Please share any comments you have regarding land use patterns and development.

It's time to think about refurbishing and updating existing infrastructure as well as repurposing buildings in addition or in lieu of just building new stuff.

Smarter in-fill design, rather than sprawl, should be a priority. Our region benefits from both urban and natural/agricultural areas.

I'm not very studied on these issues but generally think Lincoln has a nice balance of open spaces and isn't overdeveloped, as well as considers preserving natural areas. I'd hope we can keep it that way.

Green spaces are SUPER important for the mental and physical health of a population. Please keep them natural and spacious.

More green spaces, walking, and cycling should be made more of a priority. Redevelopment of old buildings and areas would be better than to develop new areas. Preserve more riparian areas to help integrate wildlife with the city and to break up the monotony of the urban landscape. A city that avoids so much traffic congestion and car use would be wonderful.

Lincoln seems to have a nice balance as far as I experience it.

Developments, including public (schools) use expansive tracts of land with less public revenue generated per acre than there should be. This expansive (less dense) use demands public infrastructure (streets, water, sewer, electricity, transit, etc.) at a higher cost per acre than a denser more sustainable land development.

Too many times special interests or certain people get lots of breaks. System is unfair and not administered in the same way to all people.

Balance slow outward growth with revitalization of the existing urban core. Newer developments should be more like Fallbrook- pedestrian oriented with some routine errands (e.g., groceries) within walking distance of the residence.

Wish you wouldn’t have caved in to home builders & developers demands on making future development less dense than proposed when the public was in favor of more dense development (Plan 2040)... Lincoln should aim for smart growth and not be another Omaha or LA.

I feel that we need to preserve the Natural Areas but let’s not confuse that with park land. We have seen a situation recently that because of budget restraints the city cannot afford to maintain or improve park land. The point is that we can preserve natural areas but keep the maintenance in the hands of the developer or HOA.

How do you control or balance urban sprawl? The taking of ag land for housing, good luck.

We have a planning department? Would not appear so over the last decade.

I think parking lots are generally too big, but especially downtown. That reality may not actually be something that is within this particular entity's control, but overall I feel that there are parking lots or empty concrete areas that exist solely for the eight weeks of the year that there are football games in Lincoln. I could be wrong about this--I'm sure there are studies and intelligent people designing parking lots who understand how many spots are needed for the number of people working nearby--but since the survey asks me what I think, that's what I think!

Efficient use of available land is a great ideal but the city must balance land use planning with citizen property rights and make sure to preserve ag land and natural areas in a way which does not inhibit business development. I don't see a reason for the city to work at preserving agricultural land. Farmers and people in the Ag industry will make sure they have enough and suitable quality land to carry out their business. The city does not need to give them special incentives to cultivate their own land or keep it as a farm - if it makes more economic sense to sell the land for non agricultural purposes then there must not be a need for agricultural land.

Keep a wide green corridor around the hiking/biking trails. Allow shelter belts to grow around developments in both residential and commercial areas. Include more green "commons" areas in neighborhoods or bigger yards around houses. Apartment complexes are too big, too high, and have no trees, yards, or shelter belts. Commercial buildings are being built too close to the streets. The overall impression is that Lincoln is becoming more urban, more paved, less treed and less green.
Anything done must be bicycle friendly. I was promised the arena development would be but have seen zero info on this. If it is not, my vote will be carefully considered on all future projects.

Too much sprawl -- not enough in-fill. I vote for density over sprawl. Parks often in poor repair and give cars & drivers priority over cyclists and pedestrians. Provide cycling and walking options for all new developments. Should be able to bicycle safely to every park in the city.

Ag development procedures need to be updated, you need a current well test to assure that there is adequate water for the new homeowner and existing neighbors. Submitting an old test from the 70's or 80's in no way compares to today's water usage. Lack of water availability is in the forefront of the news today but we continue to allow 3 acre developments with no infrastructure support. You should not be allowed to get an AGR zoning change without a plat being submitted. Allowing homes to be crammed on an existing homestead looks mismatched & would not be allowed in the city limits as it looks out of place.

I was very disappointed that the issue on buying future park land for the expanding city didn't pass (I guess an arena for a few people is more important than a park for everyone these days). I am pleased to see that the city is getting away from mowing every acre and has gone to natural grasses in some places. Also seem to recall that there is some consideration of allowing more community gardening. If not, there should be as it seems reasonable to do so. I understand the concern for building up high tax value commercial areas but would also hope that more attention is paid to some of the residential blighted areas. That and taking landowners to task for not keeping up their properties. Maybe some of those should be taken by eminent domain and changed into mini-park or community garden areas if they can't be brought up to speed otherwise.

I do NOT feel just because the is standing water in a field the government should be able to come onto private property and declare it to be a watershed area and take that away from a farmer to use as he sees fit.

Lincoln's preservation of natural areas through park systems (such as Wilderness and Pioneers) makes it great to live here. The biggest area for improvement in Lincoln according to my experiences living in other communities is developing the downtown. People who live near the downtown area have to drive out to the edges of town to have options for grocery shopping and buying other goods and services. Finding a way to revitalize the downtown and draw businesses back to this area would make Lincoln more pedestrian friendly, environmentally responsible, and vibrant.

The current plan seems to discourage growth, will eventually raise taxes and cause people to live in nearby communities and still work in and around Lincoln. I would like to see infrastructure for growth looked at and prioritized rather than being avoided. I don't disapprove of growth in the core, but feel all options should be available for the individual families to decide what is best for them. Public safety, responsible development and construction is still important, but growth serves everyone and allows us to enjoy our libraries, parks, pools, etc. What are our 10-30 plans for water, sewage, etc. are we buying the necessary land before it is developed? We need to be responsible and educate the public about our resources, but should a drought stop us in our tracks? If it does, there has been a lack of planning involved. City/State is run fairly well, but there is always room for improvements. Thank you for looking & asking!

I don't like sprawl, but it seems we've been doing better at avoiding that.

Too much urban sprawl.

Sometimes the preservation of natural land takes priority over the use of the land.

I think there is still considerable room for improvement in the area of City support for development of unimproved and blighted land within the city limits, and particularly blighted/unimproved land within the Downtown and Haymarket vicinities.

growing is good, but not sprawling. We better to have an efficient and effective city size.
We need more compact development. To achieve this, we need more infill development in the City's core, as well as an initiative to rid core neighborhoods of dilapidated housing that was built to only last 20 years. It would be a good idea to increase standards for newly built residential multi-units so that the building life is longer than 20 years, and that preservation efforts for existing, quality housing are supported. Through more compact development, we will reduce City infrastructure construction and maintenance costs over the long term, while reducing the encroachment of the city upon vital agricultural lands. Compact development with well-maintained green areas will improve the quality of urban life and make it more cost-effective to expand and enhance our public transit system. We should utilize TIF to improve streets, sewers, lighting etc. to improve core neighborhoods. I love that the City is committed to the Prairie Corridor on Haines Branch and related projects.

I have no idea how Lincoln and Lancaster County are doing.

Allowing Wal-Mart to build a 130 000 square foot Super Center IN a residential neighborhood is a complete failure on the part of both the planning department and every other city/county agency that touched this project. I don't blame Wal-Mart on bit, I squarely place the blame on Mr. Kraut and the rest of the planning department for making what they had to know was a bad decision, then when confronted by hundreds of residents about this decision they refused to address ANY of our concerns.

Foster continued development in Stevens Creek - our strongest growth potential is to the east (toward Omaha).

I wish we could be more forward thinking regarding street improvements but I understand the budget.

Lincoln has many wonderful parks and they are greatly appreciated but are not well taken care of.

No opinion on the above.

Optimal utilization of prime land is important, particularly in the core parts of the city.

A number of years ago I served on the Stevens Creek Comprehensive Development Plan. All of us were very supportive of our proposed plan. When it was sent to the Lincoln-Lancaster Co. Councils for implementation, the plan was not implemented. We recommended that the Steven's Creek to be developed as comprehensive 'stand-alone neighborhood developments'. We did not want more of Lincoln 'coming over the horizon'. As a resident of Steven's Creek, all we see is more of Lincoln 'coming over the horizon'. There appears to be the same development of unconnected strip malls businesses and housing developments with no leisure time/recreation space. There is little recognition given to the development of neighborhoods.

in wet lands in 27th superior they should have let it stay and not have developement for the wild life.

Parks, Trails and natural areas are essential to the sense of connection and harmony with nature and natural areas. Design of buildings and home areas need to best reflect the connections to quality of life and efficiency of

A lot of urban sprawl due to relaxing to many agricultural development rules. Businesses are springing up in agricultural properties too much.

For the Dedication of Parks, in new land subdivisions, the subdivider shall be required to form a home owners association, collect fees from the property owners in said subdivision to maintain the park to city code and issue to the city an easement for any utilities, to the public use, and the subdivider to retain all liability.

There is much to much expansion to the East and to the South without adequate access to suitable movement of traffic. We have been hearing about the South and East bypass for 20 years but no noticable progress is being made to put this to fruition. NOthing was done when movement south was rampant, with the only "good" thing about that being the recession. Now the East is being allowed to follow the same path. DO something about Lincoln "sprawls " which to me is not an efficient use of available land. There are very few population-dense areas that also have services.

The City needs to develope in the most efficient way possible. We need to make the most of the infrastructure that we build an do a better job of maintain ing our roadway system.

You never really hear anything about this issue.

While Lincoln remains much better than many U.S. cities, I hate the see the increase in urban sprawl and suburbs with a design that tends to isolate people from their neighbors.

increasing density in the core neighborhoods needs to stop
Our land should be used environmentally sustainably. Urban areas should be well-planned, efficient, and compact (no sprawl). Rural areas shouldn't be filled with mono-culture crops doused with petroleum-based sprays. Diverse, native wildlife and small-scale, local agriculture should fill our rural areas. I know that Lincoln / Lancaster County is working towards at least some of those goals as part of LPlan2040 (bike corridor, etc), which makes me really excited.

The proposed new ice rink should not be located in Lincoln's downtown floodplain. This will add a foot of water over 35 acres of the Haymarket and South Bottoms Historic District when we reach flood stage on Salt Creek. Loved to see the more natural ideas in the parks when mowing was reduced. Also liked that the grasses filtered the waterways thru those areas. Would like to see more areas planted with natural types of grasses/wildflowers to promote birds/bees, etc.

Residential land use in the city is pretty efficient, but commercial development not as much with lots of one story buildings and lots of parking. The county has too many exceptions to what would otherwise be pretty efficient regulations.

Given the amount of existing open, available commercial space, I am continually puzzled that we must build even more.

The Hwy 77 bypass is a very efficient way of moving from I-80 to southern Lincoln, but it certainly cut up many farms and made their land less useful. The proposed south bypass will do the same—rather than widening existing roads to make them one-ways south/west and north/east. Having such roads a mile apart would not be unmanageable. Preservation of natural areas is very good—such as Wilderness Park and the bike paths. Efforts should be made to persuade contractors to set aside parts of each development as a natural/wildlife area. Even in the city, we need to preserve natural habitat for various creatures.

I'd like to curtail urban sprawl, and encourage more development in the already built-up parts of the city. Many older neighborhoods have deteriorating structures than can be demolished and replaced by new ones, helping to revitalize the core of the city.

I think that realistic agricultural land values and locations should be used to establish zoning criteria. I think that the concepts of industrial parks and commercial areas need to be developed to make them available to but not intrude into residential areas. Residential zoning may need to be revised to permit variation, for example, in set back and building separations. The issue of residential blight probably needs guidelines for adequate control and or enforcement. Bob Mitchell (3 – 15 – 2013)

I think that realistic agricultural land values and locations should be used to establish zoning criteria. I think that the concepts of industrial parks and commercial areas need to be developed to make them available to but not intrude into residential areas. Residential zoning may need to be revised to permit variation, for example, in set back and building separations. The issue of residential blight probably needs guidelines for adequate control and or enforcement. Bob Mitchell (3 – 15 – 2013)

Stop wasting money and resources on the Salt Creek Tiger Beetle and other so called rare creatures. They do absolutely no good for the human race.

Developers should preserve the existing natural areas onsite and work them into the design of the development. Too often, they add amenities (water features and trees) after they have destroyed the landscape. There seems to be too much reusable space within existing neighborhoods to develop before extending to the suburbs and having to put in infrastructure and add to city services such as police and fire. Redevelopment makes more sense rather than extending out into the county.

Lincoln/Lancaster county need to facilitate more expansion on the edges of city limits and do better of planning and developing street systems outside of city limits to provide for future expansion.

Sell city property that is idle and/or not generating income. Reinvest in infrasture and streets.

Care should be taken when looking at developing outside current city boundaries without first identifying how infrastructure will be provided and paid for. Impact fees were voted in but now our leaders are not willing to use this funding source as intended.
The city seems to create more park land than it can afford to maintain. When the Sunken Gardens needed to be refurbished the city couldn't afford to do it and had to raise donations. The new park along Antelope Creek also is being funded by private donations. Until the city can afford to handle what it already has I think that it should forgo adding new "natural areas".

Lincoln lacks a large industrial section to attract future employers. We spend too much money on making the city beautiful instead of attractive to larger corporations. One difference between Lincoln and Omaha is that Lincoln lacks a Fortune 500 company. What is the opposite of growth?

Lincoln has plenty of room to grow and develop on the outskirts of town. The concerted effort to "do it downtown" while laudable for a time is frankly, now overdone. There is no reason why available land shouldn't be utilized on the edges of town for natural growth and development especially as a city that purports itself as one that wants to "be business friendly." Additionally land prices, rents go up in the core neighborhoods when too much new development happens which actually is detrimental to the poor and disenfranchised. Lincoln has a good mix now but it is starting to tip too far in the direction of core neighborhood development and "in-fill" within the city limits. Time to re-balance and let outskirts development continue. Government's role in this area should be very limited and only asserted when a compelling interest is there, not a trumped up one or one that is lobbied the hardest for (ala the Downtown Lincoln Association) asserting disproportion and undue influence.

Review of all zoning would be good-just to keep things like what happened on S27th from happening in the future-- and East on Old Cheney.

Elected City leaders need to be on record as being for or against growth, by voting on a growth plan that has solid timelines and just not a piece of paper.

The city can not have it both ways. To limit urban sprawl but also try and limit land use requires zero growth. That is the wrong direction for a strong vibrant city.

Planning makes unsustainable policies. Such as infill - however all a neighborhood has to do is complain and then Planning turns against the developer/builder. Existing policies don't encourage new building types, parking, lighting, signs, or size of new buildings

There is still a lot of room for development and preservation of natural areas can still be done within these limits too.

We need the city to allow expansion to allow for affordable housing.

Preserving Wilderness and creating parks that are preserved spaces is very important and somewhat well done by the city but Wilderness Park is a citizen run project so less impressive for the city.

The three Land Use topics are not defined. Each could mean several different things. Therefore, any use of this survey to make a determination of what the citizens want is null and void.

We need to make sure we preserve park space. We also need to plan for non-motorized traffic for those who can't or don't want to drive. We need to plan for bike routes and pedestrian traffic in new developments and retrofit some neighborhoods that neglected these issues.

I would encourage the city of Lincoln to continue expending its current limits.

Lincoln is surrounded by rich farmland that previously didn't need irrigation. Lincoln is too interested in growth & should be more concerned w/ what incentives would keep people in town rather than wasting good farmland w/ acreages.

Efficiency is market driven. If it is government or central planning driven, it is not done efficiently.

I would like to see Lincoln develop east. We have grown in every direction but east.

I have noticed farms in town. That is odd. 33rd street from cornhusker to Superior is a somewhat large area of land and it seems that the city has not utilized it at all. Not to mention if 33rd went all the way north it could ease some of the traffic on 27th, 48th. making half the city's lives a little less stressful.

I believe the concentric growth of Lincoln is a huge positive for our community and I support that policy.
"Efficient Use of Available Land" means to me that the land owner has primary control over how his land should best be used! Preservation of Agricultural Land and Natural Areas should not be dictated by government to the detriment of economic growth of the community.

We are in a rural setting that a majority of our residents want to live in a single family dwelling. This requires the mix of land uses to accommodate those choosing to live in the core with those who moved to a rural area of the US to have their own type of castle with a piece of land.

you keep filling in wetlands

We live in an area that is very land abundant. We need to put resources into efficient structures and not land

I answered the second question all neutrals because you didn't have an option for "The city should butt out and let land owners and businesses and the market decide how land gets used." As for the first question, I'm fine with the parks we have, we don't need the city doing a bunch of this stuff. For farms, there's always going to be farms around, it's freaking Nebraska. As for efficiency, the city is the ABSOLUTE LAST people that should be trying to tell others how to do things "efficiently".

It is important to preserve natural areas so that people can get out in them to relax, picnic, hike and deepen their relationship with Jesus.

Are you asking if you can conscript private land for government use? Just compensation is required and must be for a very important civic reason. Crowding people into the center core is not only bad environmental policy but is silly owing to the vast land we have been blessed with. The decisions for the above rest with the decisions of the persons owning the property.

Are you asking if you can conscript private land for government use? Just compensation is required and must be for a very important civic reason. Crowding people into the center core is not only bad environmental policy but is silly owing to the vast land we have been blessed with. The decisions for the above rest with the decisions of the persons owning the property.

Maintaining property values in existing residential areas is very important, which means being smart about what commercial or other development is permitted in residential areas.

I am concerned about outside influences over land use effecting our decisions. We need balance and growth and must be careful not to be driven by others' agendas. Thank you for not yet joining the Agenda 21 group as Omaha has done... I am not completely opposed to their goals but want to be certain to maintain LOCAL control and not cede it to others. Thanks! You have a tough job and I appreciate your hard work.

Too much being set aside for wilderness/prairie/habitat and interfering with the housing and commercial development.

The City and LPS are incentivizing urban sprawl. Need to have developers pay their fair share when they are spreading out our services. Provide incentives for redevelopment in older areas. The voice of the homebuilders and developers has historically won out over community interests.

Creating a new park in the NW of Lincoln is finishing the choke chain to prevent development for all.

I wish the interstate had not been built north of town encroaching on salt marsh habitat. I hate the 27th Street interchange and hope development can be slowed on the north side of town. I love the older neighborhoods in town.

I don't quite understand the idea of tearing down every building on a site to put up a new one. I understand it is the sellers option, and most of the chains want their own footprint, but really? Tearing down perfectly good solid buildings. I also don't understand the need for all of the CVS stores going in while we already have an abundance of Walgreens and CVS. Worry too much about big box stores, when I feel we are being invaded by these others and all they employee are many part time people without benefits. Not sure how that helps Lincoln

Would like to see more established green spaces available for public use and enjoyment.

It seems as if Lincoln is in an eternal sprawl, especially to the south. Other than the Haines Branch right of way which will be protected and made into a path, I'm not aware of plans to preserve natural areas in that direction, or any.
I think that the sprawling of our city creates problems with transportation and especially with an increase in fossil-fuels use.

I would like to see more investment in urban areas prior to building on the city edges.

You must not have spellcheck...

It would be good to move toward conservation design of new developments with the next comprehensive plan.

Eliminate density caps and height limitations on newly developing areas to encourage efficient use of infrastructure. Reduce parking requirements (developers/tenants/buyers will require sufficient parking to be competitive and efficient).

Lincoln has a number of great parks and golf courses. It is important not only to preserve and fund maintenance for those treasures but to plan for future parks out along the perimeter of the city and consider land acquisition or possibly promote social or planned giving to the current owners. Regardless, development will take the land if the City and County are not proactive.

The question is presented poorly. I think efficient use would be an interpreted response, without science and with bias. I wish that land was less constricted in use.

Need to foster more in-fill and growth in City's core.

Unfortunately it's still cheaper to develop a cornfield than to rehab a whole city block in the near downtown areas. Economic incentives should be in place to encourage the latter, so more young professionals will find near-downtown housing and other offerings attractive.

Lincoln codes most certainly seem to demand far excessive parking!, QUESTION:, If parking requirements aren't excessive, how on earth can so many box-stores have half of their parking lot consumed by nursery plants and related landscape stock for two months every year and not be short on parking?, ANSWER:, Parking IS excessive, but required by the City. Excessive parking lot pavements required by the City are probably the worst use of space possible!

I don't know enough about Lincoln/Lancaster Co's land use to form an opinion. I appreciate the park/green space within and around the city. I strongly dislike the fact that the Bison trail is closed at the Van Dorn bridge. This prevents our family from biking to Pioneers. I like the more recent developments, and preserving downtown and core areas of the city. I do not like the sprawling suburban areas that look very generic.

Anything that makes this already amazing city friendlier to pedestrians and those who forego altogether the use of an automobile is that which I heartily support.

It appears very easy to modify the Domprehensive Plan and advance areas in later tiers to Tier1.

Sustainable floodplain policies are extremely important. Protection of existing neighborhoods from street widening, ugly LES overhead lines and four corner development or encroachment of business districts should also be prioritized.

Stop developing for growth in Lincoln. Due to the loss of employment opportunities, retire leaving, high taxes, and high cost of university; people are leaving and are going to continue to leave Lincoln. I would have never thought I would live to see the day Grand Island is out pacing Lincoln. So sad.

I believe strongly in creating a greenbelt around the city. I think building roads further and further out is exactly the wrong course of action is actually fiscally irresponsible going forward. Nebraska is mostly agricultural land so we should invest our tax dollars in saving some green space around the current city limits and direct development inward in infill projects that serve the communities that already here. We could be a national example with some work!

Restoring existing neighborhoods would be much better than allowing for outward growth with new.

I encourage more efforts for wise use of land use patterns to preserve natural areas, preservation of agricultural land and efficient use of available land.
Repeatedly I have heard from out-of-town visitors that they are shocked at the lack of public lands in general (outside of city parks). Wonderful project to do prairie greenspace corridor. The future will be different and it is critically important that plentiful agricultural lands and natural areas are here - we can’t go wrong with prioritizing that for future generations in addition to ourselves.

We should have more land dedicated to flood control and retention.

There is suburban sprawl developing all over south Lincoln, while large areas of O street and other business areas in the middle of town are vacant. The building and planning seems to be development and business driven, rather than placing a priority on creating green spaces or addressing the character and quality of Lincoln.

I appreciate a remark our planning director made a couple of years ago that we have enough planned and plotted developments to last us for twelve years. Efforts to preserve the core of the city and balance our growth are appreciated.

Ag land is protected by acreage rules, however housing developments in ag land shouldn’t be allowed outside the city limits.

Although the 2040 plan calls for infill of areas in the city, I do not see much happening.

"efficient use" could mean anything—like develop the heck out of every square inch which I do not think is smart. We need livable, walk-able neighborhoods, with shops schools and parks easily accessible.

Developers are soulless adherants to the profit motive. Fact. I was an attorney in private practice representing them. Trust me. Souless.

"Preservation of Agricultural Land" (How embarrassing! I’d invest in another spelling verifier.) I think too much of the natural habitat for wildlife on Lincoln's outskirts is being developed into residential areas. Sections of former farmland are being sold off into residential packages, displacing deer, coyotes, and other inhabitants of the areas. Increased traffic because of these residential outcroppings is also a danger to these animals.

Overall and in general, I think Lincoln has done well in comparison to Omaha, Kearney, Grand Island and other sprawling communities in the state. I am encouraged to see more mixed use areas in new development. We need to be looking ahead realistically at the fact our old habits, dependent on abundant, cheap fossil fuel, will no longer be possible, if we have the sense to respond intelligently to mitigate climate change. We need to increase the proportion of park land in new developments. Important natural areas such as tallgrass prairie, streams and floodplain areas should not be developed, and they should be protected well in advance so developers know what to expect.

In combination with smart and efficient land use, sturdy native Nebraska trees are vital to beautification, appeal, recreation, and coolness of the city. Trees assist in cleaning the air and reduce CO2 emissions. If there are open grass easements along roadways, railways, parks, or streets that do not have trees growing, there would be value in planting of trees in these areas. Lincoln could have an even greater appeal to have an obvious and noticeable amount of trees planted. The city has done much work in planting trees, but I noticed last summer that many dried up. The trees outside Leon's Grocery on 30th and South street is an example. Perhaps there could be a more secure plan in place to see those trees are watered in their tender growth years.

I would like to see older homes renovated before Lincoln develops a lot of new neighborhoods. I would also like to see less and smaller acreages.

I feel that Lincoln is constantly expanding outwards and that we should be focused on building up rather than building out. I feel that the policy that no building shall be taller than the capitol is ridiculous and inhibits our ability to use available land efficiently and in a sustainable way. Because of this, we limit our ability to preserve natural areas and agricultural land by spreading ourselves too thin. By expanding outwardly, we also increase our dependence on oil by having to drive further and further to get to places of interest.

Allow people to buy, sell, develop, etc. land as they see fit. Outside of providing infrastructure, the city should not try to "craft" the city. Allow the free market to develop the city. Do not limit the growth of the city.

The expansion of urbanization into rural and natural areas is a problem, particulaly for future generations.

I am pleased with the cooperation between the city and the LPSNRD on preserving natural areas.
TOO LATE, I know, but had our bus system been used to help guide where new developments were being built we might not have had the crazy sprawl we have now. We might have had a functioning public transport system with buses running into the evening and at weekends. As it is now, newcomers to Lincoln, and the many with scarce resources, have to spend those on a car just to be able to get to work!

If it wasn't for the university this city would fold!

Good very good

Would love to see older buildings downtown be used/updated rather than torn down (ie. Pershing)

Lincoln is beginning to resemble Any City USA with mindless sprawl over great distances. Covering those distances are more copies of banks, restaurants and retailers already found all over the city.

Lots of parks - good for outdoor family activities