MINUTES

II. ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

III. CITY CLERK

IV. MAYOR’S OFFICE

V. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE
   1. BP220712 Weekly Administrative Approvals – Jennifer McDonald

VI. BOARDS/COMMITTEE/COMMISSION REPORTS

VII. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
   1. Street lights and parked cars – David Brady
   2. South 14th, Old Cheney, and Warlick Blvd – Scott A. Loos
   3. Planning Commission – App MISC22010 Public Comment – Noelle Pinneo
   4. 1923 B st – Rena Worth
   5. AMENDED: (Jallah Bolay) Freedom of Information Request – Jallah Bolay
   6. 1923 B St. ADA Opposition Letter – Raina Engelhard
   7. Comment on Request for Disability Accommodation - to change the definition of family to any number of unrelated adults living in a single household for “sober living” purposes – Jayne L. Sebby
   8. O street driving – Joe Downs
   9. Claim – Jamie Mohr
   10. Kentucky – George Wolf

VIII. ADJOURNMENT
Date: July 12, 2022
To: City Clerk
From: Alexis Longstreet, Planning Dept.
Re: Administrative Approvals
cc: Shelli Reid, Planning Dept.

This is a list of City administrative approvals by the Planning Director from July 5, 2022, through July 11, 2022:

**Administrative Approval 22045** to Pre-Existing Special Permit #28D Union College was approved on July 6, 2022, to add a new building and associated parking to the northeast corner of campus on property generally located at 52\textsuperscript{nd} & Calvert.
To whom it may concern

Street lights at Ridgeway Rd and Bryan Circle are not working.

Also, people parking in their yard at the corner of 40th and South (S.E. side). There is no street address displayed.

I would have gone to your uplnk site, but it is extremely complicated to use and this seemed to be easier.

Thanks
David Brady
1527 Ridgeway Rd
Lincoln City Council,

Please see the attached point paper concerning the preliminary re-design plans for South 14th, Old Cheney, and Warlick Blvd. A large number of people attending the public presentation by LTU were in agreement that as part of the overall proposed plan Old Cheney should be closed to through traffic from Highway 77.

I encourage all stakeholders, which include the City of Lincoln and NDOT, to have conversation prior to any final plans being made for this intersection re-design. **It is important that the City of Lincoln not shift or create new problems in this re-design**. I welcome any feedback that you have concerning impacts to surrounding neighborhoods as this process moves forward.

Respectfully,

Scott A. Loos
5735 Limestone Rd
Lincoln, NE  68512
(402) 304-9093
loos_8891@yahoo.com
BACKGROUND

The re-design of the South 14th, Old Cheney, and Warlick intersection is necessary to more safely and efficiently accommodate traffic needs today and into the future. There are many challenges to this re-design including the footprint, overall cost, and minimizing impacts to surrounding neighborhoods and businesses. Past public input has been largely ignored by the City of Lincoln. The last re-design, which was a double deck roundabout, was approved by the Lincoln City Council after hearing strong opposition from the public. The roundabout plan was later scrapped due to much larger than anticipated cost estimates.

DISCUSSION

1. The current intersection re-design plan does not address traffic flow from Highway 77 onto Old Cheney Road but rather re-directs that traffic through two roundabouts by way of a connector road. This would directly impact Christ Place Church and The Meadows neighborhood which is directly west of Christ Place.

2. Closing Old Cheney Road to through traffic from Highway 77 would alleviate this. In past conversations concerning any intersection re-design this has been presented to the City of Lincoln with strong support from the public.

3. Past conversations concerning the permanent closure of Old Cheney Road have included a cul-de-sac on each side of the BNSF railroad crossing. This would have minimal impact to the surrounding neighborhood while still allowing access to Wilderness Park.

4. Upon completion of the South Beltway the NDOT will start work towards re-designing several intersections, to include Highway 77 and Old Cheney, to comply with Federal Highway Standards. An ongoing conversation between LTU and the NDOT should be included as part of the South 14th, Old Cheney, and Warlick intersection re-design.

5. Routing traffic between Warlick Blvd and Old Cheney Rd via a three lane connector road increases public safety concerns which include car/pedestrian accidents. This directly impacts The Meadows neighborhood and Christ Place Church. Public safety in these areas has not been addressed. There are no design plans to include sidewalks, bike lanes, etc.in this area.
CONCLUSION

The current intersection re-design plan does not adequately address overall needs of all stakeholders. The plan simply reduces the inflow and outflow of traffic by closing Old Cheney Road at Salt Valley View and will re-direct at least some of that traffic through The Meadows neighborhood and Christ Place Church. The goal of this project is to improve rather than shift or create problems in adjacent areas. Public safety at both the intersection and adjacent neighborhoods needs to be the highest priority as this project moves forward.

A project as large as this should include input and sign off by the Lincoln City Council and Planning Department. Public input at each step in the process is necessary to ensure that concerns are heard and not overlooked.

RECOMMENDATION

Closing Old Cheney Road to through traffic from Highway 77 as part of the overall intersection re-design is seen as a necessary piece in this design process.
Hello Council Members,

My name is Noelle Pinneo. I'm a resident of the Near South Neighborhood and I'd like to offer my comments on the 1923 B Street Reasonable Accommodation project application.

First and most importantly, I believe that supporting those who are recovering from addiction with fair housing is the right thing to do for those individuals and for our community as a whole.

Second, I believe that the Near South Neighborhood is especially well suited to support this type of reasonable accommodation. We already have many big houses modified to accommodate multiple people. We have easy access to important resources such as public transportation, libraries, and grocery stores.

Finally, I'd like to make clear that as a resident of the Near South Neighborhood I would welcome the new neighbors that would move in as a result of this reasonable accommodation project. Our neighborhood is a great place to live and it will be enriched by welcoming and supporting new neighbors.

Thank you,
Noelle Pinneo
1419 Garfield Street
We need more treatment facilities and services to people who struggle with addiction and mental health issues. Therefore I am in support of 1923 B Street to provide such services. Thank you

Rena Worth.
1203 S 23 street
Sent from my iPhone
To Whom It May Concern:

Please see attachment for my request for information.

Thank you

Jallah

Email: jkbolay@jallahbolay.com
Website: www.jallahbolay.com
www.battlemediocrity.com
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST

CERTIFIED MAIL NUMBER: ____________________________
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Jallah K Bolay
407 Fletcher Ave Apt 8
Lincoln, Nebraska 68521

07/08/2022

Lincoln Police Department
Public Record Division
575 S 10th St,
Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

RE: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Sir/Madam:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. §552, the Privacy Act 5 U.S.C. §552(a) and Nebraska Public Records Law § 84-712 Et Seq, I am requesting, by way of this letter, an opportunity to either inspect or receive copies of the following BWC videos and written cases report pertaining to me:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Case #</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05-13-2022</td>
<td>C2-052683</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05-12-2022</td>
<td>C2-039657</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04-29-2020</td>
<td>C2-035325</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04-09-2022</td>
<td>C2-028658</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-21-2022</td>
<td>C2-023007</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-16-2022</td>
<td>C2-021566</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-14-2022</td>
<td>C2-020607</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-13-2022</td>
<td>C2-020338</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-04-2022</td>
<td>C2-017667</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-29-2022</td>
<td>C2-007603</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-23-2021</td>
<td>C1-119680</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-12-2021</td>
<td>C1-116427</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-23-2021</td>
<td>C1-089709</td>
<td>1351 N 9th Stadium Walk APTS Apt #7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please email or mail the information (or link) to the below mentioned email or physical address.

In the event that a fee shall be incurred for either searching or the copying of these documents or records, please inform me of the cost. However, if the cost exceeds $0.00, I would also like to request a waiver of all fees as the disclosure of the requested information is for my own personal use and knowledge and/or in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the awareness and/or understanding of the information contained within the aforementioned document(s) and/or files. This information is not being requested or sought after for any commercial purposes.

This information should not be subject to any exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), and access to the requested document(s) should be granted within five (5) working days.

I also request that if you determine that any part of the information requested is exempt from the FOIA, this information be identified by document. I request that you provide the statutory basis for your claim and your reasons for not exercising your discretion to release this information. FOIA also provides that if any portions of the file are exempt from release, the remainder of the file must be released. Therefore, I request that I be provided with any and all non-exempt portions that can reasonably be segregated.

In the event that you are unable to provide the information requested, please let me know so that further arrangements or appeals can be made.

Thank you for your time and cooperation in this matter.
Sincerely,

(Petitioner Signature)

Jallah K Bolay
7854625321
jkbolay@gmail.com
407 Fletcher Ave Apt 8
Lincoln, Nebraska 68521
Hello City Council,

The attached letter is testimony in Opposition to accommodations for the Oxford House at 1923 B Street. There should also be a precedent case file submitted by Carmen Maurer where a judge denied Oxford because they did not prove that benefits to residents outweighed neighbor reports or impact on neighborhood character.

Thank you so much for reading, for your consideration, and your service.

________________________
Raina Engelhard
Psychology, Community & Regional Planning, Criminology & Criminal Justice
Class of Spring 2022, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Dear esteemed City Council,

Urban Density is great for the environment, but Near South is saturated. The city is burdened when they make owner occupied blight tax credits as on Goodhue that end up being futile. Oxford backed people and property mgmt companies outbid first time homebuyers by $5k easily. “Proliferation of communal dwellings in a concentrated area may result in a fundamental alteration to certain residential zones.”

A garbage truck had to back down an alley on 19th & A as it was blocked by sober living cars. There are many aging residents with aging homes in Near South. Increases in parking violations burdens the City. LPD consulted with 1923 B only, the least established NS Oxford. One aware it was under scrutiny. That doesn’t factor parking.

Building upkeep isn't addressed with absentee for profits. 1923 B’s rock driveway rolls to the sidewalk. It’s been months despite reports. If their sidewalk is not enforced on UPLNK, how is it not a burden to the city? The 1900 A Oxford has a garage with a crack up the entire north side. Unusable, so they park on the street. Homeowner’s insurance doesn’t cover group homes or the like. Having commercial liability insurance and an LLC indicates departure from Near South’s residential zoning. Does that qualify a home as commercial, necessitating a paved driveway?

Rarely in Lincoln do disabled folks face housing obstacles just for having mental disabilities. If Oxford residents are denied elsewhere, it is based on accompanying criminal offense, not disability. In California, there are no reported cases where a rehabilitated applicant with a history of criminal acts from substance abuse or mental illness has been granted accommodation from public housing criminal restrictions. Lincoln Homelessness Commission Affordable Housing Task Force: Essential Housing Report, October 2019, says “Many Lincoln residents below 30% of the area median income are unable to receive a Section 8 housing voucher due to long waiting lists or due to ineligibility based on their history.” (Pg. 4) But why not move to a house that does accommodation requests for you as a group, helping anonymize and obscure individual cases, elevating how Oxford backed folks have more requesting resources compared to single disabled people. Oxford's need for accomodation doesn't seem to be based on disability, as that's not what bars them from Section 8.
There are variances in how long one has been off drugs to be considered disabled, one case saying 7 weeks abstinence is not enough for ADA. Oxford doesn’t drug test. “[Conviction] of manufacture or distribution of a controlled substance” makes one ADA ineligible. EEOC says people receiving treatment or who have been rehabilitated successfully are ADA protected. Oxford is not a treatment, so where did they get required treatment? U.S. v. Southern Mgmt Corp. 1992 entered a protective order producing the records of 8 tenant clients regarding drug use, convictions, and diagnosis.

Oxford requested accommodations as an entity, so can Near South? Does that mean 1923 reappplies when a group is cycled through, burdening on the City? Families don’t cycle out. Neighbors have reasonable expectations of that definition.

To challenge Oxford based on Oxford interfering with one’s disability, one would not have the benefit of their name redacted and disguised behind Oxford House, Inc., where not even a real family surname is exposed. Why does Oxford get to operate first and ask the City for permission later? That defeats equal opportunity. It defeats opportunity to see if another area is better suited.

Property owners leasing Oxfords don’t need qualifications or a license or experience. The in house model is that recoverees get better supporting each other living together, valid. But there’s no oversight. People can use Oxford's franchise to get around unrelated resident limits, benefitting because it means more per person rent money. Or tenants invite more people to cheapen their rent. Oxford relies on disbaled residents to evaluate other resident’s treatment status and compliance. There’s no drug testing. Recoverees are deprived of in house treatment like telehealth, Zoom or AA because Oxford uses a loophole, and cannot permit in house treatment. Burden is on the City to keep up with treatment happening on site, as Oxford won’t. If residents leave for treatment, they can leave for alcohol. Citizen reported UPLNK cases for various issues take a long time to be addressed, so it seems there is an existing burden.

Oxford residents use Russ’s or Casey’s and encounter Smoker’s Corner. Smoker’s Corner stands in questionable condition and operation, attracting a questionable crowd and activity. Loitering, boarded windows, no rules about parking layout or how long, and junk/abandoned cars. Reviews online for Smoker’s Corner indicate a woman tried sending her child in with a disability card to buy cigarettes. Cops were at Smokers Corner on March 28 due to an intoxicated person. Robbery at Casey’s ATM. Bass booms down A Street into Washington and B
Meth shipments are dropped at vacant apartments (this Spring). Vandalism. This area is not a “quiet residential area” for sobriety. NSNA spends time on zoning rather than other issues.

The “No Oxford” vandalism to 1923 B may have very well been by a disgruntled former resident, as there are 6 Oxford’s nearby, another issue of such concentration. It is concerning that the Oxford applicant said the vandalism “says a lot about how this has gone” in reference to interacting with Near South. Why would people that worship preservation vandalize a beautiful home? If Oxford cannot hear neighbor sentiments, and writes off genuine concern about their forgoing due process with the City as Near South accusing them of lying, they nail the coffin of approachability and community.

World-Herald analysis of national correction data shows Nebraska passed Alabama as having the nation’s most overcrowded prisons. “At the end of 2020, Nebraska’s prisons held 5,250 inmates, 48.5% more than the system was designed to hold. Alabama was second at 46% above capacity.” Some nonviolent crimes deserve independence. But is Oxford saturating Lincoln’s oldest neighborhood the foundation we want in addressing this? 14 people in a house meant as single family is still overcrowded. More people doesn’t create more accountability. When people are not staying long, it creates anonymity.

An estimate of residents from A to B St. and 19th to 20th St. shows over 36% of residents on one block are in addiction treatment. Oxford says they just respond to demand. Rising costs of unpaid Medicaid claims from the state's new care system, Heritage Health, and state budget cuts forcing reduced staff are not Near South’s burden. Not to mention emerging data showing mental health deinstitutionalization (like Oxford) may not be beneficial.

Oxford residents don’t gain much compared to costs to us. Out of six homes and friends, I'm sure some offenders go beyond drugs. I’m a college age girl. My sister’s here, cousin, our friends. Alpha Delta Pi Sorority is in Near South. My mom grew up in Near South with five sisters. They have stories of encounters because this isn’t new. The unparalleled amount of adult men and male friends that randomly pop up at the same time on a single lot (as with Oxford) makes me not want to walk dogs or deliver flyers for that area alone. That’s already considering the guys deciding to set all their stuff down and sit on the play equipment instead of the bench at Near South Park. Lighting in areas is not great. In no other family situation would this happen. People don’t have 10 offspring as they used to. Other families grow up together with traditional duties. Being deprived of housing prospects in my family’s 4th generation neighborhood that...
provides me with familiarity induces anxiety. This out of state company claiming to compete with nonhandicapped in housing may be depriving low income folks, people of color, singular disabled people, and the aging from housing, or accessibility via parking, sidewalk upkeep, or alley use.

Near South is one of the only areas in Lincoln offering varieties of dignified affordable homes, the most diverse neighborhood. Houses of 14 folks and turnaround apartments produce more trash. Rummagers are behind my house. I expect people to walk out of dumpster fencing, but not someone on a bike or with a cart that was digging as I drive the alley. Homeownership is access to wealth and equity, which is becoming hard in Lincoln. There were “We Buy Houses” signs all over Near South offering cash to outbid any regular person on a home, costing the City money to remove. Councilman John Cook admitted apartments replacing single family in Near South was a bad idea. (Journal Star) Why have affordable housing projects just to eliminate housing other places? Near South deserves tourists, not one more Oxford, then another. These cannot be evaluated individually. The tax credit is not enough, though we so appreciate it.

…


Defensible Space says “When spaces lack clear owners or are open to too many users, residents cannot assert responsibility for their safety and maintenance.” (U.S. Dept. of HUD)

“The larger the number of people who share a territory, the less each individual feels rights to it. Therefore, with only a few families sharing an area, whether it be the interior circulation areas of a building or the grounds outside, it is relatively easy for an informal understanding to be reached among the families as to what constitutes acceptable usage.” (Pg. 17, HUD)

Oxford clearly states that “residents rent the entire premises rather than a single room. All residents have access to the entire house.” “There are no individual locks on the doors of the bedrooms.” There is no privacy, the whole house is a common area. This could also indicate changes to historical doors or hardware.
“A defensible space is an area such as a neighborhood, house, park, or office that has **features that convey ownership.**” (Universal Principles of Design, Revised)

“Oscar Newman states that defensible space is a model that can inhibit crime in residential environments. His earliest writings focused on urban public housing projects, in particular, the infamous Pruitt-Igoe housing project in St. Louis. Newman was a professor of architecture and city planning at Washington University in St. Louis when he noted that many of the public spaces in the housing project were crime ridden, vandalized and dirty while more private spaces were much better maintained.” (University of Dayton)

“Excluding the interior public areas of the development there were occasional pockets that were clean, safe, and well-tended. Where only two families shared a landing, it was clean and well-maintained. If one could get oneself invited into an apartment, one found it neat and well maintained—modestly furnished perhaps, but with great pride. Why such a difference between the interior of the apartment and the public spaces outside? One could only conclude that residents maintained and controlled those areas that were clearly defined as their own.” (Pg. 11, HUD)

Even one more Oxford may be a final density misstep that does us in.

- Shafer v. Preston Memorial Hosp. Corp., 107 F.3d 274, 278 (4th Cir.1997) (finding periodic use of drugs during weeks and months prior to termination from employment as current use).
Definitions:

● When zoning acts began, 6 of Lincoln’s 11 Oxfords were in Near South (1 sq. mile):
  ○ 1923 B St. (Occupancy - 14)
  ○ 1900 A St. (Occupancy - 15)
  ○ 2223 B St. (Occupancy - 12)
  ○ 2444 B St. (Occupancy - 10)
  ○ 2328 Garfield St. (Occupancy - 7)
  ○ 2009 S. 16th St. (Occupancy - 7)
● Bel Air Home “Houses of Hope” 2328 A St.
● 2144 Washington St. houses unrelated persons.

● Definition of Family, 27.02.070F

● Group Home definition, 27.02.080G: “Group home shall mean a building or structure licensed or approved by the State or an appropriate agency, if required, used as any one of the following:
  ○ a) A facility in which more than three but less than sixteen disabled persons who are unrelated by blood, marriage, or adoption reside while receiving therapy or counseling, but not nursing care.
  ○ b) A facility engaged in the service of exercising 24-hour daily care, supervision, custody, or control over more than three but less than sixteen children, for compensation or hire in lieu of the care of supervision normally exercised by parents in their own home (Ord. 20373; August 29, 2016: prior Ord. 20372 §4; August 29, 2016: Ord. 19733 §1; June 25, 2012).”

● 1981ish Mount Emerald Historic District Protections: House must have designated living room, dining room, etc.. Building & Safety says living rooms can be slept in, does that fly under Mt. Emerald?

Thanks so much,

Raina Engelhard
Residential Property Maintenance
1923 B St Lincoln NE 68502, United States

Acknowledged

The rocks from the "driveway" are spilling onto the sidewalk. A few weeks ago I rolled my ankle when the walks were not cleared of snow.

Show More

Comments (3)

City of Lincoln, NE
2/8/22 12:27 PM
City of Lincoln, NE assigned this issue to Building & Safety

City of Lincoln - UPLNK
2/8/22 12:27 PM
Thank you for bringing this to our attention. A case has been opened (#CT220075) and assigned to one of our inspectors.

Public commenting and status changes are not available for this request.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Incident Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2159</td>
<td>1600 Block of W ARINGTON ST</td>
<td>CANCELLED BEFORE ARRIVAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>700 Block of S 19TH ST</td>
<td>TRESPASSING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2230</td>
<td>1600 Block of S 27TH ST</td>
<td>SSD CHECK WELFARE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2230</td>
<td>1100 Block of STORY RAPIDS BAY</td>
<td>CANCELLED BEFORE ARRIVAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2235</td>
<td>1600 Block of SW 15TH ST</td>
<td>NUISANCE PERSON/PLNM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2236</td>
<td>3800 Block of SAINT PAUL AVE</td>
<td>CANCELLED BEFORE ARRIVAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2236</td>
<td>1800 Block of MONTCLAIR DR</td>
<td>SUSPICIOUS VEHICLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2237</td>
<td>8000 Block of ELIZABETH DR</td>
<td>CANCELLED BEFORE ARRIVAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2347</td>
<td>4400 Block of WAUKESHA AVE</td>
<td>CANCELLED BEFORE ARRIVAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2247</td>
<td>S 17H ST / Washington St</td>
<td>ALCOHOL DUI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2249</td>
<td>1700 Block of SUMMER ST</td>
<td>DISTURBANCE FIRE/YRK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2132</td>
<td>3610 Block of HWY 9 A ST</td>
<td>TRAFFIC FLEEING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2312</td>
<td>1600 Block of W 10 ST</td>
<td>CANCELLED BEFORE ARRIVAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>700 Block of N 57TH ST</td>
<td>CANCELLED BEFORE ARRIVAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2333</td>
<td>1000 Block of PARADE ST</td>
<td>CANCELLED BEFORE ARRIVAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2334</td>
<td>800 Block of S FOLKOM ST</td>
<td>MISSING PERSON/PNL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-28-2022</td>
<td>2347</td>
<td>5100 Block of W MULVINS ST</td>
<td>CANCELLED BEFORE ARRIVAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANGELA CLOVER**
13 reviews

⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐ 7 years ago

I sent my son to this shop with my disability card & they would not take it from him. He is on the card as a user so he Can use it. The cashier would not take it. I called the cashier he was very rude to me said he could now verify that it was even me on the phone. WOW! this is so wrong! There is a reason you add someone to be able to use you cards. I am calling the State and report them.
Group Homes

Bob Leopold remembers how the neighborhood association struggled to get information about where group homes were located. Since there was no agency responsible for all group homes (they were organized according to the special needs of the residents), there was no way of knowing how many were located in the neighborhood.

He tells a story about a survey initiated by the neighbors in response to a proposed group home. The survey uncovered the fact that if the proposed group home would have been built, it would be the third one in the block. Regardless of the belief in the positive benefits of deinstitutionalization...
Reading the description of the proposed change in the number of unrelated adults living in a residential home in Lincoln was like listening to a perfectly healthy person whine that they should be allowed to take their untrained "emotional support animal" with them everywhere, just like the highly trained service dogs of people with significant health issues are allowed to go. Maybe some of the whiners really did suffer from serious emotional stress but the ones I talked to admitted they just wanted to fly their pet to Florida and back in the cabin for free. It's a scam folks!

As someone who's been through a similar request a little over a year ago (Transitional Living house on the 400 block of South 28th) the first thing you need to find out about this latest round of requests is how much money the people requesting the change stand to make from this "accommodation."

For Transitional Living "service providers", it was $90 per person per night. Several thousand dollars per week. No need for anyone to be on-site with the residents. Make some vague promise about holding classes and support groups on-site for the residents but kind of drop that after about 6 months. No oversight of the "service provider" by the state, county, or city. Just that monthly check into his or her pocket. It's a scam, folks!

And just like the Transitional Living providers, these new providers make outrageous claims and only provide minimal proof (some article in some obscure journal or the testimony of an "expert". Group living -- "best thing since sliced bread". "Massive improvement in outcomes". "Peer support provides that minute by minute support that [insert target population here] need to succeed on their path to [insert some nebulous outcome here]. It's a scam, folks!

And the sober living providers aren't even offering as much as the Transitional Living folks do in the residences. Those people trying to stay sober are going to have to provide everything -- furnishings, food, clothing, transportation (oops, not enough parking spaces -- can we get a pass on that requirement too?). Nobody there to help them work out the kinks or resolve conflicts -- that sounds like a quick path away from success. And since a huge percentage of alcoholics and drug addicts are doing it to self-medicate, there's going to be a whole bunch of raw nerves from trauma inside every resident. But hey, if someone gets to be troublesome, just kick them out and find another desperate person.

Bottom line: these sober living providers are in it for the money. They expect to make big bucks with minimal effort on their part. They do not care about the people they claim to serve. So they are going to cram as many people into a house as they can. The residents will end up with less personal space than inmates have at the state penitentiary. Less access to a toilet. Less privacy. Less safety. And a really miserable quality of life that will make staying sober very, very difficult. It's a scam, folks!

And let's not forget about the neighborhood. We all know where these providers establish these "homes." It's in the older, core neighborhoods where there aren't any covenants and the providers have more money to snap up a property and write it off as an investment than the
people who choose to buy private residences there. For all the City talks about establishing more affordable housing, that's not going to happen if investors can eliminate the housing that is actually affordable. If these neighborhoods are to survive, much less thrive, owners who live in their homes and make connections in the area need to have the City at their backs. Houses of transients, even those with the best intentions, don't benefit the block. I won't address the benefit to the well-to-do neighborhoods that comes from limiting this population to certain parts of town. Back in the day, though, it was called red-lining. It's a scam, folks.

Do the City a favor and recognize that people who are struggling to stay sober need real support. What the groups asking for "accomodation" are requesting is tax dollars for providing the equivalent of an emotional support animal. If they want to provide legitimate housing, make them do it like CenterPointe and other reputable organizations do -- establish facilities that abide by existing zoning laws.

Don't give in to the scam.

Jayne Sebby
320 South 29th Street
Lincoln, NE 68510
402-474-3059
jaynesebby@gmail.com
How many more people need to die before are Mayor and police chief relies there is a serious problem. Another roll over accident last night. I work out on West O and live in east Lincoln anymore as soon as I clear downtown I get off only because of all the aggressive driving and harassment from other drivers. Last week the same day the driver who got killed at 33rd and O area coming through downtown I was harassed by 3 guys on there motorcycles buzzing back and forth lane to lane riding my bumper and guning there engines. I watch them point out a corvette out in front of me take off and did the same thing to that guy and this is where it got worse because the corvette hit the gas and raced off and the motorcycles followed. Every day this has happens. I have emailed the mayors office and the police and never even get a response. Are we living in California now? It’s truly out of control.
To whom it may concern:
My name is Jamie Mohr and I’m responding to a letter I received saying that my claim is being recommended for denial by the city attorney’s office. On June 14th officer Gruber #1856 and officer Kraenow #1811 showed up at my residence of 1340 manatt st to do a drug court check on my significant other Matt. My blue fawn American bully who was 5 ran out the door and started barking at the officers. I was right behind her and could have got her back in the house. Per sergeant romsheck diva didn’t do anything wrong. She was just doing “dog stuff” were his exact words to me. She didn’t bite either officer. But he also said that he believed the officers didn’t do anything wrong either. And I understand they were no doubt scared and acted accordingly but the fact of the matter is as a result of them being scared they paralyzed my dog that I had to put down as a result of a bullet being lodged in her spine. And so my attorney as well as sergeant romscheck told me to file a claim to be repaid for the “property damage” since by law my dog who was like my child is considered property. And so I’m asking to be reimbursed for the dog I had to put down the vet bills (as a result of the shooting) and the cost of the new puppy. I was told by my attorney Carlos Monzon that I would only be able to recover the cost to replace the “property”. I’d be ok with just the cost of diva ($2500) and the vet bill ($725.15) and not th an $350 I paid for the new puppy I got. I will be at the city council meeting on July 18th when this matter will be heard. Thanks for your time
Jamie Mohr

Sent from my iPhone
From: Jamie Lynne Mohr  
To: Council Packet  
Subject: Vet bill and police report  
Date: Wednesday, July 13, 2022 9:44:44 AM

![Veterinary Emergency Services of Lincoln receipt]

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>For</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Net Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06-14-22</td>
<td>Diva</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Emergency Exam</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-14-22</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Radiographs: Initial Study</td>
<td>298.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-14-22</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Euthanasia St-100#</td>
<td>110.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-14-22</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>After Care</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-16-22</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Private Cremation 50-100#</td>
<td>197.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-16-22</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Body Care Handling Fee*</td>
<td>33.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thank you for choosing Veterinary Emergency Services of Lincoln for your animal’s needs. Monday through Saturday our clinic closes at 7:00am. Please make arrangements for picking up your pet by 6:30am to allow us time to discharge your pet from our clinic. You will be charged an additional $15 for every 15 minutes that you are late (after 7:00am) picking up from Veterinary Emergency Services of Lincoln. If you do not pick up your animal from Veterinary Emergency Services of Lincoln and we are unable to contact you, we reserve the right to contact Animal Control and have your pet surrendered to them for pet abandonment. You are still responsible for the charges incurred at our clinic as well as any fees due to Animal Control at this time.
```
**Case #: C2-051111**

**Report Taken:** 1950 06-14-2022

**Occurred at or between:** 1949 TUE 06-14-2022 - 1950 TUE 06-14-2022

**Location:** 001340 MANATT ST

**Location Code:** 80

**Offense(s):**

1. #1: 24166 PROPERTY DAMAGE - NON-CRIMINAL
2. #2: 44199 ANIMAL - OTHER DOG CALL

**Victim(s):**

- **Victim #1**: Type: Individual  
  Name: JAMIE LYNNE MOHR  
  W/f (37)

- **Victim #2**: Type: Individual  
  Name: MATTHEW STEVEN HUTCHINSON  
  M/m (41)

**Offender(s):**

**Item(s):**

- **Damaged**  
  Victim #1: SILVER PYTHON GATOR  
  **Summary**: PROPERTY DAMAGE/ANIMAL CALL

**Report Completed by:** #1662 ROMSHEK

End of Report
Dear Council members:

FYI
https://forwardky.com/untitled-11/

George Wolf

Sent from Mail for Windows