber of Commerce, have also stated that these revisions are important if Lincoln is to retain and attract a vibrant young work force. Through my teaching at UNL for 33 years, I worked with a number of young people who were born in NE but would not stay after college because of what they felt was a repressive atmosphere, signified by the lack of local and state protections on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity. I’m sad that these young people, who had so much potential to contribute to Lincoln, felt unwelcome here. I believe that the revisions to Title 11 send a different, welcoming message.

Please vote for the revisions to Title 11.

Barbara DiBernard

1045 N. 41st Street

Lincoln, NE 68503

402-466-0117
JaMel E. Ways

From: Maureen Ose <maureenlwvll@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 10:02 AM
To: Council Packet
Cc: inonge.kasaji@gmail.com
Subject: For 2/7/22 Meeting Packet, regarding Title 11 Municipal Code revisions

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

I have attached to this email a letter in support of the proposed amendments to City Municipal Title 11, on behalf of the League of Women Voters Lincoln/Lancaster.

The following is the body of the letter:

February 7, 2022

To: Chairman James Michael Bowers and Members of the Lincoln City Council -
Richard Meginnis Sändra Washington Bennie Shobe
Tom Beckius Jane Raybould Tammy Ward

We are writing to support revisions amending Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code relating to Equal Opportunity.

The League of Women Voters of the United States is a century-old voting rights organization with deep investment and interest in how government works and serves its people. The League of Women Voters believes that all levels of government share the responsibility to provide equality of opportunity for education, employment and housing for all persons in the United States regardless of their race, ethnic, cultural, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic identity, gender, religion, national origin, age, or disability. Excluding people from full participation in society undermines the strength and vitality of our families, our communities and our economy.

The League of Women Voters is a nonpartisan political organization that encourages informed and active participation in government. We do not support or oppose candidates or political parties. We work to increase
understanding of major policy issues and to influence public policy through education and advocacy. We fight for an open governmental system that is representative, accountable and responsive, and we hold elected officials accountable for the decisions they make.

Maureen Ose

League of Women Voters Lincoln/Lancaster
February 7, 2022

To: Chairman James Michael Bowers and Members of the Lincoln City Council -
Richard Meginnis  Sändra Washington  Bennie Shobe
Tom Beckius  Jane Raybould  Tammy Ward

We are writing to support revisions amending Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code relating to Equal Opportunity.

The League of Women Voters of the United States is a century-old voting rights organization with deep investment and interest in how government works and serves its people. The League of Women Voters believes that all levels of government share the responsibility to provide equality of opportunity for education, employment and housing for all persons in the United States regardless of their race, ethnic, cultural, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic identity, gender, religion, national origin, age, or disability. Excluding people from full participation in society undermines the strength and vitality of our families, our communities and our economy.

The League of Women Voters is a nonpartisan political organization that encourages informed and active participation in government. We do not support or oppose candidates or political parties. We work to increase understanding of major policy issues and to influence public policy through education and advocacy. We fight for an open governmental system that is representative, accountable and responsive, and we hold elected officials accountable for the decisions they make.

Sincerely,

Maureen Ose
League of Women Voters of Lincoln/Lancaster County
4600 Valley Road, Suite 306, Lincoln, NE 68510
Dear Council Members,

I am writing today to strongly encourage you to vote yes in support of the Fairness Ordinance. As a parent of three children, one of whom is non-binary, this ordinance is a step in the right direction so all my children can thrive in their city.

Thank you!
Alena Bruzas
Hello,

I grew up in Lincoln but moved to the West Coast as an adult, partly because I was looking for a more friendly environment. I am writing to urge you to add sexual orientation and gender identity to the categories protected against discrimination in housing, employment and public accommodation (a revision to Title 11 of Lincoln’s Municipal Code). I would like to be proud of the city I was raised in!

Thank you very much,
Adam Levine
From: Darci Ross <dmross1983@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 6, 2022 9:27 PM
To: Council Packet
Subject: Fairness ordinance

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

We support the fairness ordinance!

Daniel and Darci Ross
1954 Lake St

Sent from my iPhone
I am writing to support the Fairness Ordinance to protect LGBT people from unjust discrimination. I am attaching a Lincoln Journal Star op-ed co-authored by myself and Dr. Emily Kazyak that describes our research that suggests the vast majority of Nebraska residents, and Lincoln residents specifically, also support nondiscrimination protections on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity.

Sincerely,
Dr. Kelsy Burke
Local View: Nebraskans support LGBT protections

KELSY BURKE and EMILY KAZYAK
Apr 10, 2019

Questions about legal protections for LGBT individuals (lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender) have occupied national discussions as well as local ones.

Just last month, LB627 failed in the Nebraska Legislature. Its failure means that sexual orientation and gender identity are not classes protected by the state of Nebraska when it comes to employment discrimination.

In Lincoln, the City Council passed a city ordinance in 2012 that offered protections in employment, housing and public accommodations for LGBT people, but it was later struck down through a referendum petition.

These examples give the impression that Nebraskans do not support LGBT non-discrimination laws, yet as sociologists at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln who have studied the topic, we have evidence to suggest otherwise.
In a recent representative survey of Nebraska residents, we found widespread support for LGBT rights. These findings come from the 2018 Nebraska Annual Social Indicators Survey (NASIS) conducted by UNL’s Bureau of Sociological Research that polled nearly 1,000 adults living in Nebraska. The bureau is a charter member of the American Association for Public Opinion Research Transparency Initiative and is committed to following best practices to collect quality survey data.

Results indicate that three out of four Nebraskans support legal protection for gays, lesbians and transgender individuals from job discrimination. When looking only at respondents from Lincoln, the results indicate even greater support with over 80% of Lincolnites supporting such protections.

Across the state, a clear majority of residents living support nondiscrimination employment protection on the basis sexual orientation and gender identity:

* Small towns: 67% (gender identity) and 73% (sexual orientation).

* Medium- and large-sized cities: 82% and 86%.

* Suburbs: 79% and 93%.

The patterns we observe among Nebraska residents mirror national trends. In a recent, nationally representative survey, the Public Religion Research Institute (PRRI) found that nearly seven in ten Americans support laws that would protect LGBT people from discrimination in housing, employment and public accommodations.

Even though religious exemption is often used to oppose non-discrimination protection for LGBT people, national and state data suggest that the majority of most religious groups support such protection.

In our survey, 69% of Protestants and 78% of Catholics support employment discrimination protections on the basis sexual orientation, and 63% of Protestants and 78% of Catholics support protections on the basis of gender identity. Even the
majority of Nebraskans who attend church at least weekly are supportive of LGBT legal protection: 57% support employment protection for transgender individuals, and 68% support protection for gays and lesbians.

Though there are clear political divides in Nebraskan attitudes about LGBT people, these are not as pronounced as polarizing political rhetoric may have us believe. An overwhelming majority (95%) of Nebraskans who voted for Hillary Clinton in the 2016 presidential election support protection for gays, lesbians and transgender individuals. Also, a majority of Donald Trump voters also indicate their support: 53% support protection for transgender individuals, and 63% support protection for gays and lesbians.

When the Lincoln mayoral candidates were recently asked in the Journal Star about their position on the City Council reintroducing a fairness ordinance that offers protection in housing, employment, and public accommodations for LGBT people, all of the candidates voiced support for letting Lincoln residents decide this issue.

As Lincolnites debate the question of nondiscrimination legislation for gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender individuals, let’s listen to the voices of people in our city and state. Based on our survey results, we expect to have the question of whether there should be projections in place answered with a definitive “yes.”

Kelsy Burke is an assistant professor of sociology at UNL. Emily Kazyak is an associate professor in sociology and women’s and gender studies at UNL.
I am writing to wholeheartedly support the fairness ordinance. It is time and will be nothing but a benefit to the city and our people.

Jacob Lozier, MA LMHP
Mental Health Counselor
Kindred Psychology
Secure Client Portal
p. he/him/his
t. (402) 483-7900
f. (402) 483-7971
a. 8001 S. 15th Ste C
   Lincoln, NE 68512
jacob@kindredpsych.com www.kindredpsych.com

An inclusive and affirming Counseling Psychology practice.
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City Council Members,

I write in support of Title 11 Revisions. Proposed changes makes Lincoln a stronger, safer community by aligning its message of non-discrimination with legal protections. These changes expand equal opportunity to all of Lincoln’s citizens, it’s not just good business, it’s the right thing to do.

Thank you for your time.

Respectfully,
Carolyn M. Nolte
940 South 20th Street
Lincoln, NE 68510
Hello, City Council Members,

As a proud member of the Lincoln High class of 1971, I want to urge you to pass the revisions to Title 11 introduced by Councilmember Washington. It was at LHS that I first saw the push for diversity and equality in word and in action. It is one of Lincoln's strengths that it is such a diverse place in terms of race, national origin, sexual orientation, gender identity, etc. But it is beyond time for all Lincolnites to be protected against discrimination in housing, employment, and public accommodation.

Until those protections are made law, not all Lincolnites are equal.

Please pass the revisions to Title 11.

Best,
Jane Levine
Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

I am writing in my personal and professional capacities as a mom, a voter, a civil rights leader, and an attorney in support of the updates to the Lincoln city code.

I believe this comprehensive revision will update, strengthen, and harmonize various provisions related to human rights and civil rights.

I believe this proposal will make our community more inclusive and more competitive.

It is the right thing to do from a legal, policy, and practical perspective.

If I can be helpful with this matter in anyway, please let me know.

In closing, I would like to thank Councilperson Washington for her leadership and thank each of you for your consideration of this important issue and for your ongoing commitment to public service.

All my best,
Danielle

Danielle Conrad, J.D.
Executive Director | ACLU of Nebraska
134 S. 13th St. #1010, Lincoln NE 68508
Direct: (402) 476-8091 x102 Email: dconrad@aclunebraska.org
Pronouns: She, Her

www.aclunebraska.org

This message may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by reply E-mail that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and delete this E-mail from your system.
Based on reading the background and present concerns about proposed revisions of Title 11 of Lincoln’s Municipal Code, I strongly recommend approving the inclusion of sexual identity and gender identity to protect against discrimination in housing, employment, and public accommodation. I urge you to approve this legislation to make our community a welcoming place. Charles Francis, 4435 Pioneers Blvd., Lincoln, NE 68506
To: My Lincoln City Council Members

The last time we had full public discussions and citizen votes on rights and benefits and LGBTQ discrimination in this community was during DOMA – the Defense of Marriage Act -- in 1999-2000. My partner and I (now celebrating 46 years together) were driven from our Lincoln home where we had lived from 1979-1999 (20 years) by a hateful neighbor - riled up by bigotry and church-fueled rhetoric.

He targeted us – literally – first destroying our gardens and throwing sand at our open windows. So we built a privacy fence -- that he then “accidentally” rammed with his truck. He physically barred our daily walks on the MoPac Trail and verbally threatened and assaulted us during an incessant intimidation campaign. He put a high powered hunting spot light on his garage roof pointing into our bedroom window at night.

We reported some events to the Lincoln police, but they could do nothing but verbally “warn him” unless and until he physically assaulted us. Finally we moved out of our home when he began tossing poisons into our back yard for our dogs to eat. We were lucky we had the financial ability to sell and move homes within a few days, working frantically with a real estate agent who understood our terror, and who did not discriminate against us. No landlord was an obstacle, and we remain working and living in Lincoln, despite these hostilities.

I am terrified that any protracted discussion of a “Fairness Doctrine” without your endorsement will once again heat up the passions of religious extremists who will pound their pulpits, grab their guns in political ads, and go on the offensive to protect their hateful definitions of “The Good Life.” They have wielded power over who has constitutional rights in our community. Please unanimously pass the Fairness revisions to Title 11 of my municipal code, now! Extend basic equality to tribal members, racial and ethnic minority groups, veterans, military members, and LGBTQ people in our city. Recognize all of our ongoing struggles for full citizenry.

Helen Moore
Lincoln home address not provided because of past threats and harassment on these issues.
To the City Council:

I have lived in Lincoln all but the first of my 65 years and cannot believe that LGBT residents still do not have full Title 11 protections.
It is 2022!
How many decades have we had to fight for our civil rights in this city?

I’ll only say this:
To vote against this motion not only goes against SCOTUS’s decision a few years ago, it is, quite simply, immoral.

Best,
Emily Levine

Emily Levine
Independent Scholar
724 South 18th Street
Lincoln NE 68508
(402) 475-4387
emily.levine@earthlink.net
I have added Brenda Schmidt's name, also on the board of PFLAG Lincoln.

On Sun, Feb 6, 2022, 9:33 AM Pat Tetreault <pat.tetreault1@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear City Council Members,

Thank you for considering updating the City Charter to clarify that the city of Lincoln believes in fairness for all who live in or visit our city. We are extremely supportive of this much needed change to help end discrimination based on identities.

We appreciate the recognition that visible support for fair treatment by the government and under the law is essential to help create and maintain a welcoming and inclusive environment where all are free to live, work and love - to thrive rather than survive.

PFLAG Lincoln has been in Lincoln for approximately 40 years. We have seen, experienced and heard about the inequities that people of various identities experience. We have also seen the changes taking place that indicate a growing understanding of the need to provide education and affirming and supportive environments for people of all sexual orientations and gender identities. This is true for all identities, including veterans and military members, individuals with accessibility needs, individuals who experience discrimination based on other identities, and for those with intersecting identities that experience exponential challenges based on implicit or explicit bias. While everyone is entitled to their beliefs, they are not entitled to enforce those beliefs on others in civil and government matters. Many beliefs are misinformed and result in misguided efforts to keep others from having the same rights and access to resources and impact their right to be treated fairly.

Thank you for taking your role as representatives of the city who represent all those who reside or visit Lincoln seriously. We all deserve respect and to be treated fairly and this should be reflected in our city charter.

Sincerely,

PFLAG Lincoln Board Officers
https://pflaglincoln.org/

Kristin Grosskopf
Linda Cady
Jackie Miller
Brenda Schmidt
Pat Tetreault
Dear City Council Members,

Thank you for considering updating the City Charter to clarify that the city of Lincoln believes in fairness for all who live in or visit our city. We are extremely supportive of this much needed change to help end discrimination based on identities.

We appreciate the recognition that visible support for fair treatment by the government and under the law is essential to help create and maintain a welcoming and inclusive environment where all are free to live, work and love - to thrive rather than survive.

PFLAG Lincoln has been in Lincoln for approximately 40 years. We have seen, experienced and heard about the inequities that people of various identities experience. We have also seen the changes taking place that indicate a growing understanding of the need to provide education and affirming and supportive environments for people of all sexual orientations and gender identities. This is true for all identities, including veterans and military members, individuals with accessibility needs, individuals who experience discrimination based on other identities, and for those with intersecting identities that experience exponential challenges based on implicit or explicit bias.

While everyone is entitled to their beliefs, they are not entitled to enforce those beliefs on others in civil and government matters. Many beliefs are misinformed and result in misguided efforts to keep others from having the same rights and access to resources and impact their right to be treated fairly.

Thank you for taking your role as representatives of the city who represent all those who reside or visit Lincoln seriously. We all deserve respect and to be treated fairly and this should be reflected in our city charter.

Sincerely,

PFLAG Lincoln Board Officers
https://pflaglincoln.org/

Kristin Grosskopf
Linda Cady
Jackie Miller
Pat Tetreault
Dear Members of the Lincoln City Council,

I am writing this email to express my support for the fairness ordinance that would establish new protections for Lincoln residents on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identification, military and veteran status, and marital status and disability.

Lincoln is a wonderful city to call home. After moving here almost five years ago, I have been delighted to discover all the things this city offers that provide a high quality of life for my family - housing, education, entertainment, robust community engagement opportunities, etc. I often express my love for this city to my extended network, which includes diverse people from many different backgrounds, with a (only half-joking) suggestion that they should consider moving here. Some friends have been surprised, and even curious. But more than once, I have gotten the question, "Will I be safe here?"

Safety means many things. Certainly safety from outright physical and emotional violence, but also safety from subtler (but equally devastating) discrimination that come in the forms of housing, employment, and other public accommodations. I rush to assure my friends yes - that the Lincolnites I have met are welcoming, kind, and supportive. That this is one of the most diverse communities in the country. That there are incredible organizations like OutNebraska and other non-profits across the spectrum working tirelessly to ensure more equitable opportunities for residents. But as a straight, white, cisgendered, upper-middle class person, almost every protection that exists is naturally afforded to me. Absent policies that codify our values, my assurances ring hollow.

Passage of the fairness ordinance is vital for my neighbors and community members who currently live and work in Lincoln, and deserve every protection available. Additionally, it is a powerful and concrete signal to people outside of our community, who could be convinced to bring their talents here, that everyone is welcome in Lincoln, Nebraska.

I thank Councilwoman Washington for introducing this update to the municipal code, and urge the Council to swiftly adopt this ordinance. Thank you all for your work and your time.

Kind regards,

Stacie Sinclair
Hello,

My name is Emma Grinde and I live in the North Bottoms neighborhood of Lincoln and am a Christian minister, working with students at UNL.

I am writing to you in support of the proposed changes to the non discrimination policy. I am a part of the LGBTQIA+ community and work with many LGBTQIA+ students. There are many pockets of welcome for trans and queer people in our Lincoln community, but protecting us from discrimination will make it safer for LGBTQIA+ people to live, work, and thrive in Lincoln.

Thank you,
Emma Grinde
Dear City Council Members,

My Name is Julia McQuillan

I love at 1935 Sewell St., Lincoln, NE 68502

I am writing to give my support for updating the city’s nondiscrimination policy.

Providing a clear signal to anyone considering living here that the city recognizes historical biases and the need to take action to provide more fair and equitable practices will improve our city.

I want to live in a community that strives to support those in need and to remove barriers to fair and equitable inclusion.

We all benefit from more diversity of insights, experiences, and perspectives, yet making the vision a reality is challenging and takes the kind of action that you are considering.

Thank you for serving as city leaders and helping to make Lincoln a wonderful place to live.

Sincerely,

Julia McQuillan
Dear City Council Members:

I was very happy to hear about Sandra Washington's planned February 7 introduction of her resolution to revise Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity, along with other vulnerable groups. This is an extremely well thought out and much needed ordinance for our city. Thank you Sandra!

best wishes,

Maureen Honey and Tom Kiefer
426 South 28th Street
Lincoln, NE 68510
Dear City Council Members,

My name is Emily Kazyak and I've been a resident of Lincoln since 2010. I write to voice my full support in adding sexual orientation and gender identity to the identities protected by our city's nondiscrimination policy.

This addition will bring Lincoln in line with federal protections. More importantly, this addition is needed to signal to LGBTQ members in our city that they are welcome, supported, and have protections in place to address discrimination they encounter.

Thank you for considering this addition.

Thank you,
Emily Kazyak
Dear Lincoln City Council Persons,

I fully support the fairness ordinance for Lincoln. I have a child that identifies transgender and more protections would bring him, and his family, a bit more security as he establishes himself as an adult in Lincoln. Living as transgender naturally comes with mental and physical challenges. Being able to know that he is protected in housing and employment would help reduce two of his challenges in public life. Please know I am happy to help further the passing of this ordinance.

Darcia Cole
Hello!

My name is Mel Severin and I am a resident of the Witherbee neighborhood in Lincoln. My wife and I just recently bought our house here. After renting for years, we decided to put down roots in Lincoln. Going through the process of searching for a place to live is stressful enough. It's worse to have the added fear of possibly being discriminated against on top of that stress. I support the updates to the nondiscrimination policy because I want everyone, including LGBTQ+ people like me, to feel protected and valued in our city.

Thank you for your time and all that you do for Lincoln!

Mel Severin (she/her)
447 Steele Ave
I would like to voice my support of the Fairness Ordinance that Council Member Sandra Washington is proposing to the Lincoln City Council. Equal Opportunity is central to the well-being of our Lincoln inhabitants in order for them to feel like they are treated fairly. We want to be inclusive of all citizens, regardless of who they are. With Lincoln being a city of immigrants, and after all we are all immigrants once you truly think about it, we are accepting of anyone, and that is the way to be.

Janet Greser
On behalf of our CEO, Eric Evans, please find attached testimony in support of the proposed changes to the Municipal Code sections relating to Equal Opportunity. We hope to have someone testify live in support at the hearing on Monday, but in light of the current Unicameral sessions, it is possible we will not be able to appear in person.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out. Thank you:

Amy A. Miller
Attorney
Disability Rights Nebraska
134 South 13th St. #600, Lincoln, NE 68508
Phone: 402-474-3183 ext. 114
Toll-Free in Nebraska: 1-800-422-6691
Fax: 402-474-3274
Visit our website at www.disabilityrightsnebraska.org
Pronouns: she/her/hers
February 2, 2022

Lincoln City Council
555 S. 10th Street
Suite #111
Lincoln NE 68508

To Members of the City Council:

Disability Rights Nebraska is the Protection and Advocacy system for people with disabilities in our state. We protect, support and promote the rights of people with disabilities. This includes people with just about any disability including intellectual or developmental disabilities, mental illness and physical disabilities. Disability Rights Nebraska was created to assist individuals with disabilities and their families in protecting and advocating for their rights. From its beginning, Disability Rights Nebraska has promoted the principles of equality, self-determination, and dignity of persons with disabilities.

We write to support the proposed ordinance 22-31 and offer this testimony to explain why several sections are particularly important for Nebraskans with disabilities.

**Definition of disability clarification:** Page 3 offers an expanded definition of what disabilities are covered by the ordinance by explaining what major life activities and operation of a major bodily function are. The definition brings the ordinance into harmony with current federal law, which uses these same definitions. ¹ Congress adopted these same non-exhaustive examples in 2008 to give better guidance for the organizations charged with enforcement of the Americans with Disabilities Act in housing, employment and public accommodation. Nebraska state law also tracks with the current city ordinance language² but has not yet included the updated federal language, so the proposed ordinance will ensure there is no confusion about applying the correct definition of disability.

**Protections for service animals in public accommodations:** Page 39 offers new language to ensure that people with disabilities will be able to access public accommodations with their service animal. The proposed language appropriately follows current federal law.³ We particularly appreciate this clarity in the ordinance

---

¹ See the ADA Amendments Act of 2008, Public Law 110-325
² See Neb. Rev. Stat. 48-1102(9) and (10)
³ See the ADA Title II and Title III
because service animal discrimination is one of the most frequent requests our agency receives. We have created a fact sheet to explain the rights of people with disabilities, but the number of intake calls we receive every month makes it clear that there is a need for better understanding of these rights. The proposed city ordinance clearly and concisely explains the legal standards and will guarantee that people with disabilities who rely upon their service animal will be able to access public accommodations safely.

**Protections for service animals and assistance animals in housing:** Page 46 removes outdated language that only offered housing protection for people who are blind and have service dogs and appropriately modernizes the language to reflect current federal law. As described above, our agency regularly receives complaints from Nebraskans with disabilities whose landlord does not understand their right to have a service animal or assistance animal. In his or her home, a person with a disability may need help in around-the-clock assistance with the activities of daily living, or the consistent therapeutic emotional support of an animal to cope with the pervasive, chronic or reoccurring symptoms of a disability. When a landlord attempts to treat an assistance animal like a “pet” and impose a no-pets-rule, it may remove the person’s ability to live independently with the animal’s help. Bringing the city ordinance into line with current law is appropriate and we hope will eliminate ongoing confusion among landlords.

Lincoln has been thoughtful and forward thinking in creating the Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, in responding to complaints of discrimination, and in fostering equal opportunity for all residents of the capitol city. The proposed ordinance before you will continue that tradition and we applaud the Council for bringing it forward: thank you for your service on these important issues. Please reach out if you have any questions or want further clarification on our support.

Sincerely,

Eric A. Evans Ph.D.
Chief Executive Officer

---

4 Available online: [https://www.disabilityrightsnearbraska.org/what_we_do/most-inclusive-settings/service-animals.html](https://www.disabilityrightsnearbraska.org/what_we_do/most-inclusive-settings/service-animals.html)
Dear City Council members,

I am writing to encourage you to vote in favor of revising Title 11 of the city’s Municipal Code, as described in Councilmember Washington’s motion.

The revisions protect Lincoln citizens against discrimination in housing, employment, and public accommodation on the basis of sexual identity and gender identity. Code revisions also protect against discrimination on the basis of marital status, military status, and veteran status. Other revisions to the Code update the definition of race to include hair texture and hairstyles and strengthen coverage for people with disabilities. The definition of national origin is also revised to include tribal affiliation.

The revisions endorse Lincoln as a fair place to live and work. We all believe The Good Life is for everyone.

Sincerely,
Betty Levitov
Dear Council Members,

I would like to take this opportunity to strongly discourage you from redefining sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. It is very clear how God defines sexual orientation. He made them male and female. We are born male or female and it is not up to us to decide.

Thanks for your consideration to not approve this change to title 11.

Mark

Mark Luetchens <luetchensm@gmail.com>
Luetchens Land Co 35315 Mill Rd Murdock, NE 68407
Cell: 402-298-1829 Fax: 402-234-5081
I writing you to oppose the Fairness Ordnance Act that the City Council will be voting on next Monday Valentines Day.
I've lived in Lincoln over 45 years and find this Ordnance repulsive!

Thank you for recording my email!

Constance Takhar
Dear City Council,

My name is Jane L. O’Connell and I reside at 2315 Stone Creek Loop S, Lincoln, NE 68512.

I understand you might not have received my earlier email which is attached. Perhaps you didn’t receive my husband’s email either. There seemed to be some unfortunate issue with you receiving issues that oppose the passage of Title 11.

I respectfully urge you **NOT** to pass the updated Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Although there are many pieces of the updated version, it should not be passed in its current form.

I agree that everyone regardless of their sexual orientation and gender identity should be treated with dignity and respect, but I am particularly concerned that it will disrespect women and vulnerable children.

This bill disregards and puts women and children in danger in bathrooms and locker rooms. Although unintended, it will allow people to disingenuously identify themselves as a certain gender for the convenience of pleasuring themselves. If passed, it will take a toll on the most innocent and vulnerable in our city and degrade its moral fiber. There will be abuse and the city will be held legally and morally accountable. However, this will not erase the pain, anguish and fear that an assaulted woman or child will feel for the rest of their lives.

**I entreat you not to pass the updated Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. You can then change it so that ALL Lincoln residents are treated with dignity and respect as well as helping to ensure safety for women and children.**

Thank you.

Jane O’Connell

On Sun, Feb 6, 2022 at 9:29 PM Jane O’Connell <ratchandjane@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello,

My name is Jane O’Connell and I live at 2315 Stone Creek Loop S in Lincoln. I’m asking you to **oppose** the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

I agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
Where enacted, policies such as the proposed ordinance have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. *There is no control to ensure men are not taking advantage of this liberty for immoral reasons.*

Disagreement is not discrimination. *Enacting these policies would take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.*

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should **not** be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you **not** to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Jane O'Connell
I am writing to express my stance against the changes in chapter 11. My objection is in relation to bathrooms being opened to zero sexual definition. If a biological man can go into a female restroom it is creating a situation that common sense would tell you is not appropriate or safe.

Please find one father of an 8 year old girl that is okay with this. I do not care what your sexual orientation is or what you want to be referred to as, that’s not for me to judge. However, to pretend that this isn’t creating an unsafe environment is ignorant to say the least.

Biological sex is not a basis of opinion. You are simply born with it. It is defined by science.

There are multiple examples where these types of policies have attributed to sexual crimes. I know you are already aware of that.

It’s one thing to make law that landlords don’t discriminate against different sexual orientation (certainly agree with that). It’s another to tell a business they must allow a biological male adult to enter a girls bathroom or to allow this at public school events around town.

I’ll close by repeating a statement: find me a father of a young girl who is okay with this. If you can vote for this with that in mind, then it’s your own conscience that needs evaluation.

Taylor Wyatt
402-440-0224
SimpliCity-Realtor
“If life was perfect, it wouldn’t be” ~Yogi Berra

Sent from my iPhone

IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT WIRE FRAUD:
Never trust changes to wiring instructions sent via email. Never change wiring instructions based on an email. Cyber criminals are hacking email accounts and sending emails with fraudulent wiring instructions. These emails are convincing and sophisticated. Always independently confirm wiring instructions in person or via a telephone call to a trusted and verified phone number just before wiring the funds. Never wire money without double-checking that the wiring instructions are correct.
Hello,

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Doing the right thing may not always be popular, but it's of utmost importance.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

-Emily George
This message needs your attention

- This is their first email to you.

Report or Mark Safe

This is absolutely insane.

Just make restrooms.

XX......FEMALE
    or
XY......Male

Do not put our children at risk.

We the people beg of you.
This will lead to increased sexual assaults and the potential to influence OUR children into morals and values that we are 100% against.

Please please please keep our kids safe.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Hello,

My name is Elizabeth Carlson and I live near Lincoln at 2045 Holdredge Rd., Pleasant Dale. Though I do not live within Lincoln city limits, I am in Lincoln to shop, participate in community events, and volunteer several times a week. Some members of my household are in Lincoln five days a week for their employment.

Because Lincoln is such a large part of our social and financial lives, I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

I strongly believe that ALL people should be treated with dignity and respect, however, policies such as the one proposed actually undermine the dignity and respect due to each person. These policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety, particularly of women. If this policy were put in effect, I would no longer feel safe allowing my daughters to use the locker room at the YMCA, knowing that a man could identify as a woman in order to gain access to what should be a safe and private space for those who are biologically female. I would no longer feel safe allowing my children to participate in 4H or other activities in Lincoln, or in using Lincoln as our family’s primary shopping destination.

I believe that all people, including those whose views differ from my own, should be treated with fairness and respect. However coercive sexual orientation and gender identity laws undermine both fairness and respect by prohibiting the free exercise of religion and abridging freedom of speech, both rights guaranteed under the First Amendment. Instead of promoting tolerance, this policy would provoke intolerance toward those whose beliefs differ from those in political power.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time, for your dedicated service to our community, and for considering my request.

Elizabeth Carlson
Just a few things I have been told throughout my life as a female growing up in Nebraska:

- always be aware of your surroundings
- never walk alone after dark
- be careful on bike paths and wooded areas
- always go out in a group at night
- never take a ride from someone you don't know
- carry mace or pepper spray with you
- hold your keys between your fingers to use as a weapon if attacked
- Never, never, never leave your drink unattended

But, women are now being told that a full grown male showering next to them at their local gym or sharing a restroom with them is nothing to worry about. Not a concern. Don't leave your drink unattended, but you don't need to worry about the man wanting to share the restroom with you. As a mom raising girls, this makes no sense and is extremely confusing and scary. Why tell females to be so careful in other areas of their lives, but then make such a drastic exception in the most intimate and private places set aside for women to help them feel safe? How will a woman be able to prosecute someone taking advantage of open spaces for transgendered individuals? All the person has to do is claim to be transgendered, and suddenly there is no problem.

My name is Lindsay Mundil, and I would like to add the above concerns to my initial statement. I live in Lincoln at 7737 Glynoaks Dr. in Lincoln and I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you,
Lindsay Mundil

Get Outlook for Android
Dear City Council representatives. I am in opposition to Title 11. I am asking you to oppose the proposed ordinance or at least bring it to the people for a fair vote. I am not against transgender, give them their own space but don’t put boys/men in girls/women’s bathrooms and sports. This is so far over the line.

I am hearing and seeing comments that emails are not being received. I am requesting a confirmation for receipt of my email.

Stephanie Watts

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
My name is Heather Hall, and I live at 14445 SW 15th Cir, Roca, NE 68430, which is about six miles outside Lincoln city limits. I do work and shop in Lincoln on a daily basis, and my kids participate in several activities in Lincoln. I am in very strong OPPOSITION to the proposed ordinance to redefine the term "sex" to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

This ordinance will put biological women and children in danger. If a biological male is able to identify as female, then predatory males will also do so to access women's restrooms & locker rooms, putting women and children at risk of violence and being sexually assaulted. As a woman I have a constitutional right to feel safe when I, along with my daughters and every female for that matter, go into a public restroom or locker room. Why do the feelings of biological males trump my safety and constitutional rights as a biological female?

Did you happen to hear about the incident in Loudoun County Virginia, where a biological boy who claimed to be a girl, followed a girl into the restroom and violently sodomized her? Incidents like this are happening all over the country. You are opening Pandora's Box if you choose to enact this ordinance, and I would hold each and every one of you personally responsible if something like this were to happen to me or any of my daughters.

Everyone, regardless of sexual orientation and gender identity, should be treated with respect, dignity, and kindness. However men should not have unrestrained access to women's restrooms, locker rooms, changing areas, etc. I urge you not to redefine the term "sex" in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Sincerely,

Heather Hall

"In all your ways acknowledge him, and he will make your paths straight." -Proverbs 3:6
I am opposed to the city council of Lincoln forcing these changes in the definition of sex on the public. The people should be allowed to vote on this matter. Please vote against Title 11 and allow a vote of the citizens of Lincoln. Thank you.
Dear council members,
I am in opposition to the proposed changes to Title 11. I encourage the Council to put it on the ballot and let the citizens vote.

Thank you,
Marilyn Keelan
3110 Whitlock Rd.
City Council Members,

I have been tracking the "fairness ordinance" developing situation as both a private citizen and Opinion New Talk Host on KLIN Drive Time Lincoln. I have sent my personal citizen thoughts via my personal email. This email is my professional one and does not reflect any views/thoughts of KLIN or NRG Media but rather mine as the Host of Drive Time Lincoln.

First, I extending an invitation to each and every one of you to come on the show 5-6pm (M-F) to discuss the much debated "fairness ordnance" to the citizens of Lincoln. Certainly each one of you have thoughts for or against it. I believe this ordinance will be in the public eye until it is either passed or abolished and so this invitation extends through however long that takes. I am going to assume it will pass on Monday 14 FEB "Valentines Day" as the mayor has asked and following normal procedural process in the City Council.

Second, because of the VERY LARGE public awareness both FOR and AGAINST this ordinance, I have been made aware and done my own research into its history and recent actions or no action by the City Council that are concerning, and I want to extend an invitation for each and every one of you to come on and discuss. Below, I have laid out specific thoughts and questions that I believe would be very helpful to the citizen of Lincoln to understand.

THE LAW:

1. WHY has there been no action for 10 years on this "fairness ordinance"? By LAW, the City Council needs to "rescind" or throw out this ordinance or put it to a "VOTE" of the people, based on the referendum from 10 years ago. WHY hasn't either of these by LAW options been done by the City Council? It would seem you are working outside the LAW, and that is not healthy for our citizens, and makes the Council liable to ligation for not following the LAW.

2. Assuming you pass the ordinance on Monday 14 FEB "Valentines Day", see #1. This would appear to be a complete disregard for the LAW, and I am not sure how you can justify your actions without dealing with the LEGAL Framework set from the referendum 10 years ago? Please explain?

3. Legally you can "rescind" the 10 years ago ordinance, which you haven't done yet...and based on the extreme RED CROSS OUTS and BLUE additions in the current re-write start the whole process over, which you haven't done yet. WHY, what is the thinking? All three thoughts/questions above need explaining.

THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK of REPORTING.

49
The City Council has a LEGAL requirement to report agenda, addendums, and minutes to the PEOPLE of Lincoln. You historically do this thru your website, however over the last 5 months you have been CRITICALLY defunct in this capacity of governance, which is not only your LEGAL obligation but makes for poor communication with the PEOPLE for which you serve. My understanding is that Chairman Bowers is in charge of this so maybe this is his contemplate and answer.

1. Directors meetings are required by LAW to be posted as "minutes" within 48 hours, so the public is aware of what business the City Council is discussing and proposing. The people of Lincoln have gone almost 5 months without any sort of consistent communication from the City Council. WHY? What could be more important than informing them of what the Council is doing and what it is planning to do? Please tell the citizens the reason and the fix.

2. In the same mechanism, public comment "addendums" weather it be email, mail or comment at meetings is also publish so the public is routinely and timely informed of their fellow citizens thoughts and concerns to you the council, so as to stay apprised of your service to the PEOPLE rather than secretly pursuing your own personal or political agendas. The has been broken for 5 months as well. WHY? What are you doing to fix it.

3. NorthEast Meeting minutes have followed the same pattern, with the only exception of Council Chair Bowers posting the OCT meeting on his personal FaceBook Page, but not the city website. WHY? How can you explain this?

Since Council Chair is "in charge" of all of this, I am asking you to come on KLIN to explain all of this. It would appear that at this moment the City Council and the Chair are working well outside of the LEGAL parameters of which their ELECTED office allows and doing a big disservice to the people of Lincoln.

I am not really sure WHY or HOW you will pass the fairness ordinance until you get these simple housekeeping items in check, and clearly they set the Council and City up for a big fight over the ordinance no matter what you decide to do and need to be fixed for future good governance and confidence of ELECTED officials.

I will continue to research and investigate and discuss with my audience until all the above are resolved and we as city get back to good governances practices.

Please feel free to email me to coordinate.

commanderjack@klin.com

Commander Jack Riggins
KLIN Drive Time Lincoln Host
City Council,

I am ABSOLUTELY OPPOSED to the “fairness ordinance” presented by Council Woman Washington. There are two MAIN issues this has brought to light with is re-emergence that fuel my OPPOSITION.

1. You as the City Council are NOT following the LAW. I support you following the law. You can either throw out the ordinance or put it to a vote of the people based on the PEOPLE’s referendum from 10 years ago. Simple as that, you need to follow the law.

2. You are attempting to create a “protected class” above and beyond the myriad of successful EO legislation that already makes our city great, and haven’t considered the second and third order effects of such “verbal gymnastics” with sexual identity and service animals. You are attempting to “Super Protect” a very minute portion of the LNK population, while putting at risk the majority of the population to sexual misconduct, lawsuits, and accepted cultural normalcies already protected under the sitting ordinances and laws.

For these TWO main reasons, I ask all of you to either THROW OUT 22-31 Title 11 or put it to a vote of the people. I STRONGLY SUGGEST “if” you vote to VOTE AGAINST this “Fairness Ordinance”.

Thank you for your service.

Jack Riggins
6935 Kings Ct
Lincoln, NE 68516
Good morning!

It’s come to my attention that there may have been some communication issues with my and others responses to the proposed title 11 amendment. I want to be clear, I am 100% opposed to this. The definition of sex is not yours to change. We all agree that everyone deserves to be respected and treated as equals. This is not the way to do so. This not only violates religious rights but also the rights of immigrants that populate our city who grow up in more traditional backgrounds or a woman who has been violently sexually assaulted by a man just to have one walk into the bathroom that is designed to be a safe place for her. What happened in Laudon county is not the first nor will it be the last. This needs to be shut down or at the very least send it to the voters and let the people decide! I thank you for your time.

Cori Bierbaum
Lincoln, NE
All people are to be treated equal under the law, there must be no law to protect special classes of people as they are already protected under our current laws! Such a law would take away equal rights for the majority of the people and give special rights to a few classes of people at the majority's expense! Vote against any law that creates special classes!
Lincoln Mayor and City Council Members,

What are you elected officials doing? I can't believe you are redefining the sex of individuals that were either born male or female. I oppose Title 11 period - you need to put it to a vote of the citizens of Lincoln.

In addition: Do you wonder why suicide rates are going up in teenagers! You might ask yourself why the crime rate keeps climbing and the correction institutions are overcrowded. You have children who don't feel good in their own skin because they have been indoctrinated by you politicians, colleges and the public schools. This agenda is out of "Wack".

A concerned Citizen
I am asking for a vote by the people on the redefining of the word “sex.”

Thank you,
Brandi
Carolyn Mize <carolyn.lcpc@hotmail.com>

Wednesday, February 9, 2022 2:39 PM

Council Packet

I am urging you to vote no on title 11. It is infantile to allow men in woman's bathrooms. A man is a man and a woman is a woman. God designed it that way and you can’t change that.
NONE of you should vote for redefining sex in the Municipal Code. That would permit ANYONE with a penis and testicles to CLAIM to “identify” as a woman and allow them in personal, private areas which are SUPPOSED to be SAFE for REAL females of all ages (very young, innocent little girls-very elderly adults). How could they feel safe? Think for YOURSELVES, use common sense and vote against this horrendous change to the Lincoln Municipal Code. The liberal, left leaning media is saying that there is NOT MUCH OPPOSITION to changing the Code. That is NOT true !!!!! Read and heed ALL the emails being sent to you expressing opposition !!! Anita Childers
I apposed title 11.
The Fairness Ordinance that is currently being considered by Lincoln City Council is in no way fair. In other areas, allowing access to women's restrooms to anyone who identifies as a woman regardless of biological sex has resulted in sexual attacks. It is not fair to put one population at greater risk. I urge you to reconsider the wording and implications of this ordinance as it is currently written. To be truly fair, an ordinance would protect BOTH/ALL populations. This one does not do that. Vote no on the passage of this ordinance.

Lynnette H.
Lincoln, Nebraska

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
Good afternoon,

My name is Gretchen Garrison, and technically I live in Seward County. But because we frequently come to Lincoln, your upcoming ruling would affect me and my family. I am asking you to oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. While I do think everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity, this is not the right approach. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Gretchen Garrison  
2197 O St. Rd.  
Milford, NE 68405
I am extremely opposed to Title 11 and furthermore the City Council should not be the sole voters on this issue. It needs to be the vote of the people.

Carol Kearns
Dear City Council,

You people are going down a slippery slope by deciding about sex identification. I would hope you would allow the people to vote on this matter. No one should be mistreated about their sex orientation but to place your decision on a few people without consideration for the majority is wrong on your part as city council representatives.

Sincerely,

Mary Jane Ashman
I OPPOSE TITLE 11!!!!!
JaMel E. Ways

From: cjckacp2@juno.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 9, 2022 9:43 AM
To: Council Packet
Subject: Proposed ordinance

Hello,

I am Karen Carlson of 1010 Elmwood Ave., Linc.

I understand the Council is considering adding an ordinance to redefine sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Linc. Mun. Code.

Mayor Baird, you ran on the premise that you would seek to enhance our health, safety, and quality of life.

I am genuinely, concerned about the safety of All individuals if we allow access to restrooms, locker rooms, et al of those who may pursue "different" sexual choices. You have daughters, Mrs. Baird, how would you feel if one of them got violated because of this? Plus, what kind of law suits may the City be involved in should someone be raped or molested under such an "open door" policy?

I therefore, respectfully, request that you do not make this change.
I am unequivocally opposed to Title 11 allowing opposite genders the use of opposite gender bathrooms, locker rooms, dressing rooms, etc.

In the name of “equality,” this proposal ignores common sense by giving men who claim to be female (and vice versa) unfettered access to intimate places designated for women, including showers, locker rooms, and restrooms at schools, businesses, and even churches.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. Disagreement is not discrimination. In a pluralistic society, laws should respect a diversity of beliefs rather than target citizens who have views different from those currently in positions of political power.

I can only ask that this Council focus on more dire issues such as lack of Police officers, drugs and growing crime, balancing budgets, roads in the city that have been neglected for the 12+ years I have been a citizen of Lincoln.

Sincerely,
David Crouse
From: Pat Wells <patwells2@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 9, 2022 8:18 AM
To: Council Packet
Subject: Do not redefine

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Council members

I want to encourage you to vote against title 11. As a female you just opened the door for bad men to harm women in the restrooms. I'm not talking about those who identify as female. I would not feel safe using a public restroom any longer. Please take all perspectives into account. At the very least let the city decide.

Thank you for your time.
Sonya Howsden
I'm writing to express my strong opposition to Title 11.

Title 11 gives males identifying as females access to all private women restrooms, locker rooms, etc in our city (schools, hospitals, shelters, jails, buildings, gyms)

This being decided solely in the city council is a betrayal of your duties. This bill puts children and women in a terribly uncomfortable position. You are sacrificing the privacy and the safety of over 50% of our population. This is seen as the vast majority of the citizens of Lincoln as a way to put Lincoln in the news for being a “Woke” city.

I’ve lived in Lincoln for 32 years. I have my business in Lincoln, and I’ve raised 4 children in Lincoln. My three daughters and my wife DO NOT FEEL SAFE.

If you do not vote this down allow the citizens to vote on this in the next election. Allow the people to decide if they want males in public restrooms and locker rooms with women.

Thanks You,

Ed McKee
I am an Omaha resident but definitely oppose The proposed Title 11. Kill Title 11 or let the people of Lincoln vote on such a major piece of legislation!!

Thank you!

Anne Loeffler
5806 No 157 Ave
Omaha, Ne 68116
Dear Council,

I'm am emailing with my absolute opposition to Title 11. This should NOT be your vote! Either shut it down, or let the people vote!

Sincerely,
Joy Podliska
The Lincoln city Council has failed to follow the law in the last 10 years in regards to either putting this Fairness ordinance on the ballot or thrown it out as required by the law.

Furthermore it is not necessary as Lincoln is already a quality city and different people groups do not experience widespread discrimination as this is proposed to eliminate. As people of LBGQT already stated at the council meeting, Lincoln is a nice and fair city already. Let’s face it, since the beginning of time there’s been some sort of discrimination and it is a human condition that will never be fully be eliminated.

If The city Council continues to violate city charter and passes this resolution then all legal means are on the board and available to be used.

Sent from my iPhone
Steven Nichols
Hello, my name is Nicole Lyon, and I live in Lincoln at 606 Danville Dr.

I am contacting you to ask that the proposed ordinance is brought to Lincoln's voters. I know it is unfair that the City Council of 10 years ago left you holding the bag, but this is where we are at. It's now up to you to make it right. Take this off the shelf and deal with it as the City Charter requires. I stand in opposition to the City Council passing the proposed ordinance redefining sex and would request that you bring it to Lincoln's voters to decide.

Thank you for your time,
-Nicole
From: Laura Ottley <laura.lynae5@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 4:41 PM
To: Council Packet
Subject: Oppose the Proposed Ordinance Redefining Sex

Hello Lincoln City Council,

I am very concerned about the proposal to redefine sex to mean "female, male, neither, or both..." in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code; and therefore, open the door for males who so choose to use a women's restroom in stores, restaurants, or schools. I also am concerned with a female who chooses to use a men's restroom as well. This is alarming and dangerous. I have young children. It is not okay for a man who decides to self-identify as a woman to be able to walk into a restroom while my young daughter is in there. Where policies like this have been enacted, there have been reported cases of harassment and abuse. Please do not redefine sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Sincerely,
Laura Ottley
8201 Wendell Way
Lincoln, NE 68516
Hello!

After listening to public comments and reading about the proposed changes in Title 11 we wanted to message with strong opposition to the changes.

There is so much included in the changes aside from the “redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender” that would create problems for our beloved city beyond simply some changing to the wording.

Also, why is this not being properly navigated as it should have been 10 yrs ago? A people’s vote or dismissed forever? Was that properly assumed but the opposition?

We are 2nd generation lifelong Lincoln residents and 3rd generation NE residents. People in Lincoln are kind and seek to show love to everyone. That’s the NE way. Rights for all should be protected.

These changes strip the rights of protection from me, our young daughters and my female family members. It removes the right of our privacy and protection in the most vulnerable places at school, church, the store, at a restaurant, a gym, etc.

If this passes, it could endanger housing units with the dogs allowed, etc.

At least be transparent and read the entire 67 pages and tell the people what it actually will do. The Mayor’s endorsement was false. A false summary of what these changes are. If we cannot trust the truth to be presented in public forum we cannot trust the council behind the scenes.

Please do not vote to approve the changes to title 11.

Kari McGrew
Lincoln resident in Fox Hollow
Mr. name is Dr. Zach Kassebaum. I am a resident in Lincoln, NE and have been for many years. I have fought hard to better our community in many ways.

PLEASE be willing to not just go along with the social narrative. PLEASE be willing to look at actual research on what these decisions do to children. Look at the correlation with depression, suicide, you name. Let facts of what "is best" for children/people guide your decision making and not a social movement.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

This is not an issue of dignity and respect. It is given that every single person should be cared for...even loved. However, it is not love to assist people in making decisions that are harmful to them and simply do not align with science or basic fact.

Ask yourself...what does this set the precedence for next? Transageism? People identifying at the age they want? This is actually beginning to take place.

We would not look to make ordinances that change math...2+2 =7.

Also...does this ordinance violate state statute on Voyeurism? Is this going to lead a long list of lawsuits? Men who self-identify as female simply should not have access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you NOT to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you!

--

Dr. Zach Kassebaum
Hello, my name is Michele Ott and I own a business in Lincoln! I have 2 children that attend UNL. In light of the 2 rapes that happened in Lowden Co. Virginia After they passed this I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. You will be held accountable if you pass this reckless law! What happened to fighting for women’s rights and the me too movement. Do we forget so easily why we fought for protection!

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.
I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Michele Ott
I find it strange the city council would say it has received no emails against Title 11 when I myself have emailed the city about this. So we are already starting out on a path of dishonesty. I know everyone I have spoken to is against this. This change would allow males in women’s bathrooms or face discrimination charges and fines. This is unacceptable and not one single person I have spoken with wants this either. We all know this would increase sexual assaults. One only needs to look at West Virginia and what the city council and school board did there to cover up what turned out to be at least two rapes in one school district. Men are men and nothing changes that unless they have surgical procedures and hormone treatments. Does not matter what they want to identify as in the moment. I saw on TV a woman identifying as a wolf. Does it make her a wolf? No of course not. But that’s what she identifies as right down to the howling. People hear that and they think that’s nonsense. If the city council passes this then perhaps they should all be removed from office. This woke city council has got to end and start using common sense. To be clear I am against this along with a lot of people you represent.

Kevin Oxner

3200 Rawhide Dr

Lincoln NE 68507
Dear members of the Lincoln City Council.

I am notifying you all that I am opposed to title 11 and request that you all take a step back let the people vote. The city council should NOT solely vote on it.

You do not have the right to decide this matter, the residents of Lincoln should vote on this.

I moved to Lincoln from California in 1982 and I am appalled at what the city of Lincoln has become. It is just embarrassing what petty, un-American little tyrants the Mayor and her minions on the city council are. Do something useful and fix the streets!

Jennifer Reeder
I oppose the proposed changes

1. Our existing laws already protect citizens regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity
2. Males who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological females, such as restrooms and locker rooms

Please reject this proposal to change the existing ordinance. While I respect people's right to choice, I also hope you will respect peoples feelings and right to their own privacy.

Rod Johnson
6409 Tanglewood In
Lincoln, Ne 68516

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Members of Lincoln City Council,

As a taxpayer and parent I am disappointed to see that you are considering redefining sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and identity. We can all agree that everyone in these United States of America should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Disagreement over ideals is not the equivalent of discrimination. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity.

In my belief system God is the one who created male and female. For those of us that align with this truth it is a violation of our rights to have this policy enacted. As a mother of both a male and female it disturbs me to think about an adult of the opposite sex walking into their locker room or restroom. What one gender feels is fair and appropriate does not give the right for others to determine what our children are exposed to. How does this protect against invasion of privacy for those who identify as the gender they are born with? Regardless of which gender a person chooses to be, there are options for them in a free society, without trampling the rights of those who do not share the same ideology. Surely you can devise a more feasible and equitable plan for all parties.

Should the Lincoln City Council continue to make decisions that favor government overreach above personal liberties I will direct my spending outside the city limits.

Sincerely,

Doug Harshman
I oppose this ordinance because it opens the door to allow men to enter spaces that are and should be private to women. The rights of women have been trampled on throughout history and this ordinance as written is one more avenue that provides a "legal" means to accomplish that yet again. As a woman, a mother of a daughter and grandmother of several granddaughters I strongly oppose it.

Marcia Murray
Functional Medicine Certified Health Coach
402 432 3989
https://fb.me/HealthCoachingService

There are only 2 ways to live your life. One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as though everything is a miracle. - Albert Einstein
The quieter you become, the more you can hear. – Ram Dass
Take excellent care of the miracle you live in!
Hello,

My name is Ryan, and I live in Lincoln.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

From,
Ryan Yang
To whom it may concern,

It has come to my attention that you are considering proposing an ordinance that would change the definition of sex, to include sexual orientation and gender identification.

Please do not abandon common sense for a desire to be inclusive. By considering this change, you would be negotiating the value of safety. Furthermore, by carrying this idea out, why even have separate facilities for men and women? Why not just put everyone together, if there are no discernible differences? How would you even define what is a man and a woman, and who is going to protect those who are vulnerable?

When it goes wrong, it will be on your heads.

Gretchen Monroe
As a parent of a teenage daughter I am appalled that you are considering changing the definition of sex to include "gender identification and sexual orientation". You are opening up this city to sexual assaults on our daughters and damaging their self esteem. Unless you are converting all elementary, middle, high school, and colleges to single restroom stalls with the faucets outside to protect them from a Loudon, VA school incident. A compromise would be to create single stall "unisex bathroom" for anyone to use. I will move my family out of this city school system so fast if you allow this to go through.

Deborah Moore
Lincoln City Council Members:

I oppose changing ordinances to redefine “sex” to include “sexual orientation and gender identity” in the city’s municipal code.

Gender isn’t something that’s arbitrary but based in science and biology. We already seen the young exploited in bathrooms by men that claim to be females.

We need to protect women from men who self-identify as female. These men should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Respectfully,
Robert Putt

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.
Good afternoon Madam Mayor and City Council members,

I was planning on coming in person but my work schedule today prohibited that. I among so many other concerned parents here in Lincoln wanted to take a minute and let you know that my husband and I are vehemently opposed to the proposed changes regarding sexual orientation and gender identity that is on the table for your vote next Monday February 14th.

We have a daughter and a son and feel this battle is for both of their safety. God is the creator and He created male and female as the Bible clearly declares. Remember, the Bible is the oldest verified historical book and the most read in all of history. It doesn't matter if you agree with it or not, those are facts. For thousands of years, even in biblical times, humans struggled with the temptation of wanting to be something they are not. There are accounts in the bible of homosexuality. There have always been people who "feel" they want to be something different.

The difference is, in this current progressive agenda, those people's FEELINGS, are what is now being used to change law, to change what is safest for our children and when feeling govern, there is no order.

As I said, we have a teenage daughter and a 11 year old son. We do not want to have to worry about when and where they use a public restroom. Who else is in the YMCA locker room with them, or even worse, while competing for a school athletic event in an unsupervised space, what could potentially happen if a man who one day wears a skirt and goes into the girls locker room or vice versa for my son, and sexually threatens or assaults my child under the guise of "I FELT LIKE A WOMAN TODAY".

Even if a physical assault doesn't occur, the assaults on their hearts and minds will for sure. Exposing these children or even adults to situations in spaces that have FOR ALL TIME been reserved as a private place and now will become an awkward, uncomfortable, unsafe and potentially dangerous place all in the name of "making more people feel included" is ridiculous and a violation of public safety!

I know you have children, or nieces and nephews, or grandchildren. PLEASE do not vote in favor of this change. It will not only hurt so many children, it will continue to drive out the economy of this city. People are starting to speak with their money. They will shop OUTSIDE Lincoln. They will MOVE outside Lincoln.

Voting in favor of this proposal is not only a vote against the freedoms this country was founded on, but it is a vote in favor of intentionally creating an environment that will harm children. Remember you are elected officials who were put in that seat to hear your constituents and represent us well. Please don't disappoint us.

Sincerely,

Stephanie & Erik Remus
Hello! I am strongly Opposed to re-defining sex to sexual orientation. Please, for the love of my daughters and granddaughters, leave a shred of decency in the state of Nebraska. Unfortunately you will NEVER know A persons true heart or reasoning that is struggling with their orientation.. I do NOT take unnecessary risks on the safety of those I love..
I have been a nurse for 36 years. Science speaks truth. Men are men and women are women at birth and always.
THANKYOU,
Jodi Fischer

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Stephanie Werner, and I live in Lincoln at 1121 Stony Rapids Bay.

I am writing to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity everyone should be treated with dignity and respect. Unfortunately, when the redefinition of sex has occurred, the result is often the violation of bodily privacy and safety. Men who self-identify as female should not have unrestricted access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

I urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Werner
I ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

1. Our existing laws already protect citizens regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
2. Males who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological females, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
3. Rather than preventing actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used to violate the rights of people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
4. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of young girls and women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees to use shared private spaces.

Thank You,
Emily Stockton
333 N 56th Street
Lincoln, 68504
Hello,
My name is Jennifer Butcher, I live here in Lincoln. I am asking you to please refuse to allow this to go forward. Please protect my daughters, I have five of them. While everyone deserves respect and to be treated with dignity there is no reason to allow men who identify as women to have access to our daughter’s private space. The accounts of attacks on young girls are happening every day. There are ways to accommodate these boys/men without endangering our daughters and women of any age.
Please know that you will be held responsible if anything happens to the females of Lincoln because of a policy like this.
Sincerely,
Jennifer Butcher

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone
Hello,

My name is Joe Luetkenhaus. My wife Rhonda and I live in Lincoln at 6230 Artisan Way. We are contacting you to ask that you **oppose** the proposed changes to the "fairness doctrine".

Thank you,

Joe and Rhonda Luetkenhaus
Lincoln Council Members:

My name is Rhonda Horner-Bohaty, a Lincoln resident since 1971 and voter who shows up at the polls consistently! I live in East Lincoln and vote at Indian Hills.

I am gravely disturbed by yet another attempt to harm our children both now and in the future! First it is excessive mask mandates for an age group with a .03 percent chance of being harmed by a virus that has yet to be isolated or studied, while using inflated stats via faulty test results. Science or politics. So instead of common sense being applied, our poor children are being masking 7 hours a day! (Fauci himself wrote the analysis of the mask harm during the Spanish Flu debacle! Pneumonia from masks was the number one cause of death. Look it up.) All while fundraisers, sports venues and other exemptions with money involved and much more crowded conditions far exceeding the classroom happen almost daily. No actual science involved with any of that.

Next it was vaccines for no apparent reason for those age groups with virtually no danger from the illness. Now we have myocarditis and pericarditis!

And now this proposed change to the Lincoln Municipal Code to accommodate predators that will accept this opportunity to rape women and children whenever they feel like it by using the cover of gender confusion. Please do your research before adopting this egregious policy and codifying it in this city! This “experiment” of wokeness without thought of consequences is a horrible legacy of a City Council unfettered by their own rules! (We paid attention to the Lopez sleight of hand too!)

Lincoln is supposed to be the shining example and the heart of Nebraska’s forthright and upright populace as it’s capitol. This experiment is happening now in the closed community of prisons. The results have been tragic for women. Do your research before jumping into something like this. History and voters will judge you all.

Thank you for your time,

RHB

Sent from my iPhone
Greetings Lincoln City Council:

I greatly object to the redefining of sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity. This is a violation of women's right to privacy. Men who now identify as women should never be allowed in women's restrooms or locker rooms. Nor should the men, now calling themselves women, be allowed to unfairly compete in women's sports.

Please use good judgement and do not adopt this ordinance change.

Donald Kucera
7335 S, Hampton Rd
Lincoln, NR 68506
Dear City Council Members,

Thank you for your service to our city. Our names are Mary and Seth Odgaard, 3706 South 78th Street, Lincoln, NE 68506. We are writing you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We certainly believe that all people should be treated with dignity and respect, regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. However, when enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Additionally, many young children share these private spaces with their mothers; we should not have to be concerned about taking our children into a restroom or changing room that is designated for biological women due to unfettered access by men who claim to identify as women (particularly in places where a family bathroom is not available). Unfortunately, there are perpetrators who will use the convenient "excuse" of identifying as female in order to have access to women and children in these private spaces.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. First amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women and children should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

We are respectfully urging you NOT to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Seth and Mary Odgaard
Hello,

My name is Jill Havlat and I am a Lincoln resident. My address is 6420 Mesaverde Drive. I am writing to respectfully ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance at today's council meeting that will redefine sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Everyone deserves to be treated with dignity and respect regardless of their sexual orientation or identity. However, when enacted, such policies that expand the definition of sex from biological sex to sexual orientation and gender identity violate bodily privacy and safety. They also coerce conformity of thought to a fluid understanding of sexual identity.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

Please do not redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Jill Havlat
My name is GLENDA BOESIGER and I live at 7042 Fernhill Pl. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex include sexual orientation and gender identity.
Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Council Members,

Please find this as my request that you vehemently oppose the proposed ordinance redefining “sex” to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. This constant seeking to victimize children and women of Lincoln by allowing self identity to control which private facilities are used needs to STOP.

Someone’s feelings has no place in determining if they can violate the privacy of other individuals.

1. Our existing laws already protect citizens regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

2. Males who self-identify as female should not have access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

3. Rather than preventing actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used to violate the rights of people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender, especially women's rights.

4. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of young girls and women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly force them to share their private spaces with males.

Disgusted,
Nick Pischel

Nick Pischel 3851 Mohawk Street Lincoln, NE. 68510
We are asking you to oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation. Where enacted, policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to intimate places designated for women including showers, locker rooms and restrooms. These policies take away from constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully ask you not to redefine sex in Title 11.

Thank you,

David and Margaret Kapler
1621 Regency Dr.
Lincoln, NE

Sent from my iPad
Hello, my name is Marta Gilson and I live in Lincoln at 5320 Thies Cove Drive. I am contacting you because we can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sex assigned at birth. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce people to express their sex in ways that do not align with their internal sense of self.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedom of expression and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that give people the power to piece together an identity that is different from their own.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedom of expression and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that give people the power to piece together an identity that is different from their own.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include self-identified gender or gender identity.
From: Daniel Frost <dfrost1@me.com>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 1:18 PM
To: Council Packet
Subject: Proposed changes to Title 11

I ask that you OPPOSE the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Existing laws already prevent discrimination based on sexual orientation so this change to the language is unnecessary. It is also dangerous in that it will allow genetic males unfettered access to Women’s bathrooms and locker rooms.

Furthermore, a similar ordinance was defeated via a public referendum several years ago. Now the council is attempting to sneak it back in under the cover of “bringing the language in the city charter in compliance with federal law.” This is, of course, not the true intention and is rather another assault on those in the community who hold traditional moral values.

Respectfully,

Dan Frost

Sent from my iPhone
To City Council Members:

I am very much opposed to changes in the ordinance that would allow males who ID as females to have access to Nebraska girls and women. We already have laws in place to protect our citizens regardless of sexual identity. The first Amendment rights address the privacy, safety and rights of young girls and women. This should not be compromised by policies of the government that unfairly force them to share private spaces with men/boys. Look at what is happening nationally with rapes occurring in school bathrooms by males who ID as females. You won't have too look too hard or far to find this happening. These gender identity policies have been used to violate the rights of people who do not conform to one particular view on sex and gender....especially women's rights. I feel the City Council has an obligation to protect the safety of the community at large. By altering the Ordinance you are placing young girls and women at risk. The City Council will be held accountable for harm that occurs if these changes are made. I am sure you would want to avoid bad publicity and possible litigation for decisions that are not in the best interest of public safety. I am imploring you to do the right thing.

Sincerely,
Barbara Ramsey RN, MHA, PhD
2600 Jacquelyn Drive
Lincoln, Nebraska
402 304 6403
Hello, my name is Jonathon Goodro, and I live in Lincoln at 5608 So. 82nd St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Jonathon Goodro
I am writing you to ask you to please do NOT change the definition of 'sex' to include sexual orientation or gender identity. Please oppose this possible ordinance to Title 11 in the Lincoln Municipal code.

This would violate the First Amendment freedoms and rights of women and young girls in giving up their private space and safety in restrooms and locker rooms. It is not right to have males sharing this private space with females. Who would be there to monitor the safety of my young daughter or even myself if someone were to misuse this new "freedom" that a possible predator would now have? My freedom to use a public restroom safely would be removed. This is opening Pandora’s box. There are already laws in place to protect citizens regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Please oppose this ordinance proposal.

Respectfully,

Brenda Halbmaier
Mead, NE
Frequent consumer of Lincoln business/industry.
To Council Members,

My name is Mary Ryan and I live in Lincoln at 1233 Galloway Ave. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. This was put before the city 10 years ago and was defeated by a public referendum. This should not be taken out of the voters' hands and passed by the City Council. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. Disagreement is not discrimination.

Respectfully Submitted,
Mary Ryan
Hi all,

I ask you to oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Our existing laws already protect citizens regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

By allowing males who self identify as female to have access to private spaces specifically for biological women will put women and young girls in harms way. As father of two women and grandfather of two girls, I will hold you all personally accountable if any harm comes to them or any other biological women.

People who say they believe in and support science, at the same time support this type of anti-science, proves they don’t really believe in science. It’s scientific fact that there are only two genders, Male & Female.

You should focus more on stopping this brainwashing of young people in public education to believing this garbage. And help those that you’ve accommodated in this brainwashing.

Prove that you actually support Science and do the right thing and stop this nonsense.

Roland D. Cook

841 N 86th Street
Council Members,

Living only an hour from Lincoln, we enjoy the many amenities that our Capitol City has to offer.

My parents were married in Lincoln.

But if you pass the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code, my descendants’ families, most of my neighbors and I will no longer be patronizing your city.

This proposed ordinance may be touting popular ideology, but time will prove it to be no more than a passing fad, and the common sense of Nebraskans will elect leaders who are willing to protect the safety of women, girls and children.

I insist that you exercise common sense and vote against the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Paul Ehernberger
MapleCreekCanyon.com

Campfire Bible-Sharing & Retreats
Miles of Private Scenic Nature Trails
Hiking Adventures & Off-Road Tours
Nature Photography Opportunities
Open-Air Markets, Exhibits, & Events
Hand-Crafted Textile Items
Film Production & Online Distribution
402-860-0719 text or voice
Hello,

My name is Stephani McKee, and I live in Lincoln at 6550 Yorktown Ct. Lincoln, NE 68516. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. The consequences of these proposed changes will be devastating for young girls, and all women. As city council members, your most important role is to keep your constituents safe. We have seen a rise in crime in Lincoln, and do not see evidence that you, as city council members, nor the mayor take your role seriously. The very fact that this proposed redefinition of sex is being considered, shows your lack of seriousness.

There is strong evidence that where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. One case, in particular, took place in Loudoun County, VA where a young man “identifying” as a woman, raped a 14 year old girl. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

The city council not only has a responsibility to protect constituents that live here, but to protect those that visit, as well. By proposing these changes, I can imagine that small towns that send their children here to participate in sporting events, speech events, attend the University of Nebraska, and more, will rethink this option. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. This proposed ordinance is being talked about across Nebraska, all eyes on on what this council is preparing to do.

I respectfully urge you to OPPOSE the ordinance that would redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Stephani McKee
I ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

You should know that existing laws already protect citizens regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

As Nebraska’s capitol city you should be an example and protect the freedom of ALL the citizens of Nebraska that visit your city. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of young girls and women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly force them to share their private spaces with males, whether it be in a sports locker room, or in a school or public restroom.

Please record my opposition and do the right thing for Lincoln and Nebraska and vote NO on the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you.

Gene Schultz
2306 Jean Drive
Fremont, NE 68025
DO NOT REDEFINE SEX IN TITLE 11.

Protect my little girls bodily privacy and safety. They should have a right to shower and go to the bathroom designated for GIRLS ONLY.

Exercise common sense.
Hi all,

I ask you to oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Our existing laws already protect citizens regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

By allowing males who self identify as female to have access to private spaces specifically for biological women will put women and young girls in harms way. As father of two women and grandfather of two girls, I will hold you all personally accountable if any harm comes to them or any other biological women.

People who say they believe in and support science, at the same time support this type of anti-science, proves they don’t really believe in science. It’s scientific fact that there are only two genders, Male & Female.

You should focus more on stopping this brainwashing of young people in public education to believing this garbage. And help those that you’ve accommodated in this brainwashing.

Prove that you actually support Science and do the right thing and stop this nonsense.

Roland D. Cook

841 N 86th Street

Sent from Mail for Windows
My name is William Aultz from Nebraska City. I am unable to attend the meeting tonight on the Ordinance Redefining Sex.

Whoever thinks that this is a good idea seriously needs to think about the HARM and SERIOUS CONSEQUENCES they are putting the Citizens of Lincoln, People of Nebraska and all of the visitors from around this great country of ours let along visitors from around the World in! You were voted in to your job to serve and to provide the citizens of Lincoln a SAFE city. You are trying a experiment on YOUR citizens and showing that you don’t care what the effects are on them! How about you try this experiment in your house and your church first and see how that goes and bring those results back to the council and then maybe... I said maybe your citizens of Lincoln would be interested. This is a VERY BAD IDEA AND VERY BAD EXPERIMENT!
I STRONGLY OPPOSE THE PROPOSED SEX Redefined ORDINANCE  
1. Our existing laws already protect citizens regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.  
2. Males who self-identify as female should not have access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.  
3. Rather than preventing actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used to violate the rights of people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender, especially women's rights.  
4. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of young girls and women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly force them to share their private spaces with males.  

Sincerely,  
Casey Moeller  
402-416-7408
Dear Lincoln City Council,

I am urging you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

I find it VERY unsettling that you would allow a man (or vice versa) to enter a woman’s bathroom or locker room. This is open for all kinds of problems and should NOT BE ALLOWED!!!!!!!!!!

Sincerely,
Rhonda Sohler

Get Outlook for iOS
I ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

1. Our existing laws already protect citizens regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
2. Males who self-identify as female should not have access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
3. Rather than preventing actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used to violate the rights of people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender, especially women’s rights.
4. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of young girls and women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly force them to share their private spaces with males.
On behalf of myself and my daughters, do not redefine the definition of sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. There is evidence that this will be detrimental to females across the city and YOU will be opening the door for sexual assault and lawsuits that this city does not need at this time. Protect the rights of females!!!
I ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We are property owners in Lincoln. When I go to an event, I DON'T want to walk into a WOMEN’S restroom and see a MAN standing there, no matter how he identifies. That is a TOTAL invasion of MY privacy!! It is also a violation of women's rights. Males who identify as a woman shouldn’t have access to private spaces for biological women.

If there are so many men who think they are women, build them separate bathrooms!

Thank you.

Kristi Kreuscher
Please do not redefine human sexuality to fit persons' wishes rather than biological science. Elsewhere this has led to much injury for biological women/girls as well as for men/boys but particularly young boys. PLEASE

Bryan J. Van Deun, EdD
"Justice without mercy is cruelty; mercy without justice is dissolution."
— Thomas Aquinas, Commentary on the Gospel of St. Matthew
402.617.8416
8940 S 28th St 68516
Sexual identity and gender identity.

1st amendment freedoms and privacy and the safety of young girls and women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees to you shared private spaces. Thankyou Mike
JaMel E. Ways

Jackie Zimmerman <jackiezimmerman1@yahoo.com>
Monday, February 7, 2022 11:13 AM
Council Packet
Proposal

Jackie Zimmerman
610 Driftwood Dr
Lincoln, NE. 68510

I believe several of the proposed changes to Lincoln's Municipal Code are necessary to update it, but it ABSOLUTELY MUST be respectful towards women and children as well. It seems that there is a total disregard towards us...in fact, I feel put in danger by these changes and fear for women and children in locker rooms as well as bathrooms. If you cannot extrapolate from what your proposal is, to what the furthest point will be when we have people identifying as a certain gender for the convenience or pleasuring of themselves, then you have no right changing laws for the rest of us. Stop looking at the money you will be receiving from the groups that wish to push this agenda and start looking at the human toll it will take upon the most innocent and vulnerable in our city... At the gym in the shower, we are defenseless!!! In schools and other public buildings, Don't let us get caught with our pants down! Every single woman or child who is accosted will be on YOU.... Anybody who votes for this...YOU will be held liable for this abuse! Unfortunately your culpability, and the ensuing litigation against you, will not erase the pain, anguish and fear that an assaulted and battered woman or child will feel for the rest of their lives!

Sent from my iPhone
Marsha Hancock
2601 Cindy Drive,
Lincoln Nebraska
68512

Feb. 7, 2022

I believe several of the proposed changes to Lincoln’s Municipal Code are necessary to update it, but it ABSOLUTELY MUST be respectful towards women and children as well. It seems that there is a total disregard towards us...in fact, I feel put in danger by these changes and fear for women and children in locker rooms as well as bathrooms. If you cannot extrapolate from what your proposal is, to what the furthest point will be when we have people identifying as a certain gender for the convenience or pleasuring of themselves, then you have no right changing laws for the rest of us. Stop looking at the money you will be receiving from the groups that wish to push this agenda and start looking at the human toll it will take upon the most innocent and vulnerable in our city... At the gym in the shower, we are defenseless!!! In schools and other public buildings, Don’t let us get caught with our pants down! Every single woman or child who is accosted will be on YOU.... Anybody who votes for this...YOU will be held liable for this abuse! Unfortunately your culpability, and the ensuing litigation against you, will not erase the pain, anguish and fear that an assaulted and battered woman or child will feel for the rest of their lives!
Hi.
My name is Gerald Splear and I’m sending my man’s perspective.
I stand to urinate, I often miss or drip as most men do.
We often don’t wash our hands (sorry but true) and don’t linger in front of mirrors.
I don’t want a gaggle of hens walking by the row of urinals pointing, reminding me to zip and wash up. Pointing out drips and being disgusted at our normal urination procedures.
Leave restrooms alone, install your unisex units if you must but really I see this whole issue as sexist, pointless and wasteful.
Your pronoun is your choice I’m a man with a penis and do not want women in my restroom.
Thank you
Gerald. (spleargerald@gmail.com.)
Lincoln City Council Members:

I oppose changing ordinances to redefine “sex” to include “sexual orientation and gender identity” in the city’s municipal code.

I believe that all people should be treated with great respect and the dignity given to them as a human person.

We need to protect women from men who self-identify as female. These men should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

This change would enforce an ideology that is in contradiction with biological science that is evident in each physical body. General rules for all should not be made for very rare exceptions to a rule.

Best,
Mark Ruhl
Hello - my name is Janet L. Wadell and I live in Lincoln at 811 Rockhurst Drive.
I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.
First Amendment freedoms and privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.
Disagreement is not discrimination. Policies that take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms aren't changed to conform to one particular view on sex and gender by those currently in political power.
I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Please continue to protect the privacy and safety of the women and girls of Lincoln. Thank You for your time and for considering my request...jw
You have got to be kidding me! You actually believe that God created men and women and put them in bodies different than the one for their gender? You would have to not believe in God or to believe that He makes BIG mistakes. God does not make mistakes; only people make mistakes and to accept the politicization of gender is a big one. This is just another move to divide the people against one another.

To redefine sex according to man, is to violate the bodily privacy of every "normal" person. People who believe they have a gender different from their body are either looking for justification to engage in sexual perversion or they have a mental abnormality. If you really believe in democracy, then put it up for a vote by the people who will be affected.

Paul Harrell
We urge you in the strongest possible terms to vote AGAINST the mayor's proposal to redefine nature and God's creation! It is very clear in the bible that God created man and woman in his image. Over recorded history there have been thousands and thousands of attempts by man to show that he is smarter than God. Every one of the efforts has proven disastrous to man! If you allow this to be changed and men start using women's bathrooms how long do you think it will take for pedophiles and rapists to start claiming they are transgender so they can have easy access to their victims! The mayor is trying very hard to turn Lincoln in to another San Fransisco! If you want to see what these liberal leftist policies do to a city, take a trip to San Fransisco and judge for yourself. It is unsafe to walk the streets for fear of being accosted by homeless drug addicts who use the sidewalks as public toilets, and where it's unsafe to ride on public transportation for fear of sitting on discarded hypodermic needles. Why do you think people are moving out of California at a record pace! Of course, the mayor will not have to worry about the consequences of her desires since she has armed escorts and actually lives in a mansion on an Ashland, NE sandpit.

If this effort is passed, be assured that we will work, with all our ability, to see that you are voted OUT of office in the next election!

James and Anita Childers
Lincoln City Council members,

The proposed redefining of "sex" in order to include "sexual orientation and gender identity" in an entire section of the city's municipal code, which will allow men who self-identify as female open access to women's restrooms and locker rooms is not only immoral but insane. What happened to "follow the science"? One can "identify" all they want to be female/male but science declares the truth.

This isn't about "equality" this is about perversion and lust. If anyone wants to live a lie, live in perversion, live in lifestyles that are against nature they have the freedom to do so. But to force everyone to accept and embrace those choices is wrong. Disagreement is not discrimination. In a pluralistic society, laws should respect a diversity of beliefs rather than target citizens who have views different from those currently in positions of political power.

In places where this has been accepted there have been abuses from indecent exposure, groping, and rape.

I urge you all NOT to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Respectfully,

Pastor Mike Wooten
Elevate Church
Lincoln, NE
All over the country you're hearing where people are changing where men can go into women's locker rooms restrooms and they're being violated. That's fine if someone wants to be said to be something they feel they are however unless they're physically a female or male they have no business being in that locker room bathroom whatever it is a danger to anyone else that is in that room doing so opens the city up to multiple law suits when someone is violated.
Hello, my name is Lynette Waltemath, and I live in Lincoln at 2928 Londonshire Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

While I believe we can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity, where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to a particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Lynette (Lyn) Waltemath
My name is Cody Davids, and I live in Lincoln at 4000 Ballard Circle. I am contacting you to demand that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Where enacted across our country, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Loudon County, anyone?!!!

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Predators are usually “people with penises”, no matter what gender they claim to be and they shouldn’t be allowed to rape our girls and women. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully DEMAND that you not redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Lincoln doesn’t have the funds for the lawsuits that would plague our city, should this ordinance be enacted. Expecting to hear your resignation of this ordinance, thank you, Cody
I am opposed to redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. However, where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. In the end, these policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. I respectfully ask you to oppose redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Margaret Wall
9626 Hollow Tree Drive
Lincoln, NE. 68512

Sent from my iPad
Do not redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Keep boys out of my girls locker room.

Thank you,

Corey Voichoskie

Get Outlook for Android
City Council,

I can’t believe that this is even a consideration for the city of Lincoln in the state of Nebraska! This is not liberal California and I guarantee if this was put to a vote of the citizens of Lincoln, it would be soundly defeated and it should not be determined by a certain few. People of common sense don’t want a man who thinks he might really be a woman in a woman’s restroom where there are young girls or even older women. That is NOT the way it has been for generations, not the way it should be and not the way Lincolnites want it to be. There are two sexes, for those of you who have forgotten, male and female. For you men on the council, would you want a man (who could be a pervert or pedophile) in the same restroom or shower with your daughter or wife? I didn’t think so. Please do the right thing for Lincoln and do not pass this ordinance.

Sharon Sevenker
Lincoln resident for 56 years
Lincoln, NE

Sent from my iPad
I am urging you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. If you truly care about women, then you will not force them into the compromising situations that this would cause.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised. Different does not equal discrimination. Please do not lose sight of what is reasonable and right. Please do not redefine the scientific facts and biology of the humans you represent.

Respectfully,
Jill Ballard
402-616-2858
PLEASE do not redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Please DO NOT redefine "sex" in order to include "sexual orientation and gender identity" in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Please DO NOT give men who claim to be female (and vice versa) unfettered access to intimate places designated for women, including showers, locker rooms, and restrooms at schools, businesses, and even churches.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety. PLEASE keep the women of Lincoln safe and DO NOT redefine "sex" in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

A very concerned Lincoln woman,
Jessica Suing
Hello,

My name is Jane O'Connell and I live at 2315 Stone Creek Loop S in Lincoln. I'm asking you to oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

I agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, policies such as the proposed ordinance have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. There is no control to ensure men are not taking advantage of this liberty for immoral reasons.

Disagreement is not discrimination. Enacting these policies would take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should NOT be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.
I respectfully urge you **NOT** to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Jane O'Connell
Our names are Rich and Karen Eberspacher. We live at 6701 Wildrye in Lincoln. We are asking the council to oppose redefining sexual orientation and gender orientation in the title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We believe this change will put body privacy for everyone issues at risk in our schools, public buildings, and private businesses. This is not discrimination; this is a personal privacy. Thank you for considering opposing these changes.
Please do not define "sex" as what a person thinks they are, instead of what their biology is.
Michael Prang
Lincoln, NE
JaMel E. Ways

From: Margaret <seameada@neb.rr.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 6, 2022 6:17 PM
To: Council Packet
Subject: NO!!! To redefining sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code

To include sexual orientation and gender identity!
Margaret Foster

Sent from my iPad
Dear Mayor Leirion and esteemed members of the City Council,

All my life, I've enjoyed living in Nebraska---and Lincoln in particular---partly because I felt that we as a community had good common sense.

Now I'm told we're considering redefining sex in such a way to allow men who simply say that they identify as women access to women’s restrooms. I repeat, men.

This would be a dramatic departure from common sense. I have every sympathy for people who suffer from gender dysphoria, but I don't believe the majority should be stripped of basic human protections for such a small benefit to such a small minority.

If you on the Council feel that gender dysphoria is something you need to address and you can find a sensible way to do it, fine. This is not that way.

Respectfully,

Brian Foreman
6201 S 30th St
Lincoln NE 68516
To our Honorable Mayor Leirion and City Council Members:

As a voting citizen of Lincoln, I urge you not to redefine "sex" in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include "sexual orientation and gender identity".

Very clearly, my personal belief is that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of ethnicity, sexual orientation or gender identity. However, I’m very concerned for women, teens and young girls (females who identify as females) that this proposed change could allow men who self-identify as female (and vice versa), opportunities to violate bodily privacy and safety with access to women’s restrooms, locker rooms, and showers specifically designated for women.

Please do not redefine sex in Title 11. The safety and privacy of females who identify as females should not be compromised.

Thank you.

Respectfully,
Connie Nissen
2331 North 91 St, 68507
Dear members of the Lincoln, City Council.

I am opposed to your intention to redefine sex/gender in our City Code. It is my opinion that person use the bathroom/showers or locker rooms designated as for use by the biological gender of which they were born. I do not want biological males, or those born as biological male but having had gender reassignment treatment to be using the same facility as my wife, daughters, nieces or granddaughters. The same applies to my feelings concerning those born as biological females even if these females have had gender reassignment treatments. I do not want them using the same facilities as my nephews, sons, brother or grandsons. If the city council of Lincoln, Nebraska feels special accommodations need to be made for those identifying as a gender other than the biological gender of which they were born then simply build them single occupancy gender neutral facilities. Do not simply change the code in any way that allows them access to children or adults of the opposite gender. I will be at Council Meetings to speak in opposition to this.

Reginald Mark Gill
Lincoln, Nebraska
Hello, my name is Mindy Bednar, and I live in Lancaster county at 8701 Roca Road, Roca, NE. I am contacting you to offer a different solution to the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Being a firm believer in the power of dialogue as part of the process (dialogue meaning willingness to ask questions, truly listen to responses of differing opinions, and to reflect and evaluate) I am curious about some aspects of this law.

In the controversy of public safety that has been part of the dissent in regards to this law, I first want to affirm the common ground that it is of great importance to everyone - there are laws in place today that prohibit assault wherever it takes place. In regards to the dissent, I wonder if the definition of the phrase "public safety" in this conversation needs to be addressed, broadened or a different word used entirely. I am curious if part of the issue in regards to "safety" is how women of all ages would respond to or feel changing their clothes or showering next to or using the same restroom as a person who identified as female but was biologically male. The same would be true of the reverse in a men's restroom or changing space.

Because local law is meant to reflect the prevailing standards in the adult community as a whole with respect to what is beneficial for all parties, I would offer that the consideration of the circumstances mentioned above would be a vital piece of discussion.

Being solution oriented is essential in conversations. My proposal is that people who self-identify as different from their biological gender would have access to designated restrooms or changing areas. For example, the private family restrooms in stores serve this purpose as do private bathrooms in other buildings.
In conclusion, perhaps a middle ground solution in this discussion would be to provide a designated private space much like the private, one family changing spaces at an athletic club.

Thank you for your consideration,
Mindy Bednar

-Anonymous

Instead of pursuing and chasing after happiness,
cultivate and nourish joy.

-Anonymous
I am a registered voter who actually votes. I Oppose title 11.
Sincerely
Michelle Greenwood
My name is Peggy J Reier and I reside at 4100 Everett St Lincoln, NE. I am asking you to oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Many talk about society and how it is changing, these changes are not always for the good. We now have college professors redefining how pedophiles are labeled to make it more fair for them, as they are judged if they are called pedophiles. They feel that being labeled "Attracted to young people" is a fairer label. Is this where Lincoln is headed?

We are able to treat people with respect, it doesn’t mean we agree with the choices they have made, but as a woman and know many young girls, we should be concerned if men who identify as women are in our restrooms, locker rooms, etc. We are able to teach our children at home to be kind and respectful, we do not need a government code to do it for us. I have read the paper and believe you to be under pressure to pass this. I hope you do not.

I urge you to not redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time.

Peggy Reier
Hello, my name is Gretchen Hess, I live in Lincoln at 4200 Mohawk Street. I am contacting you all to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Men who self-identify as female or whatever should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Gretchen Hess & Family
Hello, my name is Deanna McClintick, and I live in Lincoln at 7839 Agatha Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Deanna McClintick
To The Lincoln City Council members:

As a concerned citizen of Lincoln NE, I urgently ask you to NOT redefine sex in title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

You have been voted into this office to listen to our concerns and I trust that you will hear me out and not just ho hum this issue!

Men who identify as female should not have access to private places designated for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms and shower rooms. This violates bodily privacy and safety for biological females and cases great mental discomfort for them.

Disagreement is not discrimination. The privacy and safety of biological women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

This said, I again request, respectfully and urgently, you to NOT redefine sex in Title 11 of the Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Wanda O'Toole

934 Benton St.

Lincoln NE 68521
Hello,

My name is Samantha Aerts, and I live in Lincoln at 6010 S 53rd St, Lincoln, NE 68516. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation & gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Disagreement is not discrimination. I believe other accommodations should be made for those who's gender does not align with their biological sex. I do not believe that any male who says he self-identifies as a female should have unrestricted access to private spaces specifically for biological women, i.e., restrooms and locker rooms. Allowing this ignores how the mass population feels and caters to the few. Biological women should be allowed spaces where they feel safe and comfortable (as well as biological men).

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation & gender identity. Thank you for your time & consideration.
Hello,

Hello,

As a victim of sexual assault and domestic violence, I find the idea that a man can follow me into the restroom terrifying. How can I go to a public restroom and feel safe if you allow men to go into the restroom under the guise "I identify as a woman"?

Sincerely,

Michelle Greenwood
Michellegreenwood904@gmail.com
7420 Glenview Drive
Lincoln, NE 68505
531-218-6333
Hello, my name is Jolene Sell, and I live in Lincoln at 3511 Fiene Cr. Lincoln Ne. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

- We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
- Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
Dear Lincoln City Council Members,

I ask you NOT to change the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity in the definition of sex. While this seems cutting edge and popular right now, under the guise of "fairness" and "non-discrimination," it is actually discrimination against the vast majority of people who firmly believe in a traditional definition of sex. A small and vocal minority of those who have a completely bizarre notion of sex have convinced others that it makes sense for a person to decide which bathroom they want to use, which sport they want to compete in, without any thought of what that might mean to the privacy and rights of others.

One example I would give that begins to point out all the problems this could bring about is the recent news about the man who is currently swimming for an Ivy League women's swim team. The biological women on the team have complained to the coaches that they don't want "him" in the locker room because he has male genitalia and can be seen naked at times in front of the females. Do we really want any male who decides he is female, or identifies as female, to have access to the private places we have reserved for the biological genders? Or vice versa? Many of these recent changes in legal status across our nation have been hasty and the results not anticipated. I do believe that everyone has rights, but we have to balance the rights of all people, not just those of vocal minorities. This is a difficult issue, but to re-define the definition of sex without thoroughly considering the consequences for all is not wise.

There are many other logical and legal arguments against this type of change. Clearly, I can't go into all of them and produce an email that you would actually read because of the sheer volume. I simply ask you to use your common sense, think about the obvious problems immediately cropping up if this change is made, and do the right thing by voting NOT to change the Municipal Code.

Sincerely, Mark Wilson, 6100 S 81st St, Lincoln, NE, 68516
SHALL THE THRONE OF INDIANA BE

devised evil by law?

Have fellowship with God? Bozeman

WOE to those who call evil good and good evil

it is amazing that a 3 year old can determine one's sexual adult cant

Lincoln City Council

555 S. 10th

Lincoln, NE 68505

Will be there

James 3:16

Sincerely,

Herb Welter

8750 Garland St.

Lincoln NE, 68505
Dear city council member,

The mess was on the outside of the envelope.

Yours truly,

Herb Walter
Dear Lincoln City Council members,

I recently saw an article in the Lincoln Journal Star concerning a Fairness ordinance for the city of Lincoln. The first thing I want to establish is I am not judging anyone. I don't hate anyone, I only want the best for everyone. Informing someone is not judging them. It is simply informing. Way back around the year 2000 the state of Nebraska had a petition drive to limit marriage to only one man and one woman. There was wide support for this. The LPS school system several years wanted to call students penguin perverts, that was a disaster. Just last year the State Board of Education wanted to have a chance of the health standards for all schools. They tried to disguise it, that was a disaster.

In baseball 3 strikes and you are out. I cannot see why governments can't see the daylight! Homosexuals have all the rights anyone else has. They can get a job, buy an ice cream cone, go on a vacation, buy property etc. The misguided supreme court even allowed them to get married. The general populace does not approve of homosexuality, it is not fitting. You are trying to make a right out of a behavior. All Sex is a behavior. This may sound harsh.

In the business environment, no one wants to tell them why to hire. It is forced. All forced agendas don't work. You are trying to Fix Force something that is not broken. If someone wants to remain gay that is their business. It should not affect anyone.

Recently a girl had a same tattoo on her face. She got fired. Oh we don't want to hurt anyone's feelings is the mentality of many people. Big Deal, that is like letting a 2 year old have their way all the time.

(over)
We have the correct view from the Bible. God destroyed Sodom and Gomorrah in Genesis 19, Revelation 21:8 tells us the sexually immoral will end up in the lake of fire. (Hell).

In Romans 1:27 it reads: "For this reason God gave them up to passions of impurity for the Doing of shameful things. They . . . exchanged the truth of God for a lie, and worshiped and served the creature rather than the Creator, who is blessed for ever. Amen." (Romans 1:23, 25). God has clearly identified those who practice such things are deserving of death, not only do the same but also approve of those who practice them. Practice what things read verses 29-30 + 31. Sexual immorality is included there. For verse 27 it reads: "Men with men." Shameful! This is in bed, not having been united bodily. And verse 26 talks about women with women in bed; this is against nature.

God does not approve of the homosexual agenda.

Why should anyone else?

Concerning some that say we have to address the brain drain, that's homosexuals leaving the state. It's a deal let them leave. Children don't need more confusion. They can identify what sex they are at a very young age. Let the so-called brain drain happen. I don't want anyone around who can't determine what is right and what is wrong. Homosexuality is wrong. And anyone can change, they just need Jesus.

Many, many, many homosexuals have CHANGED! It is an individual thing not a massive problem.

Individuals must address their sexual interests. A long time ago it should have been addressed.

Anyone approved of homosexuality + their agenda should be in a mental institution, because they approve of evil and abominations. Again I am not judging, ONLY INFORMING.

Yours truly,

Herb Weller
Lincoln City Council
555 S 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Hello, my name is Rajeana Harris, and I live in Lincoln at 6035 south street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

—

John 3:16
Hello, my name is Jeremiah Zimmerman and I am from Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Jeremiah Zimmerman
All people are to be treated equal under the law, there must be no law to protect special classes of people as they are already protected under our current laws! Such a law would take away equal rights for the majority of the people and give special rights to a few classes of people at the majority’s expense. Vote against any law that creates special classes!
Dear Council,

After sending in my letter urging you to not redefine sex in Title 11, I’m now requesting you to put it to a vote of the people.

You are a board representing your constituents and not supposed to make such decisions without we the people weighing in. I saw it stated in US that you had 150 letters in support and 400 opposing letters. That alone should be enough evidence we don’t want this. However, I’m politely requesting you put it on the ballot.

Sincerely,
Gretchen Hess
Hello, my name is Ann Andrews, and I live in Lincoln at 3707 Timberline Court. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

- We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
- Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
- Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
- Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
- Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
- First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Ann Andrews
Dear Council Members,

I stand in opposition of the Lincoln City Council redefining "sex" in Title 11, that would give males identifying as females access to all private women restrooms, locker rooms, etc. in our city (schools, hospitals, shelters, jails, building, gyms).

I can't believe we are even having this discussion. What about the rights of females to feel comfortable? My daughters already do not feel comfortable using the restrooms at school because of the activities that are already occurring in schools.

Are you really comfortable with a pedophile claiming they identify as female and the walking into a girls bathroom or locker room and having free access to harm children? I see that happening.

This is Lincoln, NE, not California. Just because some cities on the coast may be doing this doesn't mean we need to.

Airports and stores have Family Restrooms, just create a Gender Neutral bathroom or whatever you want to call it.

I've lived in Lincoln my entire life and it sickens me to see what is happening to this community..

Michelle Sander
402-440-2757
Hello, my name is **Kyle Wilkinson** and I live in Lincoln at 8800 Eagleton Lane. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

1. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
2. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
3. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. This can lead to unintended consequences that no one should have to worry about or go through.
4. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
5. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
6. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request. I have daughters as I’m sure some of you maybe have (or nieces or granddaughters). How would you feel about them being watched, touched, made to feel uncomfortable or even worse…rapped! Why is this of no consequence to those pushing for this.

There has to be a solution that will work for all. I would suggest that people use the restrooms and locker rooms for which they have the same biological anatomy. I would propose that single room
restrooms / changing / showering rooms be created specifically for people that are one sex but identify as the other.

Kyle Wilkinson, PE, LEED® AP
Mechanical Engineer

Advanced Engineering Systems, Inc.
Innovative Design | Extraordinary Service

4630 Antelope Creek Rd Ste 200, Lincoln, NE 68506, P: (402) 488-0075 | F: (402) 488-0272
620 N 129th St, Omaha, NE 68154, P: (402) 504-3885 | F: (402) 504-4598

www.a-e-sys.com

kyle.wilkinson@a-e-sys.com
Hello, my name is Carrie Kortum, and I live in Lincoln at 3078 U St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Have a lovely day,
Carrie Kortum
Hello, my name is Jon Feauto, and I live in Lincoln at 7223 S 97th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Jon Feauto
Hello, my name is Amy Lyon, and I live in Lincoln at 6251 Thompson Creek Circle. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Amy Lyon
Hello, my name is Angelina Krotr, and I live in Lincoln at 6434 Y St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Angelina Krotr
Hello, my name is Sarah Simpson, and I live in Lincoln at 1664 NW 50th St, I am contacting you to ask that you **oppose** the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

**I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.**

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

*Sarah Simpson*

---

Sarah Simpson
402.730.3613
www.capitalcityrefuse.com
Hello, my name is Kendra Beeman, and I live in Lincoln at 7905 S 96th Bay I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Kendra Beeman
Hello, my name is Renee Hueser, and I live in Lincoln at 5411 S 93rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Renee Hueser

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Jenny Anderson, and I live in Lincoln at 7943 Preserve Lane. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Jenny Anderson

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Shari Pittenger, and I live in Lincoln at 6465 S 118th ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Shari Pittenger
Hello, my name is Trey Pittenger, and I live in Lincoln at 6465 S 188th Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Trey Pittenger, CFP®
Lead Advisor/Principal

5533 South 27th Street, Suite 201
Lincoln, NE 68512
Office – 402.328.8800
Mobile – 402.202.3682
Hello, my name is Jay Perkins, and I live in Lincoln at 9624 TOMA RD Lincoln NE 68526. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Lindy Hiatt and I live at 4941 Claire Ave in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

--

Lindy M. Hiatt
It is not solely the right of the Council to pass title 11. Read your life guide the Bible. In doing this you are blatantly ignoring God’s design for His creation. You are NOT changing it, no one can do that. You are putting on display your moral depravity. We trust our children to you and we are seeing the fruits of your labor in so much of the decay of the United States. Stop the nonsense get back to teaching the fundamentals so our children can be good citizens & good decision makers. Put the vote to the parents where it should be. Stop all this insanity.

Jean Brown.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Denise Klein, and I live in Lincoln at 6140 Lexington Ave. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Denise Klein
Hello, my name is Shane Slightom, and I live in Lincoln at 2908 Everett St. Lincoln Nebraska. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Shane
Can I have your full attention! My name is Rodrick R. Bruce, and I live in Lincoln at 7710 Glynoaks Dr 68516-6725. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

- We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity but where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

- Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Looked what happen in Virginia School District, not once but twice before it got national intention.

- First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

- This is Lincoln Nebraska “The Good Life” don’t tread on it! We don’t need San Francisco California politics applied here.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request. Excuse me for driving a wedge on the 4th bullet point. But I’m very concerned about kids in our neighborhood that go to our Public Schools in Lincoln if they would be confronted in very uncomfortable situations if Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code was enacted.

Sincerely,

Rodrick R. Bruce
7710 Glynoaks Dr
Lincoln Nebraska. 68516-6725
402 261-6268

P.S. I feel very blessed that my Grandchildren are home schooled!
Hello, my name is Thomas Martin, and I live in Lincoln at 7601 Exbury Ct. I am writing to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. The better accommodation would be the addition of another option offering an individual restroom accessible by anyone not abiding by their gender of birth.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your consideration and willingness to think seriously of the potential harmful consequences of the proposed changes

Sincerely,

Thomas Martin

Tom Martin
To whom it may concern,

First of all, thank you for all the work you do to help keep peace, justice, and order in our city.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Jordan Zoucha
Hello, my name is Kami Kassebaum, and I live in Lincoln in Edenton South Neighborhood. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Kami Kassebaum
Dear Lincoln City Council members,

My name is Mrs. Emily Moore and my family and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Emily Moore
Mother of 5 children
Hello,

My name is Ronald Kuehn, and I live in Lincoln at 5810 Barjona Place.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

- We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
- Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
- Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
- Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
- Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
- First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Zach McDermott and I live in Lincoln.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Zach
Hello, my name is Emma St. Hilaire, and I live in Lincoln at 5255 NW 12th St. in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Emma St. Hilaire
Concerned Lincoln Resident
Hello, my name is Janell Martin, and I live in Lincoln at 7601 Exbury Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Janell Martin

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Melissa Hovendick, and I live in Lincoln at 2100 S 98th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Melissa Hovendick
Hello, my name is Amber Heeren, and I live in Lincoln at 3131 Laramie Cir. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Stephanie Hohlen, and I live in Lincoln at 9055 Turnberry Circle. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Hohlen
To: Lincoln City Council

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Jim and Anne Larsen
Dear Members of Lincoln City Council,

As a taxpayer and parent I am disappointed to see that you are considering redefining sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and identity. We can all agree that everyone in these United States of America should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Disagreement over ideals is not the equivalent of discrimination. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity.

In my belief system God is the one who created male and female. For those of us that align with this truth it is a violation of our rights to have this policy enacted. As a mother of both a male and female it disturbs me to think about an adult of the opposite sex walking into their locker room or restroom. What one gender feels is fair and appropriate does not give the right for others to determine what our children are exposed to. How does this protect against invasion of privacy for those who identify as the gender they are born with? Regardless of which gender a person chooses to be, there are options for them in a free society, without trampling the rights of those who do not share the same ideology. Surely you can devise a more feasible and equitable plan for all parties.

Should the Lincoln City Council continue to make decisions that favor government overreach above personal liberties I will direct my spending outside the city limits.

Sincerely,

Amy Harshman, RD
Hello, my name is Kathleen Hubertus and I live in Lincoln at 8120 SW 27th street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination.

These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent by Kathleen from her iPad
Hello, my name is Jennifer Davis, and I live in Lincoln at 935 S 36th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Jennifer Davis
Hello, my name is Laura Frayser, and I live in Lincoln at 2451 Winding Way. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Thanks,

Laura Frayser
Hello, my name is Ellie Andreasen, and I live in Lincoln at 801 S 36th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Ellie Andreasen
Hello, my name is Randy Zimmerman and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Randy Zimmerman

PS

The above was obviously a pre-written message. Did does contain a lot of what I believe, but even more, I also believe that this country was born under the guidance of Christian values. Even though there are a few that may not agree or believe in christianity, it doesn’t change on what the founding fathers used to design or US Constitution. That being said, science has even determined that DNA cannot be altered from determination of distinct sex orientation. Making a mockery of both science and religion with this action shows a total self serving action contraire to the majority of the people in this community. Compassion is not defined by serving a few but by honoring all it may affect.
Hello, my name is Sandra S Payne, and I live in Lincoln at 800 Glenarbor Drive 68512. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sandra Payne

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Ruthie Maguire, and I live in Lincoln at 6441 Shenandoah drive Lincoln 68510. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Jon Andreasen, and I live in Lincoln at 5355 Ellendale Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Jon Andreasen
--
Jon Andreasen
Apartment Manager, Morningside Apartments
Hello, my name is Kim Robinson and I live in Lincoln with my family. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

God bless,
Kim
Hello, my name is Kacy Steiner and I live in Lincoln at 3201 Briarwood Avenue. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Kacy Steiner
Hello, my name is Ken Pinkerton, and I live in Lincoln at 512 Rockhurst Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Ken Pinkerton
Hello, my name is Ian R Johnstone, and I live in Lincoln at 2143 Lake St, Lincoln, NE 68502. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

- Ian R. Johnstone
Hello, my name is Julie Kirk, and I live in Lincoln in the 40th and Normal Blvd area. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

If we are all to be treated fairly and equally, all restrooms, locker rooms and other segregated areas where men and women have separate facilities, should be integrated. Doing this will ensure equality and fairness.

It should not matter what gender or sexual orientation someone is as all places will be accessible to all people. Having separate facilities is not equal, as history has taught us time and time again, separate but equal is not equal.

Restrooms and locker rooms should have private stalls to ensure safety.

Segregation of the sexes take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward both genders and all sexual orientations.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code and instead redefine how you think about separating the sexes at all. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Julie Kirk
Hello, my name is Katherine Johnstone and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Katherine Johnstone

Get Outlook for Android
Hi, my name is Bill Hanus and I reside at 2400 South 78th St in Lincoln Nebraska.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance addition of a definition for gender identity or expression in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

But that does not mean that we have to specifically create a definition as such that will create many downstream impacts that are not desired by a majority of people as shown in public opinion polls.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Across the nation, we have seen several instances of biological males that identify as females sexually assaulting biological females because of granted access to women’s restrooms or locker rooms. Recent occurrences in Virginia high schools are examples.

In the interests of protecting women and girls, I respectfully urge you not to add that new definition of sexual identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. In the event a sexual assault occurs under the circumstances I describe above, it is likely that the City of Lincoln would expose itself to legal risk and monetary damages with the proposed change to Title 11. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Amy Johnson, and I live in Lincoln at 3600 J Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Amy Johnson
Hello, my name is Joshua Burks and I live in Lincoln at 7811 Hickory Ln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Joshua Burks
Hello, my name is Julia Cuellar-Morrison and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

-Julia Cuellar-Morrison
Hello, my name is Kari Ann Bazan, and I live in Lincoln at 7201 Canyon Road. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Thank you,
Kari Bazan
Hello, my name is Maximilian Doty and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Maximilian Doty
Hello, my name is TJ Kinkaid. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Thanks,
TJ
Hello, my name is Hannah Bauer and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Hannah Bauer
Hello, my name is Kevin Bousquet and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Regards
Kevin Bousquet

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get Outlook for Android
Hello, my name is Catherine Rolfson and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

-Catherine Rolfson
Hello, my name is Sara, and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of both genders should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lin Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Jeremiah Zimmerman and I am from Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Jeremiah Zimmerman
Hello, my name is Kelly Halverson and I live in Lincoln at 7921 Lillibridge Street.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

thanks

Kelly Halverson
Hello,
My name is Matt Weeder and I live in Lancaster county. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.
We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.
I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Matt Weeder

--
Matt Weeder
Cell: (402)920-1376
Fax: (402)904-5205

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message contains information that may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy, print or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received this e-mail in error, please advise the sender by reply and delete the message. Thank you.
Hello, my name is Carolyn Dalton, and I live in Lincoln at 1200 Twin Ridge Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Amanda Weeder and I live in Lancaster county. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Amanda Weeder
amandaweeder@gmail.com
Hello, my name is Stephanie Kennett, and I live in Lincoln at 6911 Stevens Ridge Rd, 68516. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Stephanie Kennett
Good afternoon. My name is Monica Henkenius, and I live in Lincoln at 6510 Monticello Drive 68510. I am writing to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. In places these policies have been enacted, the policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Monica Henkenius
Hello, my name is Gerald Doty and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Gerald Doty
Hello, my name is Kelly Callan and I live in Lincoln at 6301 Deerwood Dr.
I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.
We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.
I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Please help us parents, and use your position of power to keep our children safe.

Sincerely,

Kelly Callan
Hello, my name is Mary Pat Waite and I live in Lincoln NE, 1706 Devoe Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Mary Pat Waite
Lincoln City Council Representatives,

My name is Chelsea Schauer, and I live in Lincoln at 7140 S Hampton Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

However, where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. There are better ways to engage in dialogue about differing views than forcing confrontation through enacted laws.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Our names are David & Kathleen Hubertus residing at 8120 SE 27th Street in Lincoln.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We agree everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Dave & Kathleen Hubertus
Hello, my name is Ashley Ronnebaum, and I live in Lancaster County and work in the city of Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Ashley Ronnebaum
Hello,

My name is Kelby Meyers and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Kelby

--

Kelby Meyers
Cell: 402-540-1232
Email: kelbymeyers@gmail.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message contains information that may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy, print or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received this e-mail in error, please advise the sender by reply and delete the message. Thank you."
To The Lincoln City Council,
Good afternoon! My name is Paula Kucera and I live in Lincoln at 7335 South Hampton Road.
I am contacting you to request that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

While we all agree that everyone should be treated with the dignity and respect due to human beings regardless of sexual orientation and gender identity, I totally disagree that persons should have access to bathrooms, locker rooms, showers and even sports teams not of their biological sex. I believe that biological sex (your DNA at birth) should be the sole determining factor in access to all those places. I am aware that other alternatives are available rather than to allow persons of one biological sex unfettered access to persons of the opposite sex. For instance, some schools have designated unisex restrooms so all students are aware they may encounter both sexes in that space. There is also discussion about forming sports teams for those who identify as a gender that does not conform to their biological sex. It is NOT equity to allow biological males to compete against biological females regardless of what they identify as. This is NOT equity! Especially to females! When biological males have been allowed to compete against biological females they demonstrate a clear, and unfair advantage!

In the name of "equity" this proposal ignores common sense. In fact, where enacted, these policies have been used to violate both bodily privacy and safety. There have been cases of biological males who used the issue of claiming to identify as females in order to gain access to intimate places and rapes have occurred. It is not equity to deny biological females a right to privacy and safety.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward persons who have different beliefs from those in power politically. I am a licensed mental health professional and have been for decades. While gender dysphoria is, and has been, studied and treated for a hundred years, there is not one shred of scientific evidence supporting the notion that "transgender" is a scientific condition. This is a mental health issue and the person needs mental health treatment. But it is not ok to force society to allow individuals of one biological sex to have access to intimate places for individuals of the other biological sex - WITHOUT THEIR CONSENT! AND WITHOUT THEIR KNOWLEDGE! AND, IN THE CASE OF SCHOOLS, WITHOUT THEIR PARENTS’ CONSENT!

Thank you for listening! I hope you will take this concern seriously!
Paula Kucera
Hello, my name is Rob Bryant and I live in Lincoln at 5945 Cross Creek Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Rob Bryant
Hello, my name is Michelle Oelke, and I live in Lincoln at 3523 OSullivan Road. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. I am don’t believe someone else’s rights should in turn violate the privacy and safety rights of others. My daughter, my nieces, my sister and myself do not wish to sacrifice our rights to privacy and safety. And I urge you to please take this into consideration when you are contemplating this decision.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is
Debra Olson
210 Wedgewood Dr
Lincoln, NE 68510

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.
We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.
I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Laura Reese, and I live in Lincoln at 1830 Devoe Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Janel Andreasen, and I live in Lincoln at 5355 Ellendale Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Janel Andreasen

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Josh Andreasen, and I live in Lincoln at 5125 Garland Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Josh Andreasen
Hello, my name is Carrie Stratman, and I live in Lincoln at 3410 Laura Ave. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Jacquelan Doering, and I live in Lincoln at 8611 Echo Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Jacquelan Doering

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Jakob Andreasen, and I live in Lincoln at 801 S 36th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

I think we can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been and are being used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time.

Jakob Andreasen
Hello, my name is Drew Hines and I live in Lincoln.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

--

Drew Hines
402-890-2625
Hello, my name is Jennifer Tarzian, and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

However, where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Tarzian

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Chelsea Meduna, and I live in Lincoln at 8537 Chaparral Circle. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Meduna
Hello, my name is Bridget Diederich and I live in Lincoln at 4811 S. 76th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. I have three daughters, and I need to fight for their safety. Men who self-identify (or even simply claim to self-identify) as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Cynthia Hanus
2400 S 78th Street
Lincoln, NE
Hello, my name is Kelly Neal, and I live in Lincoln at 7200 Whitestone Circle. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Kelly Neal

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Sara Gubbels and I live in Lincoln at 6429 Rainier Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sara Gubbels
Hello, my name is Katie Fischer and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello,

My name is Dustin Ledgerwood, and I live at 7220 Briarhurst Dr, Lincoln, NE 68506. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining "Sex" to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine "Sex" in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Dustin Ledgerwood
Hello, my name is Sarah Maje and I live in Lincoln at 6124 Blackstone Road. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Sarah Maje
Hello, my name is Rochelle Essay, and I live in Lincoln at 7300 Whitestone Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Elly Fugleberg, and I live in Lincoln at 6440 Shenandoah Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Elly Fugleberg
From: Sarah Peed <sarah-peed@sandhills.com>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 1:05 PM
To: Council Packet
Subject: Title 11

Hello,

My name is Sarah Peed and I live in Lincoln at 10360 A Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Sarah Peed
Hello, my name is Alvin J Sand and I live in Lincoln at 7810 South St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity and title 11 at the Lincoln municipal code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom he disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefined sex in title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Court to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for your consideration.
From: Michaela Judd <michaelajudd78@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 1:00 PM
To: Council Packet

Dear Lincoln City Council,

My name is Michaela Judd, and I live in Lincoln at 2220 Raven Oaks Circle. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Nor should they be allowed to compete with biological females.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Michaela Judd
3D Wellness and Nutrition
www.3dnebraska.com
402-499-0561
Hello, my name is Lori Weskamp, and I live in Lincoln.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. Lord knows I disagree with some of my children's choices, but that by all means does NOT mean I am discriminating against them, and if one thinks it is, that is just nonsense.

These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women, not to mention little girls, should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Lori Weskamp
Hello,

My name is Christy Ledgerwood, and I live at 7220 Briarhurst Dr, Lincoln, NE 68506. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Christy Ledgerwood
Hello, my name is Mary Sand and I live in Lincoln at 7810 South St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Today, the Lincoln City council has three PUBLIC HEARING, MONDAY FEB. 7TH AT 3PM at 555 South 10th street.

Emails can be sent to: councilpacket@lincoln.ne.gov

Hello, my name is Rita Widhelm, and I live in Lincoln at 1934 Devonshire Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Rita Widhelm

Sent from my iPhone
Sent from my iPhone
HELLO My name is Lydia Eskildsen and I live in Lincoln, NE at 2740 D street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Lydia Eskildse
Hello,

My name is Alison Morrow and I live in Lincoln at 7401 N Hampton Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request,

Alison Morrow
Hello, my name is Kate Walker and I live in Lincoln at 7105 Lincolnshire Road. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Kate Walker

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
Hello, my name is Mary Kay Gaura, and I live in Lincoln at 9000 Fremont St Apt 280. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

God Bless,
Mary Kay Gaura

Sent from my iPhone
Dear City Council Representatives,

Hello, my name is Nate Bird and I live in Lincoln at 6210 S 53rd Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. We must protect the girls and daughters of citizens in this city!

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Nate

---

Thanks

Nate
Hello, my name is Craig Pytleski and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

--

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Michelle Wright, and I live in Lincoln at 7831 Sycamore Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Michelle Wright

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Sir or Madam:

My name is Peter A. Kwasniewski, Ph.D., and I live in Lincoln at 1611 Smith Street.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Dr. Kwasniewski
531-500-2807
Hello,

My name is Mary Raun, and I live in Lincoln at 3025 S. 44th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Mary Raun

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Aimee Nebel, and I live in Lincoln at 1131 Eldon Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Dear City Council Representatives,

Hello, my name is Dana Bird and I live in Lincoln at 6210 S 53rd Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. We must protect the girls and daughters of citizens in this city!

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Hello,

My name is Hannah Schinkel and I live in Lincoln at 430 Sycamore Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

-Hannah
Hello, my name is Greg Hanel. I live in Lincoln at 7200 Old Post Road, Unit 5. I have been a resident of Lincoln NE for more than 30 years.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect, regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. However, where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. **Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.**

Please NOTE that disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women **should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.**

I respectfully urge you **NOT to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.**

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Regards,

Greg
Hello, my name is Christa Mittan, and I live in Lincoln at 8109 Mackenzie Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Christa L. Mittan
Hello, my name is Andrea Vuko Arbie, and I live in Lincoln at 1831 Oakdale Avenue (06).

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

As a mother of two young daughters this simply will not do and open the door for my children to be exposed to situations that would be harmful to them emotionally, mentally, physically and spiritually.

Disagreement is not discrimination.

These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Andrea (Vuko) Arbie

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Nancy Mikesell, and I live in Lincoln at 6838 Walker Ave. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. This seems to always be about men who say they’re women. Why do we not hear about women who say they’re men and want to use the men’s room and lockers? Makes me question the men’s motives.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Kevin Hubbell, and I live in Lincoln at 1601 Old Farm Road. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Sherri Suelter, and I live in Lincoln at 3600 W Van Dorn St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sherri Suelter
Hello, my name is Jim Tibbels, and I live in Lincoln at 4151 Normal Blvd #8. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, our names are John and Lindsay Boever, and we live in Lincoln at 6032 Cross Creek Rd. We are contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.
We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.
We respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering our request.

Sincerely,

John and Lindsay Boever

Sent from my Sprint Phone.
To Whom It May Concern,

My name is Nicholas Lemme. I live in Lincoln at 2133 South 22nd St with my wife and three young children. I am contacting you regarding the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

While we can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity, it has been demonstrated that these policies, where enacted, have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement on this issue is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Cordially,

Nicholas Lemme
Hello, my name is Renee Hein and I live in Lincoln at 536 NW 6th. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Alycia Grinstead and I live in Lincoln at 3410 s 81st. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, our names are Anthony and Jaimie Ann Montag, and we live outside of Lincoln at 1463 182nd Rd, Seward, NE 68434. We are contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. We respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering our request.
Hello, my name is Lynita Hood, and I live in Lincoln at 5200 Cameron Court. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

- We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
- Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
- Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
- Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
- Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
- First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello,

My name is Jacob Bauer and I live in Lincoln at 2141 Ryons St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Ad Jesum per Mariam,
Jacob Bauer
Dear Lincoln City Council,

Hello, my name is Ronald Lawson, and I live in Lincoln at 2511 Jane Lane, Lincoln, NE 68512.

I am contacting you to ask that you OPPOSE the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. However, where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should NOT have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. I am urging all my friends and associates to oppose this as well.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely, Ronald Lawson
Hello, my name is Jean Miranda. I’m a school psychologist with 3 children. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. As a psychologist, I know that many persons will abuse this ordinance and take advantage of the innocent, causing serious psychological harm that lasts a lifetime.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

I am worried for my children’s safety and the safety of all children should this proposal pass. Please be diligent in always protecting your families and mine.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms and visa versa. Remember, good people won’t abuse this ordinance should it pass, but others definitely will. If this proposal does pass, it will give easier access to pedophiles to defile and abuse the vulnerable.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of the innocent should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. Please use your power wisely.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone
Good Morning!

We have communicated in the past, but this time I am contacting you regarding a different issue. I am asking that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

I think we can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect, regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. But where these same policies have been enacted already, they have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce conformity of thought (for a recent example, lookup the fiasco at the Loudon County public school). Specifically, my concern is that men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designed specifically for biological women (such as restrooms, locker rooms, etc.).

Disagreement is not discrimination. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

As a woman and as the parent of a very young daughter, this is a deeply concerning issue to me. Too long have we sat and watched women’s rights and protections be constantly undermined and cast aside. While we plan to teach our daughter to protect herself and to be able to knock the snot out of anyone who thinks differently, it would be nice to know that our city leadership is also concerned about the rights and protection of our women and children.

I respectfully request that you not redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your consideration.

Katie Paul-Dey
My name is Nathan Clark, and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

- We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
- Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
- Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
- Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedom and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
- Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
- First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello,

my name is Caitlin Jay and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Blessings,

Caitlin Jay
Hello, my name is Anne Hubbell, and I live in Lincoln at 1601 Old Farm Road. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Sincerely,
Anne Hubbell
Hello, my name is Stephen Sanderson, and I live in Lincoln at 7417 Plum Creek Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

- We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
- Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
- Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
- Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
- First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

--

Stephen Sanderson
Hello, my name is Teresa Predmore, and I live in Lincoln at 7916 Dougan Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

• We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

• Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

• Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

• Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

• Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

• First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Molly McWilliams and I live in Lincoln at 811 N 59th St. Lincoln, NE 68505. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

God’s Peace, Molly McWilliams

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Lincoln City Council,

My name is Kristin Fornander, and I live in Lincoln, NE, at 6633 Shamrock Rd. I am writing to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. I believe that all people, regardless of their choices and beliefs, should be treated with respect. I also believe that men who self-identify as female should not have access to private spaces meant specifically for biological women—that is an infringement of privacy, and potentially safety, of women and girls using those areas.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your service and consideration,
Kristin Fornander
Hello, my name is Frances Potter and I live in Lincoln at 1540 N Cotner # 215, zip code 68505. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from Mail for Windows
Hello, my name is Jolene Grable, and I live in Lincoln at 545 Eastborough Lane. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

With regard,

Jolene Grable
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
Hello, my name is John A. Roby, and I live in Lincoln at 5924 Thompson Creek Blvd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

John Roby
Hello,

My name is Sheri Baumgartner, and I live in Lincoln at 1800 Southwest 37th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

• We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
• Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
• Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
• Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
• First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Respectfully,

Mrs. Sheri Baumgartner

"Pray, hope, and don't worry. God is merciful and will hear your prayer." ~ St. Pio
Hello, my name is Krystine Kercher and I live in Lincoln at 8200 S. 57th St (in District 2)--and I vote. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

- We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
- Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
- Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women and girls, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
  - This makes everyone less safe, especially our children--who deserve more than anyone else to be protected from harm.
- Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
- Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
- First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Krystine
Hello, my name is Susan Sneddeker. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Amanda Ripley. 6131 Chatsworth In. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Amanda Ripley
Hello, my name is Teresa, and I live in Lincoln at the 84th and Hwy 2 area. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Teresa F
Hello, my name is Corenia Bierbaum, and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Remember what happened in Loudon county, Virginia. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those who disagree. The straight fact is that sex is defined by genetics; XX or XY chromosomes. While I appreciate that people have differing opinions it is not to be pushed onto other people. Changing this would require all businesses to abide by the views of a minority of people. This is not the job of government to set standards for morals on the people. This is a view not just of Christian’s as many like to blame. This is also violating the rights of immigrants in our city who come from cultures that adhere to a more traditional sexual definition. Finally, I will remind you that any law that violates either our federal or state constitution is void and unenforceable. No matter who passes it.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Corenia Bierbaum
Hello, my name is Laurie M. Lewis, and I live in Lincoln at 5100 Saltillo Road, Roca but my children and grandchildren live in the city limits and attend LPS. Our whole family enjoys spending time together frequenting local Lincoln businesses on the daily. My grandchildren attend Lincoln Public Schools.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

1. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
2. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
3. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
4. Disagreement is NOT discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
5. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
6. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Respectfully,

Laurie M. Lewis
My name is Patricia Eaton and I live at 9741 S 79th Street, Lincoln, NE 68516. I am contacting you to ask you that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These polices take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by polices that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully ask you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for considering my request.

Patricia Eaton
Hello, my name is Janis A Meyers and I live in Roca at 15900 S 54th Street. I have grandchildren enrolled in LPS, I go to church and shop in Lincoln as well as go to the gym. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Diane Kavanaugh & I live in rural Lancaster Co. 
I am writing to ask you to oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation & gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. 
We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity & respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. My concern is promoting one over the other, as in, where is the protection for our girls. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms & locker rooms. We need to keep our children safe. "A skirt- wearing male student who identifies as 'gender fluid' has been found guilty of raping a 15 yr old female in a high school in Loudoun Co Virginia". You might want to look that up because this young man raped another female in another school! I am very opposed to this ordinance! 
Thank you
Hello, my name is Brandi and I live in Lincoln at 6920 Starr St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone
Hello, my name is Faith Rochelle Wolfe, and I live in Lincoln at 6920 Starr Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Pat Reichenbach, and I live in Lincoln at 440 Hazelwood Dr.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Stacey Wheeler, and I work in Lincoln at Southeast Community College, I shop all over Lincoln, and I have children who live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

I think we can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. An easy solution is a single/individual unisex bathroom made available for those who need it.

I work with a population of female students who have been sexually violated and traumatized and need a safe place to learn and better themselves. A man showing up in a women’s bathroom or locker room does not provide the safety and security that these women need and deserve after all they have gone through. All women, even those not sexually abused, deserve to feel safe in a bathroom or shower without concern of being watched or raped by a potential predator who has free access with no questions asked. Title 11 would blow the door wide open for any man to take advantage of this situation. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

The needs of the few with gender identity or sexual orientation issues does not outweigh the needs of the many who do not. Let’s stop redefining biological gender which is genetically determined. Instead, let’s provide private/individual unisex bathrooms for those who need them. This is a much better solution that does not take away the freedoms and safety of others.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Dustin Sutter, and I live in Lincoln at 6731 Southfork Circle. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Dustin Sutter

Sent from my iPhone
I am Eileen Douglass and I live in Lincoln at 8330 S 65. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

1. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
2. Where enacted these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety.
3. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women such as restrooms and locker rooms.
4. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Mindy Bednar, and I live in Lancaster county at 8701 Roca Road, Roca, NE. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. To remain solution oriented, I propose that people who self-identify as different from their biological gender would have access to designated restrooms and changing areas designated. For example, the family restrooms in stores serve this purpose as do private bathrooms in other buildings.

- We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
- Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
- Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
- First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.--

INSTEAD OF
pursuing and chasing after happiness,

cultivate and nourish

joy.

- Anonymous
Hello, my name is John Ringsmuth, and I live in Lincoln at 6401 Fremont St.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

John A. Ringsmuth
john@ringsmuths.com

The Christian religion gives us morality, and the Bible gives us salvation.

Socialism is where all good countries go to die.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello,

My name is Brent Gillett, and I live in Lincoln at 2460 W Thomas Ct.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

While we can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity, these policies, where enacted have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. The push to redefine gender and gender identity has victimized (biological) women and girls who have lost their privacy and been placed in unsafe situations in the rush to normalize new gender identities.

These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a cudgel against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Brent Gillett
Hello, my name is Joanne Relihan and I live in Lincoln at 7647 S 38th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Nikki J Riley, and I live in Lincoln at 6030 S. 72nd St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

While I believe and agree with you that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity, we must not ignore common sense and safety. Where enacted, the policies you are considering have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Besides the safety of girls and women in restrooms etc. you should also be concerned about claims of restroom rape as a safety issue for men and boys also! Again COMMON SENSE!

Disagreement is not discrimination!

Furthermore, it offends me that I am forced to think that unisex is okay when I don’t. It’s okay to think differently. But this issue involves physical, mental, spiritual safety issues.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Nikki Riley
Hello, my name is Thomas L. Artz, and I live in Lincoln at 3233 Rawhide Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Anita Artz and I live in Lincoln at 3233 Rawhide Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Lindsay Mundil, and I live in Lincoln at 7737 Glynoaks Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

I write this with tears in my eyes. My heart is so heavy. It is devastating to me how the basic rights of women and girls will be so blatantly violated by adopting this ordinance. Women's locker rooms, bathrooms, and changing rooms exist to give women places of privacy and safety while tending to their physical needs. The thought that there would be no legal recourse for a biological male to enter these spaces is terrifying. What will stop a man who wishes to enter these spaces for reasons relating to their own sexual gratification from doing so? How will the city ensure that sexual predators will not take advantage of this ordinance?

As a mother of 3 young girls, I am begging someone to listen, and to speak up against this. Women are being silenced and bullied into compliance. Let me say it again. As a woman, I feel silenced and bullied into accepting ideas and policies that I know will result in harm to women and decrease their safety in public places intended for their privacy. Perpetrators of sexual crimes do not care the intent or reason for adopting such policies, but they will not hesitate to take advantage of them. How does the city plan to prevent this from happening? How many women and girls are going to have to be exploited or harmed before someone listens and stands up to this? Please hear me! Please listen! This is unsafe and unfair for women, who already suffer from sexual violence and violation disproportionately as compared to men. Women are already unfairly bearing the burden of sexual misconduct. When will the city stop and take a stand to help protect them, rather than enacting policies that will increase the likelihood of a woman experiencing sexual exploitation or violence? Of course no one wants to see transgendered individuals mistreated, but allowing unrestricted access to intimate women's spaces to anyone who claims to identify as a woman is NOT the answer.

Most Respectfully and Sincerely
Lindsay Mundil
Hello, my name is Roberta C. Masloskie and I live in Lincoln at 2230 W. Plum St. Lincoln, NE. 68522. A matter had come to my attention that crucially needs to be addressed.

I am contacting you to request you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.  

Sincerely, Roberta C Masloskie,  Lincoln Nebraska.
Hello, my name is Julie Reed, and I live in Lincoln at 1600 Kingston Road. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Bonnie Lacy, and I live in Osceola, Nebraska, but shop in Lincoln regularly. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. I would be horrified if my granddaughter would be confronted by a male in a woman’s restroom!

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
www.bonnielacy.com
Hello, my name is Lawrence Gouin, and I live in Lincoln at 4425 Judson Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Rebecca Sallinger and I live in Lincoln at 1537 Hilltop Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

_I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request._

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

_I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request._

Becky
Hello, my name is Michelle Marquis, and I live in Lancaster County at 225 S Front St. Hallam, NE. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Thank you,
Michelle Marquis
Hello, my name is Riley Kilzer, and I live in Lincoln at 2421 North 79th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Pamela Kilzer, and I live in Lincoln at 2515 N 82nd Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

--

Pamela Kilzer

402.570.9475
pamelakilzer.com
Hello, my name is Cassie Kilzer, and I live in Lincoln at 5325 N st. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is William Bahr, and I live in Lincoln at 7930 Forbes Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

William Bahr
wbahr61@aol.com
Hello, my name is Elizabeth Erlandson. I live in Lincoln at 8017 Lowell Ave. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Dakoda Kilzer, and I live in Lincoln at 2515 N 82nd Court. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Elaine LeSage, and I live in Lincoln at 1929 S 24th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Anthony Elton, and I live in Lincoln at 5431 Stephanie Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Denise Brown, and I live in Lincoln at 4800 S 76th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Furthermore, this is not a decision the few of you should make. This should be placed on the ballot for a vote. And lastly, there are many reasons college grads are leaving Lincoln; I don’t think passing this ordinance will have a significant impact on the talent leaving, or staying, in Nebraska. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Jeanette. I live in rural Valparaiso, NE. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Kathryn Jenkins. I am contacting you to ask that you PLEASE oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Get Outlook for Android
Hello, my name is Catherine Matzke I live in Lincoln at 6810 Hawkins Bend. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Katherine van noy and I live in Lincoln at 1840 Timber Ridge Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Katherine Van Noy
Hello, my name is Maxine White and I live in Lincoln at 3001 So. 51st St. Ct. #417, 68507.
I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.
Hello, my name is Janis A Meyers and I live in Lincoln at 15900 S 54th. I shop in Lincoln and have relatives in Lincoln who would use these public facilities. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Jeff Trausch, and I live in Lincoln at 7552 Nashway Road Lincoln Nebraska. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Sydney Reed, and I live in Lincoln at Shamrock Road. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Carol Coler, and I live in Lincoln at 9422 S. 28th, Lincoln, Ne.. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
I would appreciate a response to my request and I will be watching on how you proceed.
Carol
Hello, my name is Gary Coler, and I live in Lincoln at 9422 S. 28th, Lincoln, Ne.. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request. I would appreciate a response to this request and I will be watching on how you proceed.

Gary
Hello, my name is Kathleen Both and I live in Lincoln at 7401 San Mateo Lane. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Greg Megrue, and I live in Lincoln at 5508 Barrington Park Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Kathy Megrue, and I live in Lincoln at 5508 Barrington Park Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Brian Williams, and I live in Lincoln at 4550 Calvert Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Julie Craw, and I live in Lincoln at 3116 S 81 St Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Respectfully,
Julie Craw
Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Anton Pekny and I live in Lincoln at 7521 s. 29 street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [La Donna Van Engen NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [8800 Oakmont Dr]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Crystal Boysen, and I live in Lincoln at 5930 Elkcrest Dr. (68516). I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Crystal Boysen
Hello, my name is Carol J Robison, and I live in Lincoln at 3105 S 58th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Judy Rung, and I live in Lincoln at 5620 NW Fairway Dr.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Judy Rung
Good Evening,

My name is Christina Sarber-Shoff and I live here in Lincoln at 3621 San Mateo Lane. I am asking that you oppose the proposed ordinance Title 11.

Every person should be treated with dignity and respect and not suffer unjust discrimination. I believe that we can all agree to that.

However, the gender identity laws undermine both fairness and freedom for both women and girls. We teach our kids to share, to be kind, and to have bodily privacy around others. We teach them about stranger danger and that life is about give and take. We all want to feel safe and accepted; to be seen and heard and to know that our ideas and values matter.

Unfortunately, this proposed ordinance is not based on give and take, nor is it about safety for all, but more on taking what is not given and it’s bent on someone’s belief that day of what gender a person identifies as. We have seen some of the problems that have come with businesses that have allow transgenders to be given free reign over bathrooms and dressing rooms. We have seen women and girls’ privacy stolen without their knowledge nor their consent as they undress and as they were being secretly photographed and video recorded. We have seen men expose themselves to little girls stealing their innocence, all in the name of protecting the non-biological women. Why would we want to open up the possibility of a criminal taking advantage of this policy to commit a crime and to preying on our young girls?

In Oregon, a male with prior conviction of sexual abuse of children was charged with unlawful contact with a child in a women’s restroom.

In 2016, Target’s CEO Brian Cornell told his staff that he regretted his company’s policy welcoming men to use women’s restrooms and fitting rooms and that “Target didn’t adequately asses the risk”.

In Oregon, a male with prior conviction of sexual abuse of children was charged with unlawful contact with a child in a women’s restroom.
I don’t see how this will truly boost our economy. For that to happen we are looking at consumer spending and low unemployment (which we have the lowest unemployment in the country). When people come to Lincoln to buy a home (many which have families), they are looking at schools and safe areas to live. They are looking for parks, bike baths, great restaurants and things to do, all of which Lincoln already provides.

This shouldn’t be a matter for the city council to decide for everyone in our county but of the voters. But if we are denied the option of voting, I am urging you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Christina Sarber-Shoff
Hello, my name is Kay Meiler, and I live in Lincoln at 2215 Woodscrest Ave. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is PEGGY ANDERSON and I live in OMAHA. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Paul Welch, and I live in Lincoln at 5442 Betty Lou Blvd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. However, where enacted, these policies seem to have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Vicki keck

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hi,

I understand that the Lincoln City Council is considering changing the meaning of sex in Lincoln municipal code. Please don’t do this. I ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Men who self-identify as female should not have access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women. Women, and especially girls, deserve to feel safe in all of these private spaces—including restrooms and locker rooms. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by government policies.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Carol Hansen
5851 Saltillo Rd
Lincoln, NE. 68516

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Janice Ammerman, and I live in Lincoln at 206 N 33rd St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Crystal Wolfe, and I live in Lincoln at 6631 SW 8th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is [Esther Oborny], and I live in Lincoln at [4210 N. 71st st.]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Ronald P. Merrill and I live in Lincoln at 7911 Anna Place. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request. Sincerely, Ronald Merrill

Sent from my iPad
Hello, my name is Brittany Perrine, and I live in Lincoln at 9802 S 80th St. Lincoln NE 68516 I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Marcilee Hergenrader and I live in Lincoln at 4232 Thorn Court. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is James Loeffel, and I live in Lincoln at 5030 S. 77th St.. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Ed Nix, and I live in Lincoln at 5600 Dogwood Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

God Bless you all,
Hello, my name is Kenneth Troester, and I live in Lincoln at 7902 S 96th Bay, Lincoln, NE. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Don Woodburn and I live in Lincoln at 5842 Opus Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Terri Petersen, and I live in Lincoln at 9176 Chalk Hill Court. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Terri Petersen

Sent from my iPad
Hello, my name is Dorothy Liess and I live in Kearney NE. I have several grandchildren attending school in Lincoln and I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is John M Bailey, and I live in Lincoln at 1532 Irving Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Bradley Reinke, and I live in Lincoln at 1200 N 100th St Lincoln 68527. I ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

My family can agree that male and female of every skin color should be treated with dignity and respect!

The above mentioned policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought where enacted.

My daughters must have private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker.

These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who adhere to biblical principle.

**Gods Word:** “So God abandoned them to do whatever shameful things their hearts desired. As a result, they did vile and degrading things with each other’s bodies. They traded the truth about God for a lie. So they worshiped and served the things God created instead of the Creator himself, who is worthy of eternal praise! Amen. That is why God abandoned them to their shameful desires. Even the women turned against the natural way to have sex and instead indulged in sex with each other. And the men, instead of having normal sexual relations with women, burned with lust for each other. Men did shameful things with other men, and as a result of this sin, they suffered within themselves the penalty they deserved.”

Romans 1:24-27 NLT
https://www.bible.com/116/rom.1.24-27.nlt

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised!

I respectfully urge you to cease from redefining sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Sincerely
Brad Reinke
Whole Families, Inc.
1200 N 100th Street
Lincoln Nebraska 68527

719-783-2525 cell office
Website: www.wholefamiliesinc.com
Email: wholefamilies@gmail.com
Hello, my name is Jami Heiss, and I live in Lincoln at 6501 Eureka Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Have a blessed day,
Jami Heiss
Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Rich Justus, and I live in Lincoln at 1100 SW 21 ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
My name is Kristen Welch and I live in Lincoln at 5442 Betty Lou Blvd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. This is a concerning safety issue, especially for woman and children. As a woman and a mother this is highly disturbing. These policies take away First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to stop Title 11. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Kristen Welch
Hello, my name is Dixie Justus and I live in Lincoln at 1100 SW 21st ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Jason Bingham, and I work in Lincoln at Lincoln Christian School. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Have a blessed day,

Jason

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Amber Daniell, and I live in Lincoln at 9030 Blacksmith Road. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Amber Watson, and I live in Lincoln at [5800 S 97th]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is James W Riggs, and I live in Lincoln at 6721 A St, 68510. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPad
Hello, my name is Abraham Klafter and I live in Lincoln at 442 S 28th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Lori Meyer and I live in Lincoln at 1815 Devoe Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Lori

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Tessa Harris, and I live in Lincoln at 740 Skyway Rd, Lincoln, NE 68505. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Tessa
Hello, my name is [Cyrilla Maxine Murdock], and I live in Lincoln at [7062 LaSalle St]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Nancy Gibson, and I live in Lincoln at 4441 Ash Hollow Court. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Thank you,
Nancy Gibson
Hello!

My name is Katie Anetsberger, and I live in Lincoln at 2717 Kipling circle.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code as they are very different things.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Katie Anetsberger

Sent from my iPhone
Hello,

My name is Julene Schoen and I live at 911 Keystone Dr, Lincoln, NE 68516. I am contacting you to ask that you OPPOSE the proposed ordinance defining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. This is not only disturbing but impacts the safety of every woman who lives or visits Lincoln. In addition, it is disrespectful to the many who choose to uphold Christian and midwestern values.

First amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those whom it disagrees. Women's safety should come first!!! I urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Julene K Schoen

Julene K Schoen
Vice President
Portfolio Manager
p. 402.434.1258 | 402.430.5989 julene.schoen@usbank.com

U.S. Bank
Lincoln Tower
233 S 13th St, Lincoln, NE 68508 | OM-NE-LT9 | www.usbank.com

U.S. BANCORP made the following annotations
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Hello, my name is Victoria Riis, and I live in Lincoln at 1236 S 14th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Lori Luebbe, and I live in the NW part of Lincoln in Fallbrook.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Thank you. Lori Luebbe, District 4 registered voter.
Hello, my name is Gina Kilzer and I live in Lincoln at 2421 North 79th Street! I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Terry Nelson and I live in Lincoln at 2344 S 11th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Ann Borchers, and I live in Lincoln at 7656 Phares Dr. As the mother of one Lincoln female and grandmother to three young girls, I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination.

These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

Therefore, I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Ann Borchers
aborch811@yahoo.com

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is [Jane Drahota], and I live in Madison Nebraska. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.  

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.  

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.  

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Cynthia Muilenburg and I live in Aurora, Nebraska. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. And yes I live outside of Lincoln, but I have a child attending UNL and we as a family visit Lincoln often.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is James Kilzer, and I live in Lincoln at 2421 N 79th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Joelle Knott, and I live in Minden NE. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Joelle Knott
Insurance Agent CISR

Phone: 308-832-2140
Mobile: 402-984-1788
Email:jknott@jones-ins.com
Hello, my name is Lynette Koehne, and I live in Lincoln at 2937 N 53 Apt 1. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Greta Bloyd, and I live in Lincoln at 9602 S 71st St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. That does not mean that men who self-identify as female should have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. The majority of women will feel at the very least incredibly uncomfortable, and at worst unsafe with biological men in these private spaces. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Greta Bloyd
Good Morning,

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request. Have a Great Day!

Gary Knaub
5508 M St.
Lincoln, NE 68510

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Cyndi Splear and I live in Lincoln at 4924 Martin St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
Hello, my name is Dwane Rauscher and I live in Lincoln at 2411 S. 58th. I am contacting you to ask that you OPPOSE the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Our First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you NOT to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
My name is Nancy Loeffel, and I live in Lincoln at 5030 S. 77th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women/girls, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Dear Lincoln City Council,

Hello, my name is Chris Conkel, and I live in Lincoln at 2210 Vavrina Lane Lincoln NE. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

• First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.
• Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
• Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Chris Conkel
Hello, my name is Lisa Pitts and I live in Nebraska, always have. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I do seriously urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

I can't even believe the disgusting perverted crap. The school scandals. Don't ignore the protests. God IS the judge. Not you. You can't make all the mistakes you want. A day will come and we will all answer for ourselves. Be wise. Not woke.

If it smells rotten, it's probably rotten. Don't chance it.

Need less scandal. More modesty and tradition. Please.

Or be disgusting. Whatever.

Lisa. Thanks though. (If you're a good boy/girl) see. Already problems..
Hello, my name is Courtney Criswell and I live in Lincoln at 5925 S 72nd St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Doyle Petersen, and I live in Lincoln at 9176 Chalk Hill Court. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

- We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
- Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
- Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
- Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
- First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Doyle Petersen
Hello, my name is Twila Gillett, and I live in Lincoln at 8200 So. 57th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Twila Gillett
Hello, my name is Catherine Mann, and I live in Lincoln at 6101 S 25th St. I am contacting you to ask that you OPPOSE the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the municipal code. We all agree everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless, but disagreement is not discrimination! Privacy and safety of women should not be compromised and the government should not coerce the hundreds of thousands who disagree with this proposal. Please vote NO on redefining sex in Title 11.
Hello, my name is Laura Rauscher, and I live in Lincoln at 2411 So 58th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination.

These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Respectfully,
Laura Rauscher.
Hello, my name is Connie Helmink, and I live in Lincoln at 7534 Greycliff Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPad
Hello City Council Members,

My name is Danielle Klafter, and I live in Lincoln at 442 S. 28th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

While I fully agree with treating everyone with dignity and respect no matter their sexual orientation or gender identity, enacting this policy will greatly decrease the safety of public restrooms, locker rooms, etc, especially for women and children. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. I am a woman and a mother of small children and I do not want to have to constantly guard against possible predators every time I or my children use a public restroom. Nor would I send my girls to school if boys were allowed to be in their bathroom or locker room space.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Danielle Klafter
a local citizen and mother
Hello, my name is Anton Inderbitzen, and I live in Lincoln at 6568 S. 59 St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is James Goni, and I live in Lincoln at 9990 S. 56th St. Lincoln, NE. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

-James Goni
Hello, my name is Samue M. Mwania, and I live in Lincoln at 3026 S. 42nd Street, Lincoln, Ne. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Gary Roberts, and I live in Lincoln at 8139 Beechwood Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

_Genesis 1:27_
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Hello, my name is Gary Dorn and I live in Lincoln at 7340 Shirl Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Common sense and history tells us that passing this ordinance would open the door to even more sexual assaults by allowing biological males into female restrooms, locker rooms etc. I’m sure you do not want that on your conscience. Thank You.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Elda Mae Pohlmann and I live in Lincoln at 840 Glenridge Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Barbara Calcara, and I live in Lincoln at 1933 Preamble Lane, Lincoln, NE 68521. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Barbara Calcara
402-730-4652
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>Mary <a href="mailto:marylpalmer@yahoo.com">marylpalmer@yahoo.com</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sent:</td>
<td>Monday, February 7, 2022 8:04 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
<td>Council Packet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>Oppose Gender Identity Ordinance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hello, my name is Mary Palmer, and I live in Lincoln at 9101 Thornwood Ct. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPad
Hello, my name is Mary Palmer, and I live in Lincoln at 9101 Thornwood Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPad
Hello, my name is Cindy Skiles and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Cindy Skiles
Hello, my name is Jan Stidd, and I live in Lincoln at 1931 Last Road. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Lynn Brechbill, and I live in Lincoln at 7341 Twin Oaks Road. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Kathy Deaver, and I live in Lancaster County. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Kathy Deaver
Hello, my name is Jeanie Madsen, and I live in Lincoln at 3520 Kilkee Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from Mail for Windows
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Karen Kropp, and I do not live in Lincoln but consider it Nebraska’s home base. I am a season volleyball ticket holder and am in town often, using restrooms. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Karen Kropp
Hello, my name is Daniel Schiermann, and I live in Lincoln at 2710 Sewell Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. I treat people of all walks of life with respect, regardless of how our beliefs may differ. However, men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. That is why I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Brent Nelson, and I live in Lincoln at 4521 W Hub Hall Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Lisa Clark and I live southeast Lincoln.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from Mail for Windows
Hello, my name is Samantha Barnhouse and I live in Lincoln at 6910 Adams Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Chris Williams, I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Emily Villa, and I live in Lincoln on Hillside Circle. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

The privacy and safety of women and girls should not be compromised by a desire to accommodate gender fluid individuals. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Emily

Before I formed you in the womb, I knew you. - God
Dear Lincoln City Council,

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Yes, everyone should have free access to public restrooms and be treated fairly. This does not mean, however, that men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Shelli Cook, Lincoln

Sent from my iPhone
I am contacting you to ask that you please oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

I have a daughter. I can only imagine if my young daughter came home one day and told me when she was undressing in a locker room, that a biological male (who now identifies as a female) stood there and watched her. I would be horrified.

If she reports him/“her” is she now considered the offender and he the victim? Is she now guilty of a hate crime because she was uncomfortable having a biological male be in a locker room or bathroom with her? These are all valid questions we must ask ourselves.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for considering my request.
Michael & Lesley Hoover

7310 Briarhurst Dr
Lincoln, NE 68506
Hello, my name is Sara Whisenhunt, and I live in Lincoln at 1429 Janssen Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sara Whisenhunt
Hello, my name is Jaime Schmidt and I have lived in Lincoln for 24 years. Oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. Gender identity policies have been used against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. Safety of women should not be compromised I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Jaime Schmidt
Hello, my name is Clarence Gillett,

I live in Lincoln at 8200 South 57th Street, Lincoln 68516.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Clarence Gillett
Hello, my name is Cindy West, and I live in Lincoln at 8620 Rutherford Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Cindy West
cwest613@gmail.com
402-430-6396
Hello, my name is Nadine Nebelsick, and I live in Lincoln at 6125 The Knolls.
I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination.
These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.
First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.
I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Sincerely,

Nadine Nebelsick
Hello, my name is Pennie Harral, and I live in Lincoln at [5445 Braemar Rd]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is [Doug Harral], and I live in Lincoln at [5445 Braemar Rd]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Dacia Gillett and I live in Lincoln at 2460 W Thomas Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [Roberta Klever], and I live in Lincoln at [3328 S. 46th Street]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Glenda Cunning, and I live in Lincoln at 622 Birchwood Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Glenda Cunning

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Sandra Riggs and I live in Lincoln at 6721 A St 68510 S. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Thomas J Nebelsick, and I live in Lincoln at 6125 The Knolls. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Please avoid engaging in social engineering and stick to the business of keeping Lincoln in proper running order. Our streets are still in bad shape for starters!!!!

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Tom Nebelsick
Hello, my name is Jessica Yoder, and I live in Lincoln at 6163 NW 12th st. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Jessica Yoder
Hello, my name is Shane Lowrey and I live in Lincoln at 917 south 33rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Shane Lowrey.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Cassandra Anderson
Hello, my name is Karen Lynne Knaup, and I live in Lincoln at 1730 S 25th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Mary Miller and I live in Lincoln at 8100 Jones Ave. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

- We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.
- Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
- First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Mary
My name is Karen Walter, and I live in Lincoln at 641 Sunny Slope Road. I ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Each person should be treated with kindness and respect, even if we differ in our beliefs or actions. This includes sexual orientation and gender identity. However, a person's actions should not place another individual in a situation which may lead to physical or psychological harm.

We need to protect the privacy and safety of both biological females and biological males by allowing them to use the restrooms and locker rooms assigned to their birth gender.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you.
Hello, my name is Stanley Heider, and I live in Lincoln at 5840 Oakridge Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Mark Canfield and live in Lincoln NE 68516. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Mark Canfield

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Kevin Hessheimer and I live in Lincoln at 4009 Turner Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
Hello, my name is Julie Bottger and I live in Lincoln at 3700 West Rose Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity; however I believe these policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms many times lead to intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Julie Bottger
3700 West Rose St.
Lincoln, NE 68522
308-760-6360
jbbottger@gmail.com
Hello, my name is Sandra Hilsabeck and I live in Lincoln at 9223 Pioneer Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

However, where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for listening to this citizen.

Sandra Hilsabeck
Hello, my name is Kayli Starr, and I live in Lancaster County at 14530 N 3rd St. Raymond. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Natalie Bubak, and I live in Lincoln at 8030 S 17th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Natalie Bubak
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from Mail for Windows
Hello, my name is Pamela Wrightsman, and I live in Lincoln at 3311 Calvert Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Pamela Wrightsman
Hello, my name is Becky Mooss, and I live in Lincoln at 5815 S. 77th. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Thank you,
Becky Mooss
Hello, my name is [Doyle Hulme], and I live in Lincoln at [6505 Saltgrass RdADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Aaron Beauclair, and I live in Lincoln at 3311 Woods Ave. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Donald Olson, and I live in Lincoln at 5131 Starling Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Common sense says EVERYONE should be treated with dignity and respect! NEVER are we to tell the CREATOR GOD that He screwed up!

Sexual orientation and gender identity are non-issues. If you have a penis, you are MALE. If you have a vagina, you are FEMALE. God made you that way and HE does NOT make mistakes!!

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Respectfully Submitted,

Donald Olson
Hello, my name is Joyce Porter, and I live in Lincoln at 7541 Old Post Road, Unit 16. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Stephanie Johnson and I live in Lincoln at 9625 S. 67th St.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Stephanie Johnson

--
May His Favor Be Upon You!
Stephanie
https://www.risestrongergroup.com
Hello, my name is Greg Hood, and I live in Lincoln at 5200 Cameron Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Wayne Wingate, and I live in Lincoln at 5818 Brittany place. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
Hello, my name is Linoma Wingate, and I live in Lincoln at 5818 Brittany place. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Leslie Sims and I live in Lincoln at 6517 S 59th PL. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
My name is Elizabeth Davids, and I live in Lincoln at 4000 Ballard Circle.
I am contacting you to demand that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.
Where enacted across our country, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Loudon County, anyone?!!!
Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Predators are usually “people with penises”, no matter what gender they claim to be and they shouldn’t be allowed to rape our girls and women.
These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.
I respectfully DEMAND that you not redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Lincoln doesn’t have the funds for the lawsuits that would plague our city, should this ordinance be enacted.
Expecting to hear your resignation of this ordinance,
Elizabeth Davids
Hello, my name is Emily Pfeiffer, and I live in Lincoln at 930 South 38th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Sincerely,
Emily Pfeiffer
Hon. City Council Members,

This is Lynn Brennan, 7525 W. Rio Road in Lincoln.
I urge you to VOTE NO on the proposed ordinance to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.
THIS IS NOT the wish of the majority of Lincoln residents, and we implore you to adhere to the wish of your constituents and vote no on this ordinance that is desired by the minority, not the majority, of the Lincoln population. Thank you.

Lynn Brennan
7525 W. Rio Rd.
Lincoln, NE 68505
Hello, my name is Lynette Sorrentino and I live in Lincoln at 6806 Mimosa Lane.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Lynette Sorrentino

Sent from my iPhone
'Hello, my name is Brian Pfeiffer, and I live in Lincoln at 930 S 38 street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request. '

Brian Pfeiffer
Hello, my name is Mary K. Gabel, and I live in Lincoln at 1426 Dakota Street. I am contacting you to ask that you OPPOSE the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. While I am definitely for treating all human beings with kindness and dignity, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. It is fine if a separate restroom or changing room facility is available for only men who self identify as female. However, all others who use these facilities have rights, too. Children should not be exposed to naked make bodies, just as one example. It is wrong and they are so young they do not have a voice here.

So...speaking for those who don't have a voice, we must vote against title 11. Disagreement is not discrimination. We can live and value people without agreeing. Just remember, while their may be found honesty and integrity in ALL people, they don't ALL practice it. Unfortunately, this is true for the many men who identify as female...just like everyone else. A few who are using this to prey on children and expose themselves, may be a big factor in ruining things for those who wouldn't even think of it. Title 11 is a no go for this reason and I will never vote for anyone willing to throw the innocent under the bus just to prove some political point.

Please do what is right. Oppose title 11.
Hello, my name is Nancy L. Pekny and I live in Lincoln at 7521 S 29th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Blessings!
Nancy Pekny
Hello, my name is Maxine Halsey, and I live in Lincoln at 5824 Brittany Place. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from Mail for Windows
Hello, my name is Mike Kenney, and I live in Lincoln at 5941 Garfield Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. I am not a “political” person and, may have written the Council once before in the 40 years I’ve lived as an adult in Lincoln, so it takes a lot to motivate me along these lines. I hold a BA in Psychology and graduate work in counseling. I’ve worked at the Nebraska Psychiatric Institute and sat for 6 years on the Institutional Review Board for UNMC representing vulnerable adults as subjects for bio-medical research. The majority of my professional career (38 years) was spent in Correctional Administration. During that time I’ve had exposure to and conversations with scores of sexual predators and the therapists who provide them treatment. The overwhelming data shows that most of them will not recover from their predatory behavior - including those who prey upon children. It appears passage of this revised language would allow any pedophile to simply “identify” as a member of the opposite sex and enter public restrooms unsupervised with youthful members of the opposite sex.

While there will be considerable political pressure to pass this new wording, please consider the unintended but predictable consequences of such. The likely occurrence of what I describe will expose the City of Lincoln to civil litigation initiated by the victims. It would seem both legally risky and morally indefensible. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [Joe Buda], and I live in Lincoln at 2224 Hanover ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Joe Buda

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Bev Westerberg and I live in Lincoln at 5915 Bartholomew Circle. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Sudie Bock and I live in Lincoln at 3415 South 28th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sudie Bock
I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Dale E. McIntosh, CMSgt (ret)
Your Freedom Wasn't Free
Hello, my name is George Steven Bock, and I live in Lincoln at 3415 S. 28th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from Mail for Windows
Hello, I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Emilie Schardt and I live in Lincoln at 1827 N 28th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Mark Howsden, and I live in Lincoln at 7120 Humann Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Ashley Huffman. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Ashley Huffman
Hello, my name is Kim Ochsner, and I live in Lincoln at 318 S 90th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination.

These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request to protect my privacy and freedoms.

Respectfully,

Kim Ochsner
Hello, my name is Sara Rajewski, and I live in Lincoln at 3636 F St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination.

These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sara Rajewski

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
Hello, my name is Richard O'Connell, and I live in Lincoln at 2315 Stone Creek Loop S. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

R. O’Connell
Hello, my name is Katrina and I live in Lincoln at 5315 L St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Katrina Longe
Dear Lincoln City Council Members,

My name is Martha Meyers, and I live just outside Lincoln. My address is 400 HatTison Circle, Bennet, NE. This email is to request that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

I don’t believe there is any question that everyone has the right to be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. It is one of the founding principles of our nation. However, in locations where this has been enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Not only has this garnered national attention due to serious and adverse actions, it is just simply common sense. I would like to think that being in the heartland of America, a part of “Nebraska, the Good Life”, we rely on common sense to play a part in our decisions.

We do disagree on many, many thoughts and ideas. That does not give me license to discriminate against you or to be discriminated against. Factually, these policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against true discrimination, gender identity policies are used as a weapon against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I work, shop, attend church, and have grandchildren who attend schools in Lincoln. I plead with you to not redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Additionally, I ask you to hear the pleas and concerns of other parents, grandparents, and concerned people of Lincoln and the surrounding communities. I sincerely hope that you read these emails and take the content very seriously.

Sincerely,
Hello, my name is Jill Smith, and I live in Lincoln at 7621 S. 41st Street 68516. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Jill Smith
Hello, my name is Paul Liess and I live in Lincoln at 2127 Stone Creek Loop N. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Susan Jagoda, and I live in Lincoln at 2432 South 18th St. Please, no men in women’s restrooms. We have already heard how Lia Thomas the swimmer is really upsetting the regular females by her locker room behavior, and those swimmers are adult, athletic and assertive, but a 10-yr-old girl would be easy prey if she were in the restroom without her mom. I no longer buy anything from Target because they allow this.

I do notice that transgender women don’t want to go into men’s restrooms (I guess they don’t perform so well at the urinals . . .). Please use common sense. sj
Hello, my name is Diane Terpsma, and I live in Lincoln at 7614 Phares Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Rachel Akridge, and I live in Lincoln at 6957 Naples Court. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Jim Fosnaugh, and I live in Lincoln at 11200 Pine Lake Rd. As a physician, gender dysphasia is a major disorder and dangerous leading to suicide and depression and sometimes permanent dismembering of healthy bodies. This should not be aggravated by legal distortions but treated with compassion. Calling something legal does not make it healthy. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that all should be treated with respect but where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [Dale Sutter], and I live in Lincoln at [3001 S 51st St Ct unit 325, 68506]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name Karen St Pierre I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [De Troxel], and I live in Lincoln at [2128 Stone Creek Loop S. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Dear Members of the Lincoln City Council,

You are receiving this message from Blanca Ramirez-Salazar and Eduardo Salazar-Mora, and we live in Lincoln at 2556 SW Soukup Cir, Lincoln, NE 68522. We are reaching out to city council members to respectfully request that sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code not be redefined to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

We believe that every person should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity; however, we do not agree with redefining sex in the Lincoln Municipal Code because it will put women’s safety at risk and force people to accept things they are not in agreement with due to it being in the ordinance.

So, how will our safety be put at risk?

Men who self-identify as female will have full access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. We do not believe women are safe using a restroom where men (including those who self-identify as female) are able to access. This is especially unsafe for children, teens and young adults.

1. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. For example, in high school during a zoom class, my teenager was discouraged from expressing his belief that “there is male and female and no other sex.” The teacher had posed a question and asked opinions/feedback of her students and my son responded according to his beliefs. Because students were offended, the teacher and administration called him into the office to discourage him from making those kinds of statements because “it hurts some students feelings.” This is what’s going on in the schools. Students are being discouraged for standing up for their beliefs/values while others can freely express them and not be called to an office. This is wrong.

2. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.
Thank you for your time and for considering our request.

Blanca Ramirez-Salazar
ramirezblanca@msn.com

Eduardo Salazar-Mora
eduardo.salazarmora1@gmail.com
Hello, my name is [Donald Glover], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Julie Ralston, and I live in Lincoln at 3030 S 46th st.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. If men who self-identify as female have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms, my safety and privacy along with that of my daughters would be compromised. Any man could then enter the restroom claiming to be a woman as a cover for mal intent, causing avoidable harm in private to women and young girls. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Respectfully,

Julie Ralston
Hello, my name is [Cheryl Friberg], and I live in Lincoln at [2020 Riviera Dr]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Friberg
Hello, my name is Joann Tumbleson, and I live in Lincoln at 5542 Moor Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Joann Tumbleson
Hello, my name is Shirley Schuman, and I visit Lincoln frequently. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Randy Jurgens and I live in Lincoln at 8040 Katrina Ln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Julia Kercher, and I live in Lincoln at 3400 S. 81st St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Julia Kercher

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Carol Watson. I live in Custer County at 79993 Dr 411. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Dede Gould and I live in Omaha at 4624 N 80th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Lori Renaud, and I live in Lincoln at 3635 N 49 St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Aletha Sands, and I live in Lincoln at 5311Bison Dr & I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Aletha Sands
Good Day,

Although not a Lincoln resident, as a Minden Nebraska resident I would beg you to please exercise what has been accepted practice since before Nebraska became a state and oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. What happens in Lincoln affects the whole state.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety. They are also used coerce anyone with a different viewpoint to conform to uniformity of thought and take away rights of conscience. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination.

These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Delores Farlin

Sent from Mail for Windows
Hello, my name is Terry Zoucha, and I live in Lincoln at 1201 Lincoln Mall #404. I am contacting you to ask that you please oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. I believe that every person should be treated with dignity and respect, based on the fact that every person is created in the image of God, regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity! Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate personal bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have free access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms! I contend that disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away our constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke extreme intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power! Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a striking sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should never, never be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce/force those with whom it disagrees. I kindly and respectfully urge you to please not redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. I thank you for your time and thinking for considering my request.

Sent from Mail for Windows
Hello, my name is Laurie McKenzie and I live in Lincoln at 7220 Huntington Ave. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. **Disagreement is not discrimination.** These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

I recently agreed to a phone survey. After a few questions it became obvious they were concerned about my views on this proposal. What was interesting is that they kept coming back to the “Fairness” issue, thinking I would change my mind because of the wording. Yes, it sounds so “fair”, but that’s not really what the issue is. It’s goal is to normalize transgenderism, etc. All people should be treated with respect and this cannot be accomplished with an ordinance such as this.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Laurie McKenzie
Hello, my name is Elizabeth Christenson and I live in Lincoln at 3233 Folkways Blvd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Gary D. Schultz and I live in Lexington, Nebr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Kay Moore, and I live in Lincoln at 5720 S 77th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Kay Moore
Hello, my name is Lisa McInerney, and I live in Lincoln at 410 Blue Sage Blvd 68521. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

As a mother of four daughters who should not have to worry about their bodily safety if a biologically male person enters an area reserved for only biologically female persons, I thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Lisa McInerney

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is [Ed Alderman], and I live in Lincoln at [6325 Cascade Drive]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. I would ask you to follow the science. All the drugs or surgery will not change cellular identity. I would hope your decision would not be based on politics or feelings, but science. Thank you.
Hello, my name is Amber Detweiler and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Jessica Young, and I live in Lincoln at 7941 Seth Ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Adrienne Egan and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Adrienne Egan
Hello, my name is Debra Kalama, and I live in Lincoln at 8359 S 85 street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Frances Cupples, and I live in Fullerton, NE, but I travel to Lincoln often and shop there. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

There have been very harmful consequences for girls and women when men who self-identify as females are allowed in women’s restrooms and locker rooms. Please note this. It cannot be denied. You have a great responsibility to serve and protect the people of your community and those who visit your city. Women and girls need you to make correct decisions concerning redefining sex in Title 11. Please have the moral courage to make right and good decisions even when it is hard to do so. That is the responsibility of good leaders.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Fran Cupples, Box 395, Fullerton NE 68638

Sent from Mail for Windows
Hello, my name is Kelsi Oldenkamp, and I live in Lincoln at 5201 N 11th. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Kelsi Oldenkamp
Dear Members of the Lincoln City Council,

I am writing to you regarding a recent proposal considering an ordinance redefining “sex” to include “sexual orientation and gender identity” in the city of Lincoln’s municipal code. I understand that there are individuals whose gender identity does not align with their biological sex, and these individuals deserve respect and compassion. However, to ignore or deny the important biological realities of sex is a proposition fraught with challenges.

Although sex and gender are used interchangeably in common conversation, government documents, and in the scientific literature, the American Psychological Association defines sex as “physical and biological traits that distinguish between males and females” whereas gender “implies the psychological, behavioral, social, and cultural aspects of being male or female (i.e., masculinity or femininity)” (https://dictionary.apa.org, accessed February 5, 2022). The concept that sex is an important biological factor determined at conception is a well-established scientific fact that is supported by statements from a number of respected organizations including, but not limited to, the Endocrine Society (Bhargava et al., 2021 at 220), the American Physiological Society (Shaw et al, 2014 at C3), the Institute of Medicine, and the National Institutes of Health (Miller 2014 at H781). Collectively, these and other organizations have stated that every cell has a sex and every system in the body is influenced by sex. Indeed “sex often influences gender, but gender cannot influence sex” (Bhargava 2021 at 228).

To further explain “The classical biological definition of the 2 sexes is that females have ovaries and make larger female gametes (eggs), whereas males have testes and make smaller male gametes (sperm) ... the definition can be extended to the ovaries and testes, and in this way the categories—female and male—can be applied also to individuals who have gonads but do not make gametes ... sex is dichotomous because of the different roles of each sex in reproduction” (Bhargava 2021 at 220). Furthermore, “sex determination begins with the inheritance of XX or XY chromosomes” (Bhargava 2021 at 221). And “Phenotypic sex differences develop in XX and XY embryos as soon as transcription begins. The categories of X and Y genes that are unequally represented or expressed in male and female mammalian zygotes ... cause phenotypic sex differences” (Bhargava 2021at 222). As stated by Sax (2002, at 177) “More than 99.98% of humans are male or female.”

Although disorders of sexual development (DSDs) are sometimes confused with discussions of transgender individuals, the two are different phenomena. DSD are disorders of physical development. Many DSD’s are “associated with genetic mutations that are now well known to endocrinologists and geneticists” (Bhargava 2021 at 225). By contrast, a sense of transgender identity is usually not associated with any physical disorder, and “a clear biological causative underpinning of gender identity remains to be demonstrated” (Bhargava 2021 at 226).
All humans who do not suffer from some genetic or developmental disorder are unambiguously male or female. It is now well recognized that dimorphic sex is so fundamental to human development that, as stated in a recent position paper issued by the Endocrine Society, it “must be considered in the design and analysis of human and animal research. . . . Sex is dichotomous, with sex determination in the fertilized zygote stemming from unequal expression of sex chromosomal genes. (Bhargava et al., 2021 at 219). Shaw (2014) explains that sex is so fundamentally important that even cell based research should clearly indicate the sex of the cell lines.

Further demonstrating the biological importance of sex, Gershoni and Pietrokovski (2017) detail the results of an evaluation of “18,670 out of 19,644 informative protein-coding genes in men versus women” and reported that “there are over 6500 protein-coding genes with significant S[ex]D[ifferential] E[xpression] in at least one tissue. Most of these genes have SDE in just one tissue, but about 650 have SDE in two or more tissues, 31 have SDE in more than five tissues, and 22 have SDE in nine or more tissues” (Gershoni 2017 at 2). Some examples of tissues identified by these authors that have SDE genes include breast mammary tissue, skeletal muscle, skin, thyroid gland, pituitary gland, subcutaneous adipose, lung, and heart left ventricle. Based on these observations the authors state “As expected, Y-linked genes that are normally carried only by men show SDE in many tissues” (Gershoni 2017 at 3). In a review of 56 articles on the topic of sex-based differences in skeletal muscle, Haizlip et al., (2015) state that “More than 3,000 genes have been identified as being differentially expressed between male and female skeletal muscle” (Haizlip 2015 at 30). As stated by Heydari et al. (2022, at 1) “Y chromosome harbors male-specific genes, which either solely or in cooperation with their X-counterpart, and independent or in conjunction with sex hormones have a considerable impact on basic physiology and disease mechanisms in most or all tissues development.”

I hope that this small sampling of biologically based scholarly publications demonstrate the importance sex as something that should not be ignored. Beyond the biological importance of sex, there are numerous legal issues involved with redefining sex to include gender identity. For example, a transwoman in a women’s locker room who exposes their male genitalia may be guilty of indecent exposure, sexual harassment, and various Title IX infractions as pointed out in a recent letter from Women’s Declaration USA to various authorities at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia District Attorney, Philadelphia Police Commissioner, and the Philadelphia Sheriff (https://womensdeclarationusa.com/letter-regarding-upenn-womens-swim-team/, accessed February 5, 2022). As has been well stated recently by Colin Wright (2022) “We simply can’t ignore fundamental realities of our biology and expect positive outcomes for society.”

As a Professor of Exercise Physiology with considerable academic training in human and mammalian physiology, as well as conducting research on human health and sports performance, I hope that you will reject the proposal redefining “sex” to include “sexual orientation and gender identity” in the city of Lincoln’s municipal code. Ignoring what we know about the very important biological differences between males and females is not science based and is not evidence-based policy-making.

Sincerely,

Greg

Gregory A. Brown PhD, FACSM

Professor, KSS Dept.

Director of General Studies

University of Nebraska Kearney
Sources Cited


Hello, my name is Bethany Korsmo, and I live in Lincoln at 7301 Stevens Ridge Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Bethany Korsmo

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Maranda Hoefs and I live in Lincoln at 5111 Topaz Court. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. You can see these examples across our country. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Through Him alone,
Maranda
Hello, my name is Charlene Luethje, and I live in Lincoln at 2420 Washington St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Kay Moore, and I live in Lincoln at 5720 S 77th Street. I am contacting you to ask that you **oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity** in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I **respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.** Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Kay Moore
Hello, my name is Dawn Trail and I live in Lincoln at 13305 N 112th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Dawn Trail

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
Hello, my name is Sandi Thurmond and I live in Lincoln at 4600 S. 85th Circle and I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Katie Bugbee and I live in Lincoln at 125 west Lombard dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Katie Bugbee
Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Ronica Kettelhake, and I live in Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Karen R Carlsen, and I live in Nebraska. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

I would also like to propose a solution to the gender neutral topic and the use of restrooms, any and all public/private spaces especially in our public schools. We all have to carry around our vacation cards now, if this is to come to pass, a person who’s biological gender is opposite of what they identify should have to have a gender identity designation, from a licensed psychologist and the designation be placed on their driver’s license. Then we lock all public restrooms and in order to use them everyone will have to swipe their license to enter the appropriate restroom. This solution also helps businesses keep out a demographic of people who don’t confirm to laws regarding state and federal identification!

Laws are put into place to protect our rights, to help us feel safe, and protect the sanctity of those rights. Please consider how this law could effect your children, your aging parents, yourselves. We all know if a predator wants something they will break all kinds of laws to get it. But know that changing something like this and openly allowing opposite gender “identifying” individuals free access to designated private/public spaces you are making it that much easier for any predator to have easier access to your children, yourself and your aging parents.

Respectfully,
Karen R Carlsen
Hello, my name is [Tyler shada], and I live in Orleans Nebraska at [113 south Orleans Avenue]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request. We cannot have this in our great state of Nebraska! I pray that God will guide your thoughts in all of your decisions.
Hello, my name is Joan Texel and I live in Lincoln at 7640 So 38th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Jeanne Johnson and I live in Lincoln at 6132 Fleetwood Dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity.

Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination.

These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

~ Jeanne Johnson
Hello, my name is Steve Jensen, and I live in Lincoln at 2137 South 58th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Keith Torgersen, and I live in Lincoln at 1827 F St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Nancy Wanamaker, and I live in Omaha at 636 S 216th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Carolyn. I am contacting you to urgently request that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Such access puts all biological women and children at great risk!

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and careful consideration of this serious request.

Best regards,
Carolyn Johnson-Erb
Hello, my name is Chris Oerman, and I live in Lincoln at 5660 NW 11th Circle. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Chris Oerman
Hello, my name is Robyn Hays and I don’t live in Lincoln but in Elkhorn Nebraska and this is very disturbing!
I am contacting you to ask that you OPPOSE the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Allen Korte, and I live in Lincoln at 5921 S.91st street, I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello,

Our names are Frank and Cristi Bossemeyer, and we live in Lincoln at 2120 N 91st. We are contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. We respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering our request.

Frank and Cristi Bossemeyer
Hello, my name is Debra Miller, and I live in Lincoln at 1605 NW 126th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Debra Miller

Sent from my iPad
Hello, my name is Donna Mulder and I live in Lincoln at 5714 shadow pines ct. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello:

I am adamantly opposed the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity. STOP THIS SICKENING MADNESS!!

It is absolutely absurd and morally reprehensible to allow someone unrestricted access to the opposite gender’s private facilities (bathrooms, showers, dressing rooms) simply because they choose to identify as the other sex!

Ideas like this are irrational, immoral and unconstitutional. They deprive constitutionally guaranteed freedoms of privacy.

God created us distinctly male and female; stop trying to create an ungodly world.

That you would even consider such absurd ideas is shameful and disgusting.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Regards,
Gregory Firth

4100 Pinewood Lane
Lincoln, NE
69516
Hello, my name is Jerrad Elkins, and I live in Lincoln at 5555 Wilderness View. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Jane Droud, and I live in Lincoln at 2301 Atlas Circle Lincoln NE 68521. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Linda Korte, and I live in Lincoln at 5921 S. 91st Street, 68526. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Jeanie Madsen, and I live in Lincoln at 3520 Kilkee Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Terri Pomajzl and I live in Firth at 23900 S 38th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Terri Pomajzl
Firth Nebraska

Are you in Christ?
Hello, my name is Solomon Mwania, and I live in Lincoln at 7620 Stevens Ridge Rd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Kimberly Dolberg, RN
Facility Administrator
Scottsbluff Dialysis
(308) 220-3572

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THIS MESSAGE IS CONFIDENTIAL, INTENDED FOR THE NAMED RECIPIENT(S) AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS (I) PROPRIETARY TO THE SENDER, AND/OR, (II) PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, AND/OR OTHERWISE EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE STATE AND FEDERAL LAW, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PRIVACY STANDARDS IMPOSED PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL HEALTH INSURANCE PORTABILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY ACT OF 1996 ("HIPAA"). IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE (I) NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY REPLY E-MAIL OR BY TELEPHONE AT (855.472.9822), (II) REMOVE IT FROM YOUR SYSTEM, AND (III) DESTROY THE ORIGINAL TRANSMISSION AND ITS ATTACHMENTS WITHOUT READING OR SAVING THEM. THANK YOU.

-DaVita Inc-
Hello, my name is Annette and I live in Lincoln at 9200 Berg dr. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>Vincent Grove <a href="mailto:blackfang53@gmail.com">blackfang53@gmail.com</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sent:</td>
<td>Saturday, February 5, 2022 7:40 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
<td>Council Packet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>Oppose Gender Identity Ordinance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hello, my name is Vincent Grove and I live in Lincoln at 2433 Jameson N. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Brittany Sanchez, and I live in Lincoln at 8241 E. Avon Ln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

- Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.
- Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.
- First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Brittany Sanchez
Hello

My name is Chananne Slepicka, and I live in Lincoln at 6818 S. 51st Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Chananne Slepicka

Sent from Mail for Windows
Hello, my name Teresa Roeloffs and I live in Lincoln at 3172 Sheridan Blvd.

I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. This is just not a good idea for the health and safety of our society and can lead to more dangers and harm.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Jace Bonsall, and I live in Lincoln at 2901 Calvert St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Jace Bonsall
Sent from my iPhone
Hello, my name is Luella Myers, and I live in Lincoln at 2912 N 60th St. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. We as women should not have to live in fear of attack when using a public restroom. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Judith Lewis and I live in Lincoln at 3821 South 42nd]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Kyle Schmit, and I live in Lincoln at 4521 Touzalin Ave. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Rev. Gary Dunker and I live in Lincoln at 5511 Van Dorn. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Jeshan Kanaganayagam, and I live in Lincoln at 8777 S. 33rd Street, Apt. 1-302. As a husband to a wonderful wife and a soon-to-be father of a beautiful daughter, I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Scott A. Fisher, and I live in Lincoln at 5555 Canterbury Lane. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sincerely
Scott A. Fisher

Sent from my iPad
Hello, my name is Ann Naderhoff, and I live in Lincoln at 711 W. Calvert Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don't conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Ann Naderhoff
Hello, my name is Bethany Stamps and I live in Lincoln at 2217 Wildwood Place. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender.

First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Respectfully,
Bethany Stamps

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get Outlook for Android
Hello, my name is Gayla Bahm, and I live in Lincoln at [6819 Aylesworth Ave.]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms.
Dear City Council,

My name is Julie Whitman and I live in Lincoln at 839 Manes Court. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

I believe that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. However, that can be done without redefining what it means to be male or female! In nature, animals and plants are also created male and female without the ability to change. Policies can't change that!

Gender policies can wrongly be used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought.

Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power.

Love and respect for ALL people should be encouraged, regardless of sexual orientation or belief. Opposing views do not have to be on opposite sides.

I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Thank you,

Julie Whitman
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Council, I am Dr. Gary Fuller, of 3421 Timber Ridge Circle, Lincoln. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Dr. Gary Fuller
Hello, my name is [FULL NAME], and I live in Lincoln at [ADDRESS]. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

Jon Walz
Hello, my name is Anna Cosby, and I live in Lincoln at 6511 White Fish Drive. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Kristin NELSON and I lived in Lincoln for more than 25 years (recently moved out of city boundaries, but continue to work & shop in Lincoln). I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.

With Gratitude,  
Kristin Nelson
Hello, my name is Susan Fertig, and I live in Lincoln at 800 Blue Sage Blvd. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
To Lincoln City Council Members

From: Del Whitman, Lincoln resident: 839 Manes Court, 68505
Re: Title 11, proposed gender identity ordinance

Hoping you will listen to constituents, please oppose the ordinance proposing the redefinition of sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code.

One of my best colleagues and friends is gay. I am currently mentoring a young teacher who is gay and who is one of the finest young teachers I have known in 30 years. I have a student in my class who is transgendered and is an absolutely delightful, talented, beautiful human being. I love all people of all races, orientations, genders.

All people should be loved and treated with respect, regardless of any identity. That belief has been true for centuries and should hold true forever. Let's not change Municipal Code to follow the trends of the day. We don't need a redefinition in order to show love and respect -- those are elements of the heart, not the law.

Changing the Code could have adverse side effects, not intended in the root of respect sought. In current US culture, we are seeing the equality of women’s sports and the safety of women’s privacy being eroded, from so-called equity legislation. Please choose the far-sighted wisdom to avoid jumping on the identity bandwagon.

Love and respect are choices of the heart, not coercions of the law.

I respectfully urge you to not ‘play God’ and to not redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to include sexual orientation and gender identity.

Thank you for reading and respecting my values along with yours and others.

Thank you for serving our terrific city of Lincoln.

Del Whitman
Husband, Father, Lincoln Public Schools teacher
839 Manes Court
Lincoln, NE. 68505
dwhit121@gmail.com
Hello, my name is Mike Davis, and I live in Lincoln at 7401 St 41st. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request.
Hello, my name is Nicholas Brandt, and I live in Lincoln at 2801 Q Street. I am contacting you to ask that you oppose the proposed ordinance redefining sex to include sexual orientation and gender identity in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. We can all agree that everyone should be treated with dignity and respect regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Where enacted, these policies have been used to violate bodily privacy and safety and coerce uniformity of thought. Men who self-identify as female should not have unfettered access to private spaces designated specifically for biological women, such as restrooms and locker rooms. Disagreement is not discrimination. These policies take away constitutionally guaranteed freedoms and provoke intolerance toward people who have beliefs different from those in political power. Rather than being used as a shield against actual discrimination, these gender identity policies have been used as a sword against people who don’t conform to one particular view on sex and gender. First Amendment freedoms and the privacy and safety of women should not be compromised by policies that empower the government to unfairly coerce those with whom it disagrees. I respectfully urge you not to redefine sex in Title 11 of the Lincoln Municipal Code. Thank you for your time and for considering my request. I also want to add I have a daughter and will not tolerate a male that feels they should’ve been a female to use the same restroom as her pre operation sex change! I nor none of my friends will allow it and if that means us taking the law in our hands if passed we will do so. So I strongly encourage you to not pass this if those individuals that cant accept the gender they were born with value their well being. This will open the door for rapists to molest and rape women by simply claiming to identify as a woman and WILL carry 0 tolerance and 0 acceptability!!!!!!