

Woods Park Use Survey Results

May 2, 2024

In February and March 2024, an online survey was conducted to better understand the usage of Woods Park and opinions about the features of Woods Park, including reactions to the proposed expansion of Woods Tennis Center. This survey was made available online in English, Spanish, Arabic, and Vietnamese. Paper surveys were available on-site at the Lincoln Parks & Recreation department. The survey was distributed via news release, news media, and social media; in-person at community meetings; on posters at park facilities; and through direct outreach to individuals who have interacted with Woods Park and its features. The following summary report highlights findings with descriptive results.

Survey Participants

A total of 2,909 individuals responded to the Woods Park Use survey, of which 5 responded via paper survey.¹ Two individuals completed the survey in Spanish; no individuals completed the survey in Arabic or Vietnamese. The average age of participants was 49 years (Mean = 49.06; SD = 16.83); the age of respondents ranged from 10 to 96 years old. The sample was older than Lincoln as a whole, in which the median age is 33 years. Approximately 14 percent of respondents reported being less than 30 years old (**Table 1**), while 33.9% percent of Lincoln’s population is between the ages of 10 and 29 years, according to the most recent U.S. Census data.²

Table 1. Frequency of respondents by age group

Age Group	Frequency	Percent (%)
18 and under	90	3.1
19-29	311	10.7
30-49	1104	38.1
50-64	718	24.8
65 and over	674	23.3
Total	2897	100.0

Responses were not evenly distributed across Lincoln. Location information was collected via self-reported ZIP code. Most responses were from Lincoln, with less than five percent divided among neighboring communities, other cities in Nebraska, and out-of-state.

- 96.0% of responses were from Lincoln residents (according to self-reported ZIP code; **Table 2**).

¹ 476 responses were eliminated from the analysis after being flagged as duplicate responses or bots, validated by Qualtrics software and research staff. 437 responses were eliminated because they did not complete the survey through the questions regarding the proposed tennis center expansion.

² US Census Bureau. 2022: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables. S0101

- 66.6 % of responses were from the ZIP code containing Woods Park (68510) and contiguous ZIP codes (see Figure 1a map and Table 1a in appendix), with the following distribution:
 - 29.4% of responses were received from ZIP code 68510, where Woods Park is located. Table 1a in the appendix displays the number of responses received from each Lincoln ZIP code.
 - 23.7% of responses were received from ZIP codes 68502 and 68506, the two just to the south of 68510 and a few blocks south of Woods Park.
 - 5.4% of responses were received from ZIP code 68503 with its southern boundary located directly across O Street from Woods Park.

Table 2. Frequency of respondent place of residence by self-reported ZIP code

	Frequency	Percent (%)
Lincoln	2794	96.0
Outside Lincoln	115	4.0
Total	2909	100.0

While it is not a representative sample of Lincoln residents, the survey results provide insight into how the park is used, organization of community members surrounding the proposed tennis center expansion, and the future use of Kiwanis Field.

Woods Park Use

There is a varied distribution of how often respondents visit Woods Park. A plurality of respondents indicated visiting Woods Park at least once per week (44.2%), while 16.9% said they visit once a month, 28% visit a few times a year, and 10.5% indicated not visiting at all in the past year (**Table 3**).

Respondents also indicated visiting other parks in Lincoln fairly frequently, with 29.2% reporting visiting another park in Lincoln once a week (**Table 4**). About half of respondents indicated attending events at Woods Park (51%) (**Table 5**). Most respondents drive to Woods Park (64.5%) or walk (26%) (**Table 6**).

Table 3. Woods Park visit frequency

	Frequency	Percent (%)
More than once a week	824	28.4
Once a week	459	15.8
Once a month	492	16.9
A few times in the past 12 months	825	28.4
Have not visited Woods Park in the past 12 months	306	10.5
Total	2906	100.0

Table 4. Frequency of visits to other Lincoln parks

	Frequency	Percent (%)
More than once a week	346	11.9
Once a week	503	17.3
Once a month	654	22.5
A few times in the past 12 months	1111	38.2
Have not visited other Lincoln parks in the past 12 months	292	10.0
Total	2906	100.0

Table 5. Event attendance at Woods Park (sporting events, parties, community events, other events)

	Frequency	Percent (%)
Yes	1480	51.0
No	1420	49.0
Total	2900	100.0

Table 6. Typical mode of transportation to Woods Park

	Frequency	Percent (%)
Drive/Car	1855	64.5
Walk	748	26.0
Bicycle	198	6.9
Other (please specify)	65	2.3
Bus / Ride / Rideshare	10	.3
Total	2876	100.0

Respondents see Woods Park as a benefit to the community across several measures. Large majorities of respondents agreed that Woods Park benefits the community as a shared space, a fitness space, a recreational space, a place to relax, a place to enjoy nature, and a place where people feel safe (**Table 7**). Views were more mixed, but a plurality of respondents agreed that Woods Park is a benefit to the community as an economic driver.

Table 7. Views on the benefits of Woods Park

Woods Park is a benefit to the community...					
	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree
...as a shared community space.	83.4%	11.5%	3.7%	0.9%	0.7%
...as a fitness space.	54.9%	27.9%	12.0%	3.6%	1.6%
...as a recreational space.	74.7%	18.6%	4.8%	1.1%	0.8%
...as an economic driver.	21.2%	18.8%	35.8%	12.8%	11.3%
...as a place to relax.	69.6%	19.7%	7.3%	2.4%	1.0%
...as a place to enjoy nature or the outdoors.	70.5%	17.4%	7.0%	3.6%	1.4%
...as a place people feel safe.	61.0%	27.0%	9.3%	2.0%	0.7%

Green spaces were the most frequently mentioned park feature respondents use or enjoy. Individuals selected features they typically use at Woods Park; common responses were Walking / Biking Trails (66.9%), Natural Areas / Green Spaces (65.0%), and Trees and Other Landscaping Features (60.5%) (**Table 8**). About a third of respondents indicated using the swimming pool, tennis courts, and playground equipment.

Table 8. Features of Woods Park frequently used or appreciated

Feature	N	% of Answers	% of Respondents
Walking / Biking Trails	1928	12.4%	66.9%
Natural Areas / Green Spaces	1874	12.0%	65.0%
Trees and Other Landscaping Features	1745	11.2%	60.5%
Scenic Views	1629	10.4%	56.5%
Picnic Tables / Shelters	1170	7.5%	40.6%
Playfield / Open Field	1143	7.3%	39.7%
Swimming Pool / Sprayground	1084	6.9%	37.6%
Woods Tennis Center / Outdoor Courts	1024	6.6%	35.5%
Woods Tennis Center / Indoor Courts	1020	6.5%	35.4%
Playground Equipment	929	6.0%	32.2%
Public Art	820	5.3%	28.5%
FitLot Exercise Equipment Station	438	2.8%	15.2%
Open Ballfield with Backstop	224	1.4%	7.8%
Basketball Court	224	1.4%	7.8%
Sand Volleyball Court	182	1.2%	6.3%

Kiwanis Baseball Field	166	1.1%	5.8%
------------------------	-----	------	------

Tennis Center Use

Residency of respondents who use the Woods Tennis Center court. The small sample of respondents who said they are from outside Lincoln was much more likely to report using the courts at the Woods Tennis Center (**Table 9**).

Table 9. Residence and tennis center usage

Residence by self-reported ZIP code * Woods Tennis Center Use Crosstabulation					
			Woods Tennis Center Use		Total
			Yes	No	
Residence by ZIP code	Lincoln	Count	1009	1762	2771
		% of Lincoln respondents	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
	Outside Lincoln	Count	84	54	138
		% of outside Lincoln respondents	60.9%	39.1%	100.0%
Total	Count	1093	1816	2909	
	% of total respondents	37.6%	62.4%	100.0%	

Respondents who use Woods Tennis Courts are dissatisfied by court availability. While most respondents were satisfied by Woods Tennis Center facilities overall (87%; **Table 10**), a plurality of those who did use the courts were dissatisfied by availability of courts (**Table 11**).

Table 10: Satisfaction with facilities at Woods Tennis Center

How satisfied are you with the quality of the facilities at the Woods Park Tennis Center?		
	Frequency	Percent (%)
Extremely satisfied	592	54.3%
Somewhat satisfied	360	33.0
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	78	7.1
Somewhat dissatisfied	53	4.9
Extremely dissatisfied	8	0.7
Total	1091	100.0

Table 11: Satisfaction with availability of courts at Woods Tennis Center

How would you rate your satisfaction with the availability of courts at Woods Park Tennis Center?		
	Frequency	Percent (%)
Extremely satisfied	153	14.0%
Somewhat satisfied	288	26.4
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	162	14.8
Somewhat dissatisfied	367	33.6
Extremely dissatisfied	121	11.1

Total	1091	100.0
--------------	-------------	--------------

Respondents expressing dissatisfaction with tennis court availability were asked a follow-up open-ended question to explain why they are dissatisfied. An analysis of a random sample of 20% of these open-ended responses was conducted by coding them into eight summarized categories. The most frequent reason was a general perception that courts, particularly indoor courts, are overbooked and unavailable (**Table 12**). Respondents also feel that courts are unavailable during times convenient to them, booked far out in advance. Respondents also indicated dissatisfaction with a lack of capacity for tennis lessons, as classes are often booked to capacity.

Table 12. Categorized open-ended responses explaining dissatisfaction with tennis court availability

Reason for dissatisfaction	N	% of Answers	% of Sampled Respondents
Generally too busy / not enough courts without further explanation	24	20.5%	26.7%
Indoor courts unavailable / overbooked / long wait times	24	20.5%	26.7%
Courts unavailable during times convenient to respondent / booking too far in advance	23	19.7%	25.6%
Not enough available spots / too small capacity for tennis lessons	20	17.1%	22.2%
Courts unavailable during league season / tournaments / outside of lessons	15	12.8%	16.7%
Outdoor courts unavailable / overbooked / long wait times	7	6.0%	7.8%
Not enough courts to host bigger tournaments	3	2.6%	3.3%
Pickleball	1	0.9%	1.1%

**Total respondents include a 20% random sample of respondents who commented on the selected question.*

Reactions to Proposed Woods Tennis Center Expansion & Features

Results indicate that most respondents had preexisting strong attitudes towards the proposed expansion of the Woods Tennis Center prior to taking the survey. For instance, the results on questions related to the proposal tended to be strongly polarized with relatively few responses that were neutral or only somewhat in support or opposition. Most respondents either strongly opposed or supported the proposed expansion, with majority (50.1%) strongly opposed. This polarization also indicates that there were relatively few respondents *without* preexisting attitudes regarding the proposed expansion. Further, these results likely do not reflect the greater Lincoln population’s actual attitudes toward expansion, as this is not a representative sample.

Woods Tennis Center expansion favorability is polarized. Most respondents strongly opposed expansion (50%), with 34% strongly favoring expansion (Table 13). The relatively few respondents with self-reported ZIP codes outside of Lincoln were more likely to strongly favor the expansion (Table 14). Opposition to the proposed expansion was greatest among respondents reporting they resided in ZIP code 68510, in which Woods Park is located (Table 2a in appendix). This ZIP code also had the greatest number of responses.

Table 13. Woods Tennis Center expansion favorability

Response	Frequency	Percent (%)
Strongly favor	981	34.4
Somewhat favor	154	5.4
Neither favor nor oppose	80	2.8
Somewhat oppose	207	7.3
Strongly oppose	1429	50.1
Total	2851	100.0

Table 14. Favor or oppose expansion of Woods Tennis Center, by residence

Residence by self-reported ZIP code * Would you favor or oppose the proposed expansion of the Woods Tennis Center? Crosstabulation							
Residence by self-reported ZIP code		Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	Total
Outside Lincoln	Count	77	5	5	4	43	134
	% outside Lincoln	57.5%	3.7%	3.7%	3.0%	32.1%	100.0%
Lincoln	Count	904	149	75	203	1386	2717
	% within Lincoln	33.3%	5.5%	2.8%	7.5%	51.0%	100.0%
Total	Count	981	154	80	207	1429	2851
	% of total	34.4%	5.4%	2.8%	7.3%	50.1%	100.0%

Reasons for support of or opposition to proposed expansion. All respondents were asked to explain their support for or opposition to the proposed tennis center expansion in a follow-up open-ended question. A random sample of 20% of responses to this question was coded into summarized categories for analysis (Table 15). The most frequently cited reason for opposition (43.9% of respondents) was loss of green space; loss of trees; or support for wildlife, biodiversity, or climate action. The most frequently

cited reasons for supporting expansion were tennis-related community health benefits and expanded access to tennis and courts.

Table 15. Frequency of categorized open-ended reasons for support or opposition to proposed expansion

Reasons for support or opposition	N	% of Answers	% of Sampled Respondents
Support			
Health benefits of tennis / tennis benefits to community	53	9.6%	13.1%
Current lack of court availability	49	8.9%	12.1%
Expansion would provide greater access to tennis in community	49	8.9%	12.1%
Expansion will have little to no impact on existing park facilities.	29	5.3%	7.2%
Opposition			
Loss of green space / loss of trees / support wildlife / biodiversity / climate action plan support	175	31.8%	43.2%
Need to relocate tennis to another part of city / Woods Park is a Regional / Community / Neighborhood Park / Land use compatibility with the park or neighborhood	105	19.1%	25.9%
Private operation on public property	36	6.5%	8.9%
Traffic impacts / parking issues / noise	33	6.0%	8.1%
Aesthetics of tennis buildings / courts	9	1.6%	2.2%
Tennis center not using current courts to capacity	5	0.9%	1.2%
Safety around buildings	4	0.7%	1.0%
Maintenance costs / needs / cost concerns	2	0.4%	0.5%
Stormwater issues	1	0.2%	0.2%

*Total respondents include a 20% random sample of respondents who commented on the selected question.

Varying levels of support for different directions of tennis center expansion were found, but a majority opposed expansion in all four cardinal directions (Table 16). Results by Lincoln ZIP codes can be found in the appendix (Tables 3a-6a). Support for expansion in different directions also varied by whether respondents reported using the tennis center courts; higher support for expansion to the north was found among this group than the overall sample (see Tables 7a-10a in appendix).

Table 16. Favorability of expansion by direction

Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction?					
	Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose
North (into the green space north of the current indoor tennis courts)	23.7%	7.1%	6.0%	6.1%	57.1%
South (into the green space along J Street south of the pool)	23.0%	10.1%	8.7%	8.3%	50.0%
East (into the current parking lots)	16.2%	10.5%	14.1%	12.4%	46.8%
West (into Kiwanis Field)	14.3%	8.3%	14.3%	12.7%	50.4%

Additional park features may increase support for expansion. Approximately 24% of respondents who oppose or are neutral about expansion said that additional park amenities would increase their support for expansion (**Table 17**). About 10% said façade improvements would increase their support for expansion (**Table 18**). This was less true for those with self-reported ZIP codes outside of Lincoln.

Table 17. Would additional park amenities change support? -- For those neutral or opposed to proposed expansion

How would additional amenities in other parts of Woods Park change your opinion about the proposed expansion of the tennis center?		
	Frequency	Percent (%)
Significantly increases your support for the proposed tennis center expansion	59	3.5
Somewhat increases your support for the proposed tennis center expansion	349	20.7
Would not change your opinion about the proposed tennis center expansion	1278	75.8
Total	1686	100.0

Table 18: Would façade improvements change support? – For those neutral or opposed to proposed expansion

How would making these buildings more visually appealing change your opinion about the proposed expansion of the tennis center?		
	Frequency	Percent (%)
Significantly increases your support for the proposed tennis center expansion	22	1.3
Somewhat increases your support for the proposed tennis center expansion	145	8.6
Would not change your opinion about the proposed tennis center expansion	1523	90.1
Total	1690	100.0

Possibility of repurposing Kiwanis Field space

Most respondents favored (47%) or were neutral on (32.7%) repurposing the baseball field, suggesting that there is support for the possibility of using the space differently in the future (Table 19). In Lincoln ZIP codes with larger numbers of respondents (with at least 100 responses), most respondents either somewhat or strongly support repurposing the Kiwanis Field space for other park uses (Table 11a in appendix).

Table 19. Support or oppose repurposing Kiwanis Field space

Nebraska Wesleyan University's baseball team plans to relocate from Kiwanis Field, the baseball field in the southwest corner of Woods Park, in Spring 2025. Would you favor or oppose the space currently occupied by this baseball field being repurposed for other park uses?			
		Frequency	Percent (%)
	Strongly favor	763	27.1
	Somewhat favor	570	20.2
	Neither favor nor oppose	920	32.7
	Somewhat oppose	276	9.8
	Strongly oppose	287	10.2
	Total	2816	100.0

Tennis center users were more likely to favor repurposing the Kiwanis Field space than those reporting that they do not use the tennis center's courts (Table 20).

Table 20. Support or oppose repurposing Kiwanis Field space, by tennis use

Woods Tennis Center Use * Nebraska Wesleyan University's baseball team plans to relocate from Kiwanis Field, the baseball field in the southwest corner of Woods Park, in Spring 2025. Would you favor or oppose the space currently occupied by this baseball field being repurposed for other park uses? Crosstabulation								
			Would you favor or oppose the space currently occupied by this baseball field being repurposed for other park uses?					Total
			Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	
Woods Tennis Center Use	Yes	Count	425	176	285	63	46	995
		% within Tennis Use	42.7%	17.7%	28.6%	6.3%	4.6%	100.0%
	No	Count	289	366	589	211	235	1690
		% within Tennis Use	17.1%	21.7%	34.9%	12.5%	13.9%	100.0%
Total		Count	714	542	874	274	281	2685
		% within Tennis Use	26.6%	20.2%	32.6%	10.2%	10.5%	100.0%

More green space was the most frequently suggested use for Kiwanis Field space if repurposed. Those that somewhat or strongly favored repurposing the Kiwanis Field space were asked an open-ended follow-up question about how the space could be repurposed. An analysis of a random sample of 20% of

these open-ended responses was conducted by coding them into nineteen categories (**Table 21**). Many sampled respondents said that it could be used for more green space, landscaping, native plantings, or trees (53.4%). The next most frequently mentioned alternative use for the space was tennis courts.

Table 21. Frequency of categorized open-ended responses for other suggested uses for Kiwanis Field space

Suggested use	N	% of Answers	% of Sampled Respondents*
Green space / landscaping / native plantings / trees	101	33.2%	53.4%
Tennis courts	50	16.4%	26.5%
Playground	22	7.2%	11.6%
Dog park	22	7.2%	11.6%
Keep as baseball diamond / let other baseball teams use it / open it to the public	19	6.3%	10.1%
Soccer / grass play fields	13	4.3%	6.9%
Parking	13	4.3%	6.9%
Picnic tables / benches / shelters	12	3.9%	6.3%
Community garden	10	3.3%	5.3%
Pickleball	8	2.6%	4.2%
Basketball courts	7	2.3%	3.7%
Public art	6	2.0%	3.2%
Improve / expand pool facilities	6	2.0%	3.2%
Disc golf	5	1.6%	2.6%
Bandshell / amphitheater	4	1.3%	2.1%
Splash pad	3	1.0%	1.6%
Running track	1	0.3%	0.5%
Skate park	1	0.3%	0.5%
Exercise equipment	1	0.3%	0.5%

*Total respondents include a 20% random sample of respondents who commented on the selected question.

Those who were neutral, somewhat opposed, or strongly opposed to repurposing the Kiwanis Field space were asked to explain why in a follow-up question. A random sample of 20% of these responses was coded into categories, and the results are below (**Table 22**). Most of these respondents wished to keep the space as a baseball field.

Table 22. Frequency of categorized open-ended responses for reasons for opposition to repurposing Kiwanis Field space

Reasons	N	% of Answers	% of Sampled Respondents
Keep as baseball field	55	46.6%	64.7%
Existing shortage of baseball fields	21	17.8%	24.7%
Keep green space	15	12.7%	17.6%
Not for tennis	12	10.2%	14.1%
Depends on how it would be used	9	7.6%	10.6%
Other	6	5.1%	7.1%
Total	118	100.0%	138.8%

Additional ideas for improvements and for the future of Woods Park

Finally, respondents were asked what they would like to see in the future for Woods Park. A random sample of 20% of responses for this question was coded into categories to summarize these responses (**Table 23**). The most frequent category of answer related to a desire for more green space and trees in the park. The second most frequent category was more tennis courts or capacity.

Table 23. Frequency of categorized open-ended responses to “What would you want to see in the future for Woods Park?”

Response	N	% of Answers	% of Sampled Respondents
More green space / trees	134	34.9%	43.5%
More tennis courts / capacity	65	16.9%	21.1%
More accessibility	56	14.6%	18.2%
Other park amenities (restroom improvements, dog park, etc.)	38	9.9%	12.3%
No changes	30	7.8%	9.7%
Nonresponse to question	19	4.9%	6.2%
Less tennis courts	13	3.4%	4.2%
Better park upkeep / maintenance	12	3.1%	3.9%
Better walking / biking paths	9	2.3%	2.9%
More pickleball	8	2.1%	2.6%

Appendix

Figure 1a. Number of survey responses by ZIP code, Lancaster County




Table 1a: Frequency of responses from Lincoln ZIP codes

	Frequency	Percent (%)
68502	429	15.4
68503	157	5.6
68504	64	2.3
68505	78	2.8
68506	260	9.3
68507	73	2.6
68508	65	2.3
68510	854	30.6
68512	123	4.4
68514	1	.0
68516	375	13.4
68517	4	.1
68520	30	1.1
68521	83	3.0
68522	40	1.4
68523	7	.3
68524	10	.4
68526	82	2.9
68527	25	.9
68528	28	1.0
68532	5	.2
68542	1	.0
Total	2794	100.0

Table 2a. Favor or oppose proposed expansion of Woods Tennis Center, by Lincoln ZIP code

What is your ZIP code? * Would you favor or oppose the proposed expansion of the Woods Tennis Center? Crosstabulation								
		Would you favor or oppose the proposed expansion of the Woods Tennis Center?					Total	
		Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose		
ZIP code	68502	Count	165	24	13	25	190	417
		% within ZIP code	39.6%	5.8%	3.1%	6.0%	45.6%	100.0%
68503	Count	30	3	8	21	89	151	
	% within ZIP code	19.9%	2.0%	5.3%	13.9%	58.9%	100.0%	
68504	Count	15	6	2	2	38	63	
	% within ZIP code	23.8%	9.5%	3.2%	3.2%	60.3%	100.0%	
68505	Count	33	3	1	5	36	78	
	% within ZIP code	42.3%	3.8%	1.3%	6.4%	46.2%	100.0%	
68506	Count	99	13	9	20	111	252	
	% within ZIP code	39.3%	5.2%	3.6%	7.9%	44.0%	100.0%	
68507	Count	24	5	3	6	34	72	
	% within ZIP code	33.3%	6.9%	4.2%	8.3%	47.2%	100.0%	
68508	Count	14	4	2	8	35	63	
	% within ZIP code	22.2%	6.3%	3.2%	12.7%	55.6%	100.0%	
68510	Count	85	38	21	63	625	832	
	% within ZIP code	10.2%	4.6%	2.5%	7.6%	75.1%	100.0%	
68512	Count	82	5	2	5	23	117	
	% within ZIP code	70.1%	4.3%	1.7%	4.3%	19.7%	100.0%	
68514	Count	0	0	1	0	0	1	
	% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
68516	Count	207	25	9	28	99	368	
	% within ZIP code	56.3%	6.8%	2.4%	7.6%	26.9%	100.0%	
68517	Count	3	1	0	0	0	4	
	% within ZIP code	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
68520	Count	16	0	0	0	10	26	
	% within ZIP code	61.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	38.5%	100.0%	
68521	Count	30	7	3	5	33	78	
	% within ZIP code	38.5%	9.0%	3.8%	6.4%	42.3%	100.0%	
68522	Count	11	6	1	4	17	39	
	% within ZIP code	28.2%	15.4%	2.6%	10.3%	43.6%	100.0%	
68523	Count	4	0	0	1	2	7	
	% within ZIP code	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	100.0%	
68524	Count	6	0	0	0	4	10	
	% within ZIP code	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	100.0%	
68526	Count	50	6	0	4	21	81	
	% within ZIP code	61.7%	7.4%	0.0%	4.9%	25.9%	100.0%	
68527	Count	16	0	0	2	6	24	
	% within ZIP code	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	25.0%	100.0%	
68528	Count	11	3	0	3	11	28	
	% within ZIP code	39.3%	10.7%	0.0%	10.7%	39.3%	100.0%	
68532	Count	3	0	0	1	1	5	
	% within ZIP code	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%	
68542	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1	
	% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	904	149	75	203	1386	2717	
	% within ZIP code	33.3%	5.5%	2.8%	7.5%	51.0%	100.0%	

Table 3a. Support for expanding Woods Tennis Center to the **north**, by Lincoln ZIP code

What is your ZIP code? * Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - North (into the green space north of the current indoor tennis courts) Crosstabulation								
		Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - North (into the green space north of the current indoor tennis courts)					Total	
		Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose		
What is your ZIP code?	68502	Count	100	32	37	29	228	426
		% within ZIP code	23.5%	7.5%	8.7%	6.8%	53.5%	100.0%
	68503	Count	15	9	6	9	118	157
		% within ZIP code	9.6%	5.7%	3.8%	5.7%	75.2%	100.0%
	68504	Count	12	7	3	1	40	63
		% within ZIP code	19.0%	11.1%	4.8%	1.6%	63.5%	100.0%
	68505	Count	20	8	5	6	39	78
		% within ZIP code	25.6%	10.3%	6.4%	7.7%	50.0%	100.0%
	68506	Count	72	23	16	19	125	255
		% within ZIP code	28.2%	9.0%	6.3%	7.5%	49.0%	100.0%
	68507	Count	20	8	1	5	38	72
		% within ZIP code	27.8%	11.1%	1.4%	6.9%	52.8%	100.0%
	68508	Count	11	2	5	8	38	64
		% within ZIP code	17.2%	3.1%	7.8%	12.5%	59.4%	100.0%
	68510	Count	55	26	26	47	693	847
		% within ZIP code	6.5%	3.1%	3.1%	5.5%	81.8%	100.0%
	68512	Count	67	8	8	5	28	116
		% within ZIP code	57.8%	6.9%	6.9%	4.3%	24.1%	100.0%
	68514	Count	0	0	1	0	0	1
		% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	68516	Count	149	41	25	24	124	363
		% within ZIP code	41.0%	11.3%	6.9%	6.6%	34.2%	100.0%
	68517	Count	3	1	0	0	0	4
		% within ZIP code	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	68520	Count	12	2	2	1	10	27
		% within ZIP code	44.4%	7.4%	7.4%	3.7%	37.0%	100.0%
	68521	Count	25	6	7	2	41	81
		% within ZIP code	30.9%	7.4%	8.6%	2.5%	50.6%	100.0%
	68522	Count	10	4	2	3	20	39
		% within ZIP code	25.6%	10.3%	5.1%	7.7%	51.3%	100.0%
	68523	Count	2	1	0	0	3	6
		% within ZIP code	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%
	68524	Count	3	1	1	1	4	10
		% within ZIP code	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	100.0%
	68526	Count	35	8	11	3	25	82
		% within ZIP code	42.7%	9.8%	13.4%	3.7%	30.5%	100.0%
	68527	Count	16	0	1	1	7	25
		% within ZIP code	64.0%	0.0%	4.0%	4.0%	28.0%	100.0%
	68528	Count	6	3	1	5	13	28
		% within ZIP code	21.4%	10.7%	3.6%	17.9%	46.4%	100.0%
	68532	Count	2	1	0	1	1	5
		% within ZIP code	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	68542	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1
		% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Total	Count	635	191	158	170	1596	2750
		% within ZIP code	23.1%	6.9%	5.7%	6.2%	58.0%	100.0%

Table 4a. Support for expanding Woods Tennis Center to the **south**, by Lincoln ZIP code

What is your ZIP code? * Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - South (into the green space along J Street south of the pool) Crosstabulation								
			Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - South (into the green space along J Street south of the pool)					Total
			Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	
What is your ZIP code?	68502	Count	112	51	40	30	192	425
		% within ZIP code	26.4%	12.0%	9.4%	7.1%	45.2%	100.0%
	68503	Count	22	13	9	22	89	155
		% within ZIP code	14.2%	8.4%	5.8%	14.2%	57.4%	100.0%
	68504	Count	12	4	7	3	37	63
		% within ZIP code	19.0%	6.3%	11.1%	4.8%	58.7%	100.0%
	68505	Count	21	9	7	9	32	78
		% within ZIP code	26.9%	11.5%	9.0%	11.5%	41.0%	100.0%
	68506	Count	60	34	24	20	118	256
		% within ZIP code	23.4%	13.3%	9.4%	7.8%	46.1%	100.0%
	68507	Count	13	11	6	8	33	71
		% within ZIP code	18.3%	15.5%	8.5%	11.3%	46.5%	100.0%
	68508	Count	10	4	5	7	38	64
		% within ZIP code	15.6%	6.3%	7.8%	10.9%	59.4%	100.0%
	68510	Count	71	42	46	69	616	844
		% within ZIP code	8.4%	5.0%	5.5%	8.2%	73.0%	100.0%
	68512	Count	52	20	14	3	28	117
		% within ZIP code	44.4%	17.1%	12.0%	2.6%	23.9%	100.0%
	68514	Count	1	0	0	0	0	1
		% within ZIP code	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	68516	Count	136	47	44	30	107	364
		% within ZIP code	37.4%	12.9%	12.1%	8.2%	29.4%	100.0%
	68517	Count	2	2	0	0	0	4
		% within ZIP code	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	68520	Count	10	4	3	0	10	27
		% within ZIP code	37.0%	14.8%	11.1%	0.0%	37.0%	100.0%
	68521	Count	21	10	9	10	29	79
		% within ZIP code	26.6%	12.7%	11.4%	12.7%	36.7%	100.0%
	68522	Count	8	4	3	2	20	37
		% within ZIP code	21.6%	10.8%	8.1%	5.4%	54.1%	100.0%
	68523	Count	0	0	0	1	5	6
		% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
	68524	Count	3	2	1	0	3	9
		% within ZIP code	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%
	68526	Count	33	11	11	4	22	81
		% within ZIP code	40.7%	13.6%	13.6%	4.9%	27.2%	100.0%
	68527	Count	11	2	5	2	5	25
		% within ZIP code	44.0%	8.0%	20.0%	8.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	68528	Count	7	3	2	8	8	28
		% within ZIP code	25.0%	10.7%	7.1%	28.6%	28.6%	100.0%
68532	Count	3	0	0	1	1	5	
	% within ZIP code	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%	
68542	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1	
	% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	608	273	236	229	1394	2740	
	% within ZIP code	22.2%	10.0%	8.6%	8.4%	50.9%	100.0%	

Table 5a. Support for expanding Woods Tennis Center to the east, by Lincoln ZIP code

What is your ZIP code? * Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - East (into the current parking lots) Crosstabulation								
		Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - East (into the current parking lots)					Total	
		Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose		
What is your ZIP code?	68502	Count	90	47	68	42	175	422
		% within ZIP code	21.3%	11.1%	16.1%	10.0%	41.5%	100.0%
	68503	Count	17	15	25	19	80	156
		% within ZIP code	10.9%	9.6%	16.0%	12.2%	51.3%	100.0%
	68504	Count	9	6	14	6	28	63
		% within ZIP code	14.3%	9.5%	22.2%	9.5%	44.4%	100.0%
	68505	Count	12	6	10	13	36	77
		% within ZIP code	15.6%	7.8%	13.0%	16.9%	46.8%	100.0%
	68506	Count	37	37	36	30	108	248
		% within ZIP code	14.9%	14.9%	14.5%	12.1%	43.5%	100.0%
	68507	Count	7	10	8	16	31	72
		% within ZIP code	9.7%	13.9%	11.1%	22.2%	43.1%	100.0%
	68508	Count	12	4	11	8	29	64
		% within ZIP code	18.8%	6.3%	17.2%	12.5%	45.3%	100.0%
	68510	Count	55	53	92	95	549	844
		% within ZIP code	6.5%	6.3%	10.9%	11.3%	65.0%	100.0%
	68512	Count	28	20	17	19	27	111
		% within ZIP code	25.2%	18.0%	15.3%	17.1%	24.3%	100.0%
	68514	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1
		% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	68516	Count	87	50	52	46	127	362
		% within ZIP code	24.0%	13.8%	14.4%	12.7%	35.1%	100.0%
	68517	Count	1	1	1	1	0	4
		% within ZIP code	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	68520	Count	4	6	5	1	11	27
		% within ZIP code	14.8%	22.2%	18.5%	3.7%	40.7%	100.0%
	68521	Count	16	8	17	6	32	79
		% within ZIP code	20.3%	10.1%	21.5%	7.6%	40.5%	100.0%
	68522	Count	6	5	3	5	19	38
		% within ZIP code	15.8%	13.2%	7.9%	13.2%	50.0%	100.0%
	68523	Count	1	1	0	0	5	7
		% within ZIP code	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	100.0%
68524	Count	1	1	1	2	4	9	
	% within ZIP code	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	100.0%	
68526	Count	24	13	11	13	21	82	
	% within ZIP code	29.3%	15.9%	13.4%	15.9%	25.6%	100.0%	
68527	Count	10	1	1	5	8	25	
	% within ZIP code	40.0%	4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	32.0%	100.0%	
68528	Count	5	5	4	6	8	28	
	% within ZIP code	17.9%	17.9%	14.3%	21.4%	28.6%	100.0%	
68532	Count	3	0	0	1	1	5	
	% within ZIP code	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%	
68542	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1	
	% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	425	289	376	335	1300	2725	
	% within ZIP code	15.6%	10.6%	13.8%	12.3%	47.7%	100.0%	

Table 6a. Support for expanding Woods Tennis Center to the **west**, by Lincoln ZIP code

What is your ZIP code? * Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - West (into Kiwanis Field) Crosstabulation								
			Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - West (into Kiwanis Field)					Total
			Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	
What is your ZIP code?	68502	Count	75	39	67	45	196	422
		% within ZIP code	17.8%	9.2%	15.9%	10.7%	46.4%	100.0%
	68503	Count	19	9	23	20	85	156
		% within ZIP code	12.2%	5.8%	14.7%	12.8%	54.5%	100.0%
	68504	Count	9	8	4	5	37	63
		% within ZIP code	14.3%	12.7%	6.3%	7.9%	58.7%	100.0%
	68505	Count	14	7	10	10	36	77
		% within ZIP code	18.2%	9.1%	13.0%	13.0%	46.8%	100.0%
	68506	Count	33	29	38	33	116	249
		% within ZIP code	13.3%	11.6%	15.3%	13.3%	46.6%	100.0%
	68507	Count	8	7	4	11	41	71
		% within ZIP code	11.3%	9.9%	5.6%	15.5%	57.7%	100.0%
	68508	Count	10	4	9	8	33	64
		% within ZIP code	15.6%	6.3%	14.1%	12.5%	51.6%	100.0%
	68510	Count	48	44	88	96	568	844
		% within ZIP code	5.7%	5.2%	10.4%	11.4%	67.3%	100.0%
	68512	Count	31	17	25	12	27	112
		% within ZIP code	27.7%	15.2%	22.3%	10.7%	24.1%	100.0%
	68514	Count	0	0	1	0	0	1
		% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	68516	Count	71	39	55	56	135	356
		% within ZIP code	19.9%	11.0%	15.4%	15.7%	37.9%	100.0%
	68517	Count	2	2	0	0	0	4
		% within ZIP code	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	68520	Count	4	4	5	3	11	27
		% within ZIP code	14.8%	14.8%	18.5%	11.1%	40.7%	100.0%
	68521	Count	12	4	17	14	33	80
		% within ZIP code	15.0%	5.0%	21.3%	17.5%	41.3%	100.0%
	68522	Count	4	3	4	7	20	38
		% within ZIP code	10.5%	7.9%	10.5%	18.4%	52.6%	100.0%
	68523	Count	0	1	0	1	4	6
		% within ZIP code	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	66.7%	100.0%
	68524	Count	2	0	1	2	4	9
		% within ZIP code	22.2%	0.0%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	100.0%
	68526	Count	20	9	15	7	29	80
		% within ZIP code	25.0%	11.3%	18.8%	8.8%	36.3%	100.0%
	68527	Count	5	2	7	3	7	24
		% within ZIP code	20.8%	8.3%	29.2%	12.5%	29.2%	100.0%
	68528	Count	5	1	4	7	10	27
		% within ZIP code	18.5%	3.7%	14.8%	25.9%	37.0%	100.0%
	68532	Count	2	0	0	1	2	5
		% within ZIP code	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	100.0%
	68542	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1
		% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Total	Count	374	229	377	341	1395	2716
		% within ZIP code	13.8%	8.4%	13.9%	12.6%	51.4%	100.0%

Table 7a. Support for expanding Woods Tennis Center to the **north**, by tennis use

Woods Tennis Center Use * Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - North (into the green space north of the current indoor tennis courts) Crosstabulation								
			Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - North (into the green space north of the current indoor tennis courts)					Total
			Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	
Woods Tennis Center Use	Yes	Count	640	170	128	63	74	1075
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	59.5%	15.8%	11.9%	5.9%	6.9%	100.0%
	No	Count	43	36	44	114	1574	1811
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	2.4%	2.0%	2.4%	6.3%	86.9%	100.0%
Total		Count	683	206	172	177	1648	2886
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	23.7%	7.1%	6.0%	6.1%	57.1%	100.0%

Table 8a. Support for expanding Woods Tennis Center to the **south**, by tennis use

Woods Tennis Center Use * Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - South (into the green space along J Street south of the pool) Crosstabulation								
			Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - South (into the green space along J Street south of the pool)					Total
			Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	
Woods Tennis Center Use	Yes	Count	597	212	145	56	58	1068
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	55.9%	19.9%	13.6%	5.2%	5.4%	100.0%
	No	Count	64	77	104	183	1379	1807
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	3.5%	4.3%	5.8%	10.1%	76.3%	100.0%
Total		Count	661	289	249	239	1437	2875
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	23.0%	10.1%	8.7%	8.3%	50.0%	100.0%

Table 9a. Support for expanding Woods Tennis Center to the **east**, by tennis use

Woods Tennis Center Use * Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - East (into the current parking lots) Crosstabulation								
			Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - East (into the current parking lots)					Total
			Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	
Woods Tennis Center Use	Yes	Count	400	184	190	145	132	1051
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	38.1%	17.5%	18.1%	13.8%	12.6%	100.0%
	No	Count	63	117	213	210	1207	1810
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	3.5%	6.5%	11.8%	11.6%	66.7%	100.0%
Total		Count	463	301	403	355	1339	2861
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	16.2%	10.5%	14.1%	12.4%	46.8%	100.0%

Table 10a. Support for expanding Woods Tennis Center to the **west**, by tennis use

Woods Tennis Center Use * Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - West (into Kiwanis Field) Crosstabulation								
			Would you favor or oppose the Woods Tennis Center expanding in a particular direction? - West (into Kiwanis Field)					Total
			Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	
Woods Tennis Center Use	Yes	Count	371	161	250	143	126	1051
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	35.3%	15.3%	23.8%	13.6%	12.0%	100.0%
	No	Count	37	77	157	218	1311	1800
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	2.1%	4.3%	8.7%	12.1%	72.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	408	238	407	361	1437	2851
		% within Woods Tennis Center Use	14.3%	8.3%	14.3%	12.7%	50.4%	100.0%

Table 11a. Support or oppose repurposing Kiwanis Field space, by Lincoln ZIP code

What is your ZIP code? * Nebraska Wesleyan University's baseball team plans to relocate from Kiwanis Field, the baseball field in the southwest corner of Woods Park, in Spring 2025. Would you favor or oppose the space currently occupied by this baseball field being repurposed for other park uses? Crosstabulation								
		Nebraska Wesleyan University's baseball team plans to relocate from Kiwanis Field, the baseball field in the southwest corner of Woods Park, in Spring 2025. Would you favor or oppose the space currently occupied by this baseball field being repurposed for other park uses?					Total	
		Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Neither favor nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose		
What is your ZIP code?	68502	Count	109	80	146	35	41	411
		% within ZIP code	26.5%	19.5%	35.5%	8.5%	10.0%	100.0%
	68503	Count	29	41	49	23	10	152
		% within ZIP code	19.1%	27.0%	32.2%	15.1%	6.6%	100.0%
	68504	Count	17	12	20	6	8	63
		% within ZIP code	27.0%	19.0%	31.7%	9.5%	12.7%	100.0%
	68505	Count	17	26	19	8	7	77
		% within ZIP code	22.1%	33.8%	24.7%	10.4%	9.1%	100.0%
	68506	Count	60	55	84	22	28	249
		% within ZIP code	24.1%	22.1%	33.7%	8.8%	11.2%	100.0%
	68507	Count	20	12	20	9	9	70
		% within ZIP code	28.6%	17.1%	28.6%	12.9%	12.9%	100.0%
	68508	Count	14	17	21	7	4	63
		% within ZIP code	22.2%	27.0%	33.3%	11.1%	6.3%	100.0%
	68510	Count	188	177	255	86	117	823
		% within ZIP code	22.8%	21.5%	31.0%	10.4%	14.2%	100.0%
	68512	Count	45	10	45	7	8	115
		% within ZIP code	39.1%	8.7%	39.1%	6.1%	7.0%	100.0%
	68514	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1
		% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	68516	Count	136	56	112	39	20	363
		% within ZIP code	37.5%	15.4%	30.9%	10.7%	5.5%	100.0%
	68517	Count	2	1	0	1	0	4
		% within ZIP code	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	68520	Count	8	2	11	3	2	26
		% within ZIP code	30.8%	7.7%	42.3%	11.5%	7.7%	100.0%
	68521	Count	16	16	29	9	8	78
		% within ZIP code	20.5%	20.5%	37.2%	11.5%	10.3%	100.0%
	68522	Count	7	6	14	5	7	39
		% within ZIP code	17.9%	15.4%	35.9%	12.8%	17.9%	100.0%
	68523	Count	3	1	2	1	0	7
		% within ZIP code	42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	68524	Count	1	3	2	2	2	10
		% within ZIP code	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	68526	Count	26	14	29	5	4	78
		% within ZIP code	33.3%	17.9%	37.2%	6.4%	5.1%	100.0%
	68527	Count	8	5	5	2	3	23
		% within ZIP code	34.8%	21.7%	21.7%	8.7%	13.0%	100.0%
	68528	Count	6	6	11	3	1	27
		% within ZIP code	22.2%	22.2%	40.7%	11.1%	3.7%	100.0%
	68532	Count	2	2	0	0	1	5
		% within ZIP code	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	68542	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1
		% within ZIP code	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	714	542	874	274	281	2685
		% within ZIP code	26.6%	20.2%	32.6%	10.2%	10.5%	100.0%